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Joint Network Secretariat (JNS)

- Triggered by accident Viareggio 2009 ➔ Joint Sector Group at ERA
- National Safety Authorities (NSA network) + Representative Bodies (NRB network)
- Creation of Task Forces of experts to solve technical issues (usually after accidents and dangerous events)
- Urgent (2 months) - and Normal Procedures (max. 2 years)
- Every actor can notify a JNS procedure
  Form can be found at https://www.era.europa.eu/activities/joint-network-secretariat_en to be sent to jns@era.europa.eu
- Neutral moderation and chairing by ERA
- From 2024¹: Legal basis in CSM ASLP (Assessment of Safety level and Safety Performance)

¹) Depends on the adoption of the Regulation on these Common Safety Methods
Role of JNS procedures in the EU safety framework

• **Railway Undertaking (RU) and Infrastructure Manager (IM)** are together responsible for safe operation.

• In case of incidents and accidents, RUs and IMs shall evaluate, where appropriate with other involved parties (e.g. **Entities in Charge of Maintenance (ECMs), keepers and loaders**) if the risk requires measures immediately preventing any related danger and if yes, define and implement them.

• **RUs, IMs and any other actor involved** have to share relevant information (currently in Safety Alert IT (SAIT)) to allow other actors to react appropriately to ensure safety.
Role of JNS procedures in the EU safety framework

• After incidents and accidents the National Safety Authority (NSA) supervises stakeholder’s immediate actions aiming at assessing whether the measures taken by the companies involved sufficiently prevent any related danger (at European level).

• If not, the NSA shall intervene respecting the responsibility of all actors. These immediate measures might increase costs for the sector and may harm interoperability

• NSAs have to share relevant information within the SIS system to allow other NSAs to react appropriately in order to ensure safety. This is usually done in the form of a Safety Alert
• In parallel the **National Investigation Body (NIB)** may run an independent **investigation** of the incident or accident with the objective to find the causes and to give recommendations to the different actors involved within one year.

• In case of an incident or accident any entity (preferably the competent NSA) might notify a **Joint Network Secretariat (JNS) urgent (fast track) or normal procedure** by submitting a filled notification form [https://www.era.europa.eu/activities/joint-network-secretariat_en](https://www.era.europa.eu/activities/joint-network-secretariat_en) to ERA ([jns@era.europa.eu](mailto:jns@era.europa.eu)).
JNS urgent (fast track) procedure

- **Objective:** recommendation of appropriate European-wide harmonised short-term risk control measures in order to:
  - ensure safety,
  - maintain or restore interoperability, and
  - reduce costs for the sector (as far as possible at this stage).

- **Result:**
  - replacement of the often costly and restrictive immediate measures of the actors and/or NSAs

- **Timeline:** Maximum 2 months
JNS Normal procedure

**Objective:** development of mid- and long term measures, to sustainably
- Restore / increase the safety level,
- Ensure interoperability, and
- Return to the previous cost base or lower.

**Result:**
- Identification of research needs,
- Improvement of regulation, standardisation and other rules,
- Update of the measures from the Urgent Procedure

**Timeline:** Maximum 2 years
After submission of the notification form to ERA, the JNS Panel needs to endorse the proposed JNS procedure.

The **JNS panel** consists of two NSA and two RB representatives
- Michael SCHMITZ (NSA DE)
- Benjamin STEINBACHER-PUSNJAK (NSA SI)
- Enno WIEBE (CER)
- Gilles PETERHANS (UIP)

The networks of National Safety Authorities and Representative Bodies nominate **competent experts** for the respective **JNS Task Force**

The **Agency is moderator/facilitator and secretariat**

ERA strives for **consensus**.
• Only nominated **Task Force members** should participate in the meetings.

• **Information shared** within the task force **remain within its members**

• **Documents are shared** on dedicated space on the Agency’s Extranet. (only accessible to nominated experts)

• The **results** (e.g. action plan, conclusions, final report) will be published in an appropriate way agreed among the task force members and have the character of a recommendation
Part I
Introduction

Content
Chapter 1: explanation JNS
Chapter 2: background and risk to be tackled
Chapter 3: organization of work
Part I, Chapter 2.: Background and risk to be tackled

Background

Increased number of fixed brakes resulting in fires and wheel tread damages in the North of Italy

Reaction:

- National measures
- Notification JNS UP

Risks to be treated:

Unintended brake application of freight wagons equipped with LL IB 116* brake blocks that might lead to:

- Fires: flaming brake blocks
- Derailments: severe wheel tread damages

Outcomes:

- Fire risk not higher than with cast iron brake blocks ➔ Normal Procedure
- Short-term risk control measures
  - Avoid fixed brakes
  - Detect fixed brakes
  - Detect existing wheel tread damages
- Tasks for Normal Procedure (see slide 17)

In some events of a fixed brake, LL (organic) brake blocks do not dissipate sufficiently to avoid secondary damages.

- Malfunctioning of brake system
- Operational reasons (e.g. unreleased parking brakes)
- Exceeded brake pressure caused by locomotive brake system – pressure automatically pushed up after braking
- Unintended brake application
- Material or geometry of brake blocks

- Fire – spreading to load
- Fire – spreading to ground nearby tracks
- Damage of wheel
- Derailment

Note: focus on IB116* during Urgent Procedure

Part I, Chapter 2. : Background and risk to be tackled
Risk to be tackled in the Normal Procedure
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• 8 plenary Task Force meetings held, 1 further planned
• 24 subgroup meetings held
+ Further meetings on specific topics
Part I, Chapter 3. : Organization of work
List of tasks for the Normal Procedure

1. Continuous collection of relevant cases from all over Europe (past and new cases).
2. Further analysis of the cases collected.
3. Review the limits and conditions of use taking into account current requirements (TSI, EN, UIC) for the application of composite brake blocks (Type LL).
4. Possible harmonization of requirements for hot axle box and hot wheel detection systems.
5. Definition of test bench tests for further analyses, under which conditions the blocks will be flamed and / or plastic deformation of the wheel tread.
6. Further fire propagation evaluation.
7. Investigate statistics of cases with regards to possible differences in quality of LL brake blocks produced in different batches/locations (link with Sector project “Brake block wheel interaction”).
8. Investigate possible solutions to improve the braking system technologies and its operations (e.g. using FTA)
9. Analysis of influence of automatic speed control and braking systems (e.g. AFB, ATO).
10. Investigate technical solutions to detect directly on the loco braking system abnormalities (e.g. hot wheels, etc.).
11. Any Other Business – updates of the GCU concerning extraordinary wheel thread deformation
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In rail freight transport, robust and well-proven mechanical and pneumatical brake components are needed. Fixed brakes have occurred since today's air brakes have been invented more than 60 years ago and caused sparks emitted by the cast iron brake blocks and subsequent risk of fires next to the tracks or onboard the wagon.

Fixed brakes occur independently of the type of brake blocks. However, when the LL composite brake blocks were introduced all over the EU in the early 2010’s, the consequences differed from fixed cast iron brake blocks:
- Flaming brake blocks (with less high-energetic sparks);
- An increased probability of the occurrence of extraordinary wheel tread deformation.

Complete prevention of fixed brakes is economically not feasible.

The increase of the number of fixed brakes resulting in fires and wheel tread damages in the North of Italy at the end of 2021 has led to a JNS Urgent Procedure. This Normal Procedure is its follow-up and covers the activities describes on the next slides.
• Analysis of relevant cases of fixed LL composite brake blocks collected in the JNS Normal Procedure has shown that they have been caused by an unfavorable use (see slides nr. 26 to 30). Furthermore, a Fault Tree Analysis has been carried out to identify all possible causes of fixed brakes and how they are currently controlled.

• As a result, a list of risk control measures has been developed. This list contains measures to:
  • .. reduce the number of fixed brakes;
  • .. detect fixed brakes;
  • .. check wheels for extraordinary tread wear / deformation.

A number of measures refer to existing best practices that have not yet been well documented.

• **These risk control measures replace entirely the measures from the JNS Urgent Procedure**¹

• In order to better control the risk of fixed LL composite brake blocks, it is recommended that the safety managers of the actors concerned, namely ...

Railway undertakings (RU); Infrastructure Managers (IM); Entities in Charge of Maintenance of freight wagons/locomotives (ECM); Manufacturers of freight wagons/locomotives; Loaders/terminals ensure that these risk control measures are either implemented or, in case of alternative measures, at least the same level of safety is assured, respecting the provisions and responsibilities set out in Article 4 of the Railway Safety Directive (see slides 23 – 25).

• In addition, the need for changes in legislation and/or standards was examined. In this respect, the requirements for the design of brake blocks, fire safety, trackside detection systems and brake air quality have been analysed with the following conclusions:

• The requirements for the design of LL composite brake blocks are sufficiently covered in the current legal framework (Interoperability Directive, including the WAG TSI and the CSM on REA, the EN standard) and related UIC documents. However, the future WAG TSI should refer to only the EN16452 standard, which requires alignment with the UIC leaflet 541-4 and integration of the technical document ERA/TD/2013-02/INT. (see Part II, section 2.1);

• Requirements for spark arresters are missing in the WAG TSI (see Part II, section 2.2). A respective proposal for a change request has been formulated;

• There are no requirements for hot wheel detection systems in the INF TSI and the EN 15437-1 standard. In addition, there are no requirements on the use of these detection systems in the OPE TSI. Proposals for changes have been formulated. (see Part II, section 2.3);

• Regarding the air quality, railway-specific harmonized requirements are missing. The Task Force formulated a proposal for a standardization request. (see Part II, section 2.4).
Finally, the JNS Task Force looked for research needs and related ongoing projects. The focus was put on the block and wheel behavior in a fixed brake situation, possible differences of brake block properties caused by deviations in the manufacturing process and on rolling-stock side detection systems.

- The development of the coefficient of friction of brake blocks involved in a fixed brake was analyzed in order to determine if such brake blocks present an additional risk after the fixed brake event – this was not the case;
- The influence from the wheel-track contact force and the conditions under which a fixed brake can lead to extraordinary wheel tread deformation are further investigated in the sector project “brake block-wheel interaction”;
- The possibility that the deviations in the manufacturing process of composite LL brake blocks cause unwanted consequences of fixed brakes is investigated in the sector project “brake block-wheel interaction”;
- A first inventory was made of rolling stock-side detection systems with the potential to detect fixed brakes. At this stage, only test systems exist. The implementation needs further development and can not replace the trackside detection systems on short or medium term.
- The JNS Task Force did not identify further research needs and recommends to observe these developments and to start a review of the JNS Normal Procedure outcome based on the results of this work (see Part II, Chapter 3).
1. With the aim of developing and improving railway safety, Member States, within the limits of their competences, shall:

(d) ensure that the **responsibility for the safe operation** of the Union rail system **and the control of risks associated with it is laid upon the infrastructure managers and railway undertakings**, each for its part of the system, obliging them to:

(i) **implement necessary risk control measures** as referred to in point (a) of Article 6(1), where appropriate in cooperation with each other;

(ii) **apply Union and national rules**;

(iii) **establish safety management systems** in accordance with this Directive;

(e) without prejudice to civil liability in accordance with the legal requirements of the Member States, ensure that each infrastructure manager and each railway undertaking is made responsible for its part of the system and its safe operation, **including supply of materials and contracting of services vis-à-vis users, customers, the workers concerned and other actors referred to in paragraph 4**;
3. Railway undertakings and infrastructure managers shall:

(a) implement the necessary risk control measures referred to in point (a) of Article 6(1), where appropriate in cooperation with each other and with other actors;

(b) take account in their safety management systems of the risks associated with the activities of other actors and third parties;

(c) where appropriate, contractually oblige the other actors referred to in paragraph 4 having a potential impact on the safe operation of the Union rail system to implement risk control measures; and

(d) ensure that their contractors implement risk control measures through the application of the CSMs for monitoring processes set out in the CSMs on monitoring referred to in point (c) of Article 6(1), and that this is stipulated in contractual arrangements to be disclosed on request of the Agency or of the national safety authority.
5. Railway undertakings, infrastructure managers and any actor referred to in paragraph 4 who identifies or is informed of a safety risk relating to defects and construction non-conformities or malfunctions of technical equipment, including those of structural subsystems, shall, within the limits of their respective competence:

   (a) **take any necessary corrective measure** to tackle the safety risk identified;

   (b) **report those risks** to the relevant parties involved, in order to enable them to take any necessary further corrective action to ensure continuous achievement of the safety performance of the Union rail system. The Agency may establish a tool that facilitates this exchange of information among the relevant actors, taking into account the privacy of the users involved, the results of a cost-benefit analysis as well as the IT applications and registers already set up by the Agency.
A total of 19 cases were reported in a period of 24 months.

Information was collected according to an agreed template (can be found here) and using a dedicated email address.

15 incidents were categorized as “relevant”.

The detailed data on the incidents is summarized in the consolidated data collection table:

consolidated data table

The documents and photos submitted for each case can be found here:

thermal overload – incidents

6 cases with extraordinary wheel tread deformation and fire

5 cases with fire only

4 cases with extraordinary wheel tread deformation only

1) Information is not published and restricted to the JNS Task Force
2) After the closure of the Normal Procedure, the collection and analysis of cases will stop
• In 5 incidents, no information was provided on the cause
• In 2 incidents, a non-released handbrake was cited as the cause
• In 3 incidents, an overloaded distributor valve was suspected as the cause, which could not be clearly determined afterwards
• In 2 incidents, unconditional release times were suspected
• In 1 incident, a blocked brake rigging was listed as the cause
• In 1 incident, general operational reasons were given
Based on the 19 cases collected, the following observations can be made:

• No correlation to certain types of freight wagons;
• No correlation to certain types of locomotives;
• No correlation to the position of the wagon in the train;
• Cases occurred all over Europe;
• No correlation to the season;
• All incidents occurred with trains driving at a maximum speed of 100 km/h;
• Cases occurred both on level tracks and on tracks with slopes and gradients. No correlation to the topography;
Cases occurred with trains operated both manually and operated with automatic speed control and braking systems;

In the vast majority of cases, data from data recorder unavailable;

Reaction time of the brake has no influence: all kind of brake position (P, long locomotive and G) are involved;

When full brake tests on the fixed braked freight wagons were performed, mostly no errors were found;

Some cases occurred after a change of locomotive (e.g. at the borders);

Main information about the outcome of track side detection systems mostly not provided / available;

The data provided by the IMs and the RUs on the cases was not always complete to identify the root cause of the fixed brake event;
• Due to the technical, economical and organizational context in which the IMs and RUs operate, it is not possible to entirely prevent and detect fixed brakes.

⇒ The Task Force collected the best practices of measures
  • .. to reduce the number of fixed brakes (Part II, section 1.1);
  • .. to detect fixed brakes (Part II, section 1.2);
  • .. to check wheels for extraordinary tread wear / deformation (Part II, section 1.3).
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### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

**Overview**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Control Measures</th>
<th>...to reduce the number of fixed brakes</th>
<th>...to detect fixed brakes</th>
<th>...to check the wheels for extraordinary tread wear / deformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RU</td>
<td>Slides 34–40</td>
<td>Slides 53-58</td>
<td>Slides 60–61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM (wagon)</td>
<td>Slides 41–44</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Slides 60-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM (locomotive)</td>
<td>Slide 45</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer (wagon)</td>
<td>Slides 46–47</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer (locomotive)</td>
<td>Slide 48</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader/terminal</td>
<td>Slide 49</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>Slide 50</td>
<td>Slide 53-55</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Slide 51</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All actors are recommended to implement these risk control measures which replace entirely the risk control measures identified in the Urgent Procedure. For every measure, it is indicated which measure of the Urgent Procedure it replaces (if any) – see footnotes. Please note that measures 2.1.5 of the Urgent Procedure is **not** replaced by any measure of the Normal Procedure.
### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure appropriate application of the assimilation function:</td>
<td>Before departure:</td>
<td>When not applying appropriately the assimilation function, reduced pressure in the brake pipe along the train might occur which could lead to an overloaded control chamber.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Complete application of the assimilation function before applying the brake, unless operational conditions require otherwise</td>
<td>- In case of a change of a locomotive, including change of locomotive from one side of the train to the other;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use assimilation with overcharge memory function (EN 14198) if available</td>
<td>- After use of stationary devices,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- During performing the simplified brake test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train stand still for many hours; it has the brakes applied in cold climate and the sun heats up the A-chamber (or the ambient temperature rises quickly).</td>
<td>The brakes will not release because the pressure is increased in the A-chamber (volume dilatation and increasing pressure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During train run: manual assimilation shall be used, if overcharge automatic function not available.</td>
<td>If the locomotive is not equipped with an automatic assimilation function, the correct use of the manual assimilation function is essential.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure appropriate use and quantity of anti freezing liquid that still allow brake system to function</td>
<td>In case of necessity</td>
<td>If using too much anti freezing liquid, liquid can block the airpipe or get into the distributors. This creates the risk that the distributors do not get the signal to release the brakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and application of a brake test after change of the locomotive.</td>
<td>In case of driving using a higher brake pressure (e.g. when using a locomotive in the degraded state back up mode of the brake pipe pressure control system)</td>
<td>In case of driving a locomotive in degraded state back up mode of the brake pipe pressure control system with higher brake pipe normal working pressure (e.g. 5.4 bar), and after a change of locomotive, there is a risk of not releasing brakes due to limited max. overcharge pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure staff switches off only the malfunctioning brake system in the wagons with more than one brake system</td>
<td>In case wagons are equipped with more than 1 distributor valve and one or more malfunctioning brake systems</td>
<td>If a wagon or unit is equipped with more than one brake system and one or more brake system malfunction is detected, there is a risk that accidentally the non-faulty brake will be switched off.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ensure staff uses correctly the switch-off function of the brake system taking into account the properties of the components | Planning the trainings for the railway undertaking staff | Different behavior of distributors after switching off the brake:  
- KE brakes release;  
- Dako, Charmilles, Oerlikon, Westinghouse brakes apply:  
  If you do not use the release bar for Charmilles, Oerlikon, Dako and Westinghouse you have an unreleased / fixed brake.  
Different behavior if not applying the release bar:  
If you don’t use the release bar you are not able to release the distributor valve. |
| Ensure staff knows how to use handbrakes that are controlled by more than just the handbrake wheel | Planning the trainings for the railway undertaking staff | If the handbrake is not correctly used, this may result in a fixed brake. |
| Keep documentation which wagons are braked with handbrake / stop block (scotch) | Before departure simplified brake test: applying handbrake | When parking a train, it must be clearly documented / marked on which wagon the handbrake was applied, otherwise the applied brake will not be recognized on these wagons during the simplified brake test. |

---

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure staff treats all brake systems of a wagon as a separate brake system</td>
<td>Before departure: operating the brake e. g. isolating, pulling release bar</td>
<td>In case of wagons existing with more than 1 distributors, the train staff could wrongly operate the brake systems as a unique brake system causing, e.g. a wrong isolating or pulling release bar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equip staff with tools that allow them to check the functioning of the brakes, taking into account their visibilities (e. g telescope stick)</td>
<td>Before departure, when carrying out a full or simplified brake test, in cases of limited visibility of the brake system</td>
<td>With certain wagon designs, the status of the brake and / or handbrake cannot be clearly identified and can lead to not identified fixed brakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that staff correctly applies the classical (not automated) quick release function (filling stroke)</td>
<td>The filling stroke must be ended before distributor valves are in released position – the time limit for using the filling stroke depends on the train length.</td>
<td>Using the filling stroke after the distributor valves are in released position may lead to overloaded A-chambers and subsequently to a fixed brakes. The classical (not automated) high pressure quick release function is sensitive regarding the conditions of use (e.g. length of the train, brake position ...) and can therefore lead to wrong application by the train driver. In newer locomotives (produced from 2008 onwards) this function is therefore usually blocked.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that the train drivers use appropriately the quick release</td>
<td>Planning the trainings for the staff and during train operation</td>
<td>Locomotives are equipped with different quick release systems. These are operated differently according to the assimilation / overcharging function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>function</td>
<td>replaces measure 2.1.4(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that the train drivers know and consider the individual release</td>
<td>Planning the trainings for the staff for train operation</td>
<td>Each individual train has different release times influenced by train length, brake mode, type of loco, type of wagons. If the train driver accelerates too early, parts of the train will still be braked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>times of the train composition they are driving</td>
<td>new(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The automatic traction and braking system should only be used when</td>
<td>Not during train run on lines with continuous gradients (e. g. on lines where the “saw tooth” algorithm is used)</td>
<td>coordination / cooperation between automatic traction and braking system and wagon braking system can be suboptimal, in particular on lines with continuous gradients (see also JNS Task 9). (ETCS can change the permitted speed and so the train driver is not able to react on this situation (e. g. electrical brake system is for the new speed not sufficient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the dynamic brake is sufficient to control the train speed</td>
<td>new(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Railway undertakings (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The start-up test shall be carried out as follows:                     | On the first start-up of a regular freight train after train composition, parking, locomotive change or emergency brake intervention (and when the train driver knows the gradient of the line) a start-up test shall be carried out. | During the processes of:  
  - Composing a train;  
  - Parking a train;  
  - Changing a locomotive;  
  - Emergency brake intervention.                                                                                      |
| · If the traction unit is operated from the driver's cab, at least one window in the driver's cab shall be opened.  
· The train shall be set in motion with the lowest possible traction force.  
· When the train is in motion and has reached walking speed, the traction force shall be switched off. | When all conditions for the departure of the train have been met, the start-up test may begin.                                                                                                                  | one or more fixed brakes may be triggered and overlooked during the pre-departure checks.        |
| **Start-up test continues on next slide**                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |replacement measures 2.1.2 and 2.1.3<sup>1</sup>)                                                                                                   |

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The train shall be stopped immediately if any irregularities are detected, and the cause shall be investigated. Characteristics of irregularities may be:  
- significant reduction in speed (braking effects)  
- unusual jerking or tugging  
- grinding noises  
- no run-up of the wagons towards the loco | On the first start-up of a regular freight train after train composition, parking, locomotive change or emergency brake (when the train driver knows the gradient of the line) intervention a start-up test shall be carried out.  
When all conditions for the departure of the train have been met, the start-up test may begin. | During the processes of  
- Composing a train;  
- Parking a train;  
- Changing a locomotive;  
- Emergency brake intervention.  
one or more fixed brakes may be triggered and overlooked during the pre-departure checks. |
| a) If no irregularities are detected, the train may continue its journey. |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| b) If irregularities are detected, the start-up test shall be end and only be repeated after the train has been fully checked for forgotten drag shoe, handbrakes or unreleased brakes. ➔ Repeat the start up test |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

## Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Entity in charge of maintenance (ECM) – freight wagon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ECM shall provide information/instructions, directly or via the keeper, for the RU to ensure its staff uses correctly the brake components from different manufacturers. | Before the takeover of wagon by the railway undertaking                      | Distributors behave differently after switching off the brake:  
- KE brake releases;  
- Dako, Charmilles, Oerlikon, Westinghouse brakes apply:  
If you do not use the release bar for Charmilles, Oerlikon, Dako and Westinghouse you have an unreleased / fixed brake  
Different behavior applying the release bar:  
If you don`t use correctly the release bar you are not able to release the distributor valve |
| Replace “old” distributor valves                                        | In case of distributor valves from before 1982, which do not fulfil the requirements for protection against quick release function | Risk of overloading the distributor valves (A-chamber) when the train driver applies the quick release function                                                                                  |

## Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Entity in charge of maintenance (ECM) – freight wagon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Consider actual operational conditions (e.g. environmental conditions and transport performance) in maintenance plan (scope and interval) for in particular following components:  
- distributor valves;  
- relay valves;  
- slack adjustors. | When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan | If the maintenance plan does not sufficiently consider the actual operational conditions, the risk of spontaneous defects increases. |
| Drain the settling (sedimentation) chambers in appropriate intervals | When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan of the settling (sedimentation) chambers | Frost in combination with humidity could lead to malfunctions. |
| Consider the correct air quality (a harmonized air class quality to be used in maintenance is missing in the existing normative references) | When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan | The air quality supplied by locomotives, stationary devices and in the maintenance affect the long-term functioning of the air brake system |

# Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

## Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

**Entity in charge of maintenance (ECM) – freight wagon**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark correctly the wagons in accordance with EN 15877</td>
<td>In case a freight wagon is equipped with more than 1 distributor valve</td>
<td>If a wagon is not or incorrectly marked, the wagon may not be operated in accordance with the operational rules as set out in the RU’s Safety Management System (e.g. operation of all quick release bars).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grease appropriately the brake rigging taking into account sufficiently the actual operational conditions (e.g. environmental conditions and transport performance)</td>
<td>When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan</td>
<td>If the rigging is not sufficiently greased, a fixed brake due to a blocked rigging can occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the correct piston stroke</td>
<td>When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan</td>
<td>An incorrect piston stroke can cause the brake rigging to jam.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>new¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure sufficient air tightness of the brake system</td>
<td>When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan</td>
<td>If the accumulation of leaks in the brake pipe of the individual wagon exceeds the insensitivity gradient of the distributor valve, there is a fixed brake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation of indicators on the wagon to show the status of the parking brake (possible solution for new build wagons)</td>
<td>During maintenance at the workshop.</td>
<td>Without clear identification, an unreleased handbrake might not be detected during normal and simplified brake tests.</td>
<td>new¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that sufficient information on how to use the handbrakes is made available to the railway undertaking, either directly or via the keeper.</td>
<td>In case the wagon is equipped with an uncommon (handbrake that is controlled by more than just the handbrake wheel)</td>
<td>If the handbrake is not correctly used, this may result in a fixed brake.</td>
<td>new¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the availability of the assimilation function with memory function in accordance with EN 14198 on all locomotives</td>
<td>After the classification of the change according to Regulation 2018/545 Article 15(1), upgrading the locomotive in a workshop certified for the affected change</td>
<td>The overcharge memory function allows the automatization of the assimilation process, thereby avoiding consequences not applying appropriately the assimilation function (reduced pressure in the brake pipe along the train might occur which could lead to an overloaded control chamber).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider actual operational conditions (e.g. environmental conditions and transport performance) in maintenance plan (scope and interval)</td>
<td>When drafting and periodically reviewing the maintenance plan</td>
<td>If the maintenance plan does not sufficiently consider the actual operational conditions, the risk of spontaneous defects increases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply the correct air quality (a harmonized air class quality to be used in maintenance is missing in the existing normative references)</td>
<td>Provide traction for train run</td>
<td>If air quality is below recommended quality, long-term performance is not guaranteed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure appropriate use and quantity of anti freezing liquid that still allow brake system to function</td>
<td>During preventive maintenance (in case of necessity)</td>
<td>If using too much anti freezing liquid, liquid can block the airpipe or get into the distributors. This creates the risk that the distributors do not get the signal to release the brakes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

### Manufacturer freight wagon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that new freight wagons are equipped with air pipes which do not contain water traps. <em>(e.g. by complying with EN 14198 concerning the layout of the brake pipe)</em></td>
<td>During the design phase of the wagon</td>
<td>If, under winter conditions, the freezing of the condensed water that is collected in water traps, might congest the brake pipes and cause malfunctioning of the brake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that new freight wagons are equipped with (hand)brake systems which functions can reliably be checked by either:  - staff in the field (good visibility required)  - alternative methods</td>
<td>During the design phase of the wagon</td>
<td>With some of today’s wagons’ designs, the status of the brake and/or handbrake cannot be clearly identified and can lead to not identified fixed brakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that new freight wagons are equipped with indicators on the wagon that show the status of the handbrake</td>
<td>During the design phase of the wagon</td>
<td>Without clear identification, an unreleased handbrake might not be detected during normal and simplified brake tests.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equip new freight wagons with handbrakes that are controlled only by the handbrake wheel</td>
<td>During the design phase of the wagon <strong>new</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Handbrakes that are controlled not (only) by a handbrake wheel increase the probability of human error.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design new freight wagons in order to protect the air brake system</td>
<td>During the design phase of the wagon – after consultation with the distributor valve manufacturer <strong>new</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Inserting a filter in the wagon before the distributor valve could protect the distributor valve and the overall air brake system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

**Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes**

**Manufacturer locomotive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equip new locomotives with the assimilation function with overcharge memory function in accordance with EN 14198</td>
<td>During the design phase of the locomotive</td>
<td>The overcharge memory function allows the automatization of the assimilation process, thereby avoiding consequences not applying appropriately the assimilation function (reduced pressure in the brake pipe along the train might occur which could lead to an overloaded control chamber).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that new locomotives are equipped with the automated quick release function</td>
<td>During the design phase of the locomotive</td>
<td>The automated quick release function prevents the distribution valves from being overcharged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply the correct air quality (see EN14198)</td>
<td>Design of the locomotive</td>
<td>If air quality is below recommended quality, long-term performance is not guaranteed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equip new freight locomotives with a function implementing the «start-up test» and disabling the automatic traction and braking system.</td>
<td>During the design phase of the locomotive</td>
<td>Limit human factors in the application of the recommendations to reduce the number of fixed brakes. (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*new\(^1\)*

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that staff of loaders/terminal correctly load and unload the freight wagons by complying with the requirements for loading and unloading individually defined by the wagon keeper and manufacturer</td>
<td>When developing and updating the training plan</td>
<td>If the freight wagon is loaded / unloaded with applied brakes, this can lead to a locked brake rigging. ¹)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


²) It is recommended to amend the UIC Loading Guidelines to include this point.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply the correct air quality (a harmonized air class quality to be used in maintenance is missing in the existing normative references - see Part II, Chapter 2, section 2.4)</td>
<td>Provide air for stationary brake testing rigs</td>
<td>If air quality is below recommended quality, long-term performance is not guaranteed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures

#### Section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply the correct air quality as defined by ECM II in the maintenance plan</td>
<td>Provide air for stationary brake testing rigs</td>
<td>If air quality is below recommended quality, long-term performance is not guaranteed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part II, Chapter 1
Risk control measures

Content

Part II, section 1.1: Measures to reduce the number of fixed brakes
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Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
Section 1.2: Measures to detect fixed brakes

**Railway undertaking (RU) & Infrastructure managers (IM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detection of hot and thermal overloaded wheels with hot wheel and hot axle box detection systems</td>
<td>In case of any alarm, stop the train (based on infrastructure rules), (IM, RU):</td>
<td>Fixed brakes can cause thermal overload, leading to derailments, or fires alongside the tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hot wheel detection systems with appropriate alarm level (warm and hot), e.g.:</td>
<td>• Warm alarm: less than or equal to 300°C</td>
<td>replaces measures 2.2.1 and 2.2.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hot alarm: between 300° and 500° C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hot axle box detection system: In case of any alarm on a tread braked freight train check in addition to the axle box also the wheel.</td>
<td>• In case of extraordinary wheel tread deformation (RU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In case of detection of thermal overload: the GCU requirements need to be followed,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In case of extraordinary wheel tread deformation: Detach the wagon (RU), Change the wheelset and handover to off vehicle wheelset maintenance. (See section 1.3).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part II, Chapter 1. : Risk Control Measures
Section 1.2 – Measures to detect fixed brakes
Best practice of trackside detection devices – RU & IM

• General recommendation for harmonization:
  • New systems on lines with tread braked freight application: combined HABD/HWD – systems;
  • Renewing of systems: also combined HABD/HWD – systems;
  • Average distance between detectors: 30 to 80 km;
  • Mounting of HWD based on risk assessment carried out by IM/ RU: e.g. at the end of long steep slopes, before long tunnels, ..;
  • Reminder: The additional measures after an alarm are defined in the result of the JNS Procedure ➔ check of the wheels for signs of thermal overload and for extra ordinary wheel tread deformation (see Part II, Section 1.3).

• HWD - specific recommendations for harmonization:
  • Appropriate alarm level with “warm” alarm (e.g. 200 – 300°C ) and “hot” alarm (e.g. 375 – 500°C );
  • The values for the temperature alarm level should be defined from RU and IM based at EN 15437-1, and based on their individual risk assessment, taking in account their individual context;
  • Depending on type of trains* (e.g trains with brake disc) different alarm level and subsequent actions should be applied, e.g. in Switzerland: train-specific actions after alarm.

• HADB – specific recommendations for harmonization:
  • Task Force did not identify any need to change the EN 15437 – 1 standard.

* Identification of the train by train-number or in the future by identification systems like RFID tags
### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
#### Section 1.2: Measures to detect fixed brakes

**Railway undertaking (RU) and Infrastructure Managers (IM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detection of hot wheels and thermal overload after fixed brakes or flaming brake blocks.</td>
<td>In case of any fixed brake or flaming brake blocks, stop the train (based on infrastructure rules), (IM, RU):</td>
<td>Fixed brakes can cause thermal overload, leading to derailments, or fires alongside the tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All staff in operation (train drivers, maintenance staff, signalers, etc.) who detect flaming brake blocks coming from trains, should activate the procedure to inform the train driver of the train with flaming brake blocks, to inform the problem occurred, to adopt the specific measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The measure includes the detection of fixed brake directly by the train driver by using systems such as rearview mirrors and cameras (if available).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case of any irregularities, stop the train (based on infrastructure rules), (IM, RU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Check of the wheels for signs of thermal overload (RU),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Check of the wheel for extra ordinary wheel tread deformation (RU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In case of detection of thermal overload: the GCU requirements need to be followed,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In case of extraordinary wheel tread deformation: Detach the wagon (RU), Change the wheelset and handover to off vehicle wheelset maintenance. (See Part II, Section 1.3).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
#### Section 1.2: Measures to detect fixed brakes

**Railway undertaking (RU)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Detection of consequences of fixed brakes by indicators on the brake blocks (examples on slide 57 and 58):  
  - Change of color on the block at the level of the interface between the block and the wheel tread,  
  - Carbonized or fused brake blocks,  
  - See the pictures next slides  
  - In case of detection of thermal overload: the GCU requirements need to be followed,  
  - In case of extraordinary wheel tread deformation: Detach the wagon, change the wheelset and handover to off vehicle wheelset maintenance. | During any inspection of the wagon. | Fixed brakes can cause thermal overload, leading to derailments, or fires alongside the tracks. |

Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
Section 1.2: Measures to detect fixed brakes

Brake block in normal conditions

Change of color and broken out brake block material

Change of color on the brake block and burned paint on the rim web transition of the wheel

Change of color of the brake block

Examples
Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
Section 1.2: Measures to detect fixed brakes

Examples

Fused brake block
Fused brake block
Carbonized brake block
Part II, Chapter 1
Risk control measures
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Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
Section 1.3: checks for extraordinary tread wear / deformation

Railway undertaking (RU) and Entity in Charge of Maintenance (ECM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>When to apply</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual check of the wheel tread has to be performed (RU, ECM). Extraordinary wheel tread deformation (see next slide) is not permitted</td>
<td>After • a fixed brake, • hot axle box detection alarm • hot wheel detection alarm • a brake incident (see slides before) • detecting flames or being informed about flames on the train • or any other signs of thermal overload of a wheel</td>
<td>Wheels with extraordinary tread wear/deformation might lead to derailments. replaces measure 2.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Change the wheelset and hand over to off vehicle wheelset maintenance, apply the rules for change the wheelsets like for hot axle boxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Apply the measures after thermal overload, see EN 15313 and GCU(2) (ECM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Extraordinary wheel tread deformation will be implemented in the next version of the GCU (expected in 2025)
Part II, Chapter 1: Risk Control Measures
Section 1.3: checks for extraordinary tread wear / deformation

Railway undertaking (RU) and Entity in Charge of Maintenance (ECM)

Extraordinary wheel tread deformation on the outer side of the tread

The measurement tool is not part of the assessment

Extraordinary wheel tread deformation near the flange

Extraordinary wheel tread deformation on the outer side of the tread and near the flange
Part II, Chapter 2
Changes in legislation and standards
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Part II, Chapter 2. : Changes in legislation and standards

Section 2.1 – Composite brake blocks

Overview - EU legislative framework

Interoperability Directive (EU/2016/797)

essential requirements in sections 1.1-1.6 (general) and 2.4 (specific to RST) of Annex III (adopts the New approach principals of Decision No 768/2008/EC. See also Blue Guide)

TSI WAG + risk-based approach using

Regulation (EU) 402/2013 (CSM - Risk Evaluation and Assessment)

Mandatory interoperability requirements in WAGTSI

- Clauses 4.2.4.3.5 & 6.1.2.5
- Clause 4.2.4.2 requires the use of CSM REA
- Clause 5.3.4a – Interoperability Constituents

Essential requirements not covered by WAG TSI application of Regulation (EU) No 402/2013 (CSM REA)

One possibility: EN 16452:2015

Harmonized standards giving presumption of conformity to the essential requirement of the Directive

UIC leaflet 541-4

Comprehensive collection of rules with focus on “go everywhere” wagons within the former RIV (interchangeability). Was basis for WAG TSI and EN 16452 requirements.

The applicant shall define the limits and conditions of use.

In case of UIC 541-4-conform LL blocks: “UIC usage guidelines for composite (LL) brake blocks (10th edition)”

⇒ Clause 7.1.2(f) of WAG TSI allows for mutual recognition of the first authorisation only if Appendix C.9 & C.14 are applied (risk acceptance principle 1 of the CSM-REA (codes of practice)).

⇒ In case risk acceptance principles 2 (reference system) or 3 (explicit risk analysis) are used, authorisation has to be obtained in each MS separately.
Part II, Chapter 2. : Changes in legislation and standards  
Section 2.1 – Composite brake blocks

Comparison of product requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(New approach acc. to Blue guide) (Annex III 1-1.1-6, 2.4)</td>
<td>(Necessity of fulfillment of C.9. C.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dynamic friction behaviour</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metallic inclusions</td>
<td>not required</td>
<td>Required if indicated by risk assessment</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>should be done</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter performance</td>
<td>only required if friction element is intended to be suitable for severe environmental conditions</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fusible test</td>
<td>not required</td>
<td>Required if indicated by risk assessment</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shuntage test</td>
<td>only required if friction element is intended to be suitable for train detection by systems based on track circuits also mandatorily required by 7.1.2</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanical properties</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>static friction behaviour</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slip test</td>
<td>not required</td>
<td>Required if indicated by risk assessment</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service test</td>
<td>not required</td>
<td>Required if indicated by risk assessment</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Comparison of Product Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic Friction Behaviour</strong></td>
<td>164 brakings 1.8 t and 11.25 t 120 kph max</td>
<td>149 brakings 1.8 t and 11.25 t 120 kph max</td>
<td>149 brakings masses defineable max speed defineable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metallic Inclusions</strong></td>
<td>MPU test is similar for UIC and EN</td>
<td>Not required for interoperability <em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
<td><em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter Performance</strong></td>
<td>Content of winter performance test (track test) is similar for all; Possibility to perform tests at RTA facilities described only in UIC Possibility of bench tests described in UIC and TSI <em>(Feasibility and assessment of results using TSI description is questionable)</em></td>
<td>Not required for interoperability <em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
<td><em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fusible Test</strong></td>
<td>Fusible test is similar for UIC and EN</td>
<td>Not required for interoperability <em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
<td><em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shuntage Test</strong></td>
<td>Shuntage test (subscale) is similar for all UIC and EN provide the possibility of track tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanical Properties</strong></td>
<td>Content of the mechanical properties test is similar for all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Static Friction Behaviour</strong></td>
<td>20 brakings 5 kN, 14 kN, 30 kN, 40 kN</td>
<td>20 brakings 1/4, 1/2, 3/4 of Fpbmax and Fpbmax Fpbmax defineable</td>
<td>20 brakings 1/4, 1/2, 3/4 of Fpbmax and Fpbmax Fpbmax defineable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slip Tests</strong></td>
<td>Identically</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service Test</strong></td>
<td>20 axles 12 months 60000 km each axle</td>
<td>5 wagons 12 months 60000 km each axle</td>
<td>Not required for interoperability <em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Required if indicated by risk assessment)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not mandatory but recommended*
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**Conclusion**

- The *Usage guidelines for composite (LL) brake blocks* published by UIC provide the basis for the limits and conditions of use for LL blocks. [Link to UIC usage guideline]

- There is no need to change the content, however it should be transferred to more prominent documents (see Recommendations)

- The LL - brake block types IB116* and J847, are conform to TSI WAG Appendix C.9 which equals to conformity to UIC 541-4:2010.
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Conclusion

• For blocks not conforming to TSI WAG Appendix C.9 and C.14 an explicit risk assessment has to be carried out to cover the risk linked to a locked brake scenario. The vehicle using these block types has to be authorised by each NSA separately.

• UIC 541-4:2020 represents currently the most developed requirements for composite brake blocks. The JNS NP task force recommends to update the WAG TSI and EN 16452 accordingly.

• Clause 4.2.4.2 of TSI WAG requires risk assessment for the braking system. UIC brake system in accordance with Appendix C.9 and C.14 does not need a risk assessment
Usage guidelines for composite (LL) brake blocks
• Transfer content to:
  • EN 16452 concerning the brake blocks;
  • UIC 541-4 concerning the brake operation;
  • EN 15313 concerning the wheels.

EN 16452:2015 (currently under revision)
• Align with the most recent version of UIC 541-4;
• Integrate the generic approach of ERA/TD/2013-02/INT version 3.0 of 27/11/2015.

WAG TSI
• Refer to future EN 16452 as described above instead of ERA/TD/2013-2/INT version 3.0 of 27/11/2015 and UIC 541-4;
• Discuss removal of point (f) of clause 7.1.2 of WAG TSI:2023 in the TSI working party.
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Part II, section 2.2: Fire Safety

Part II, section 2.3: Trackside detection systems for hot wheels and hot axle boxes

Part II, section 2.4: Brake air quality
In the WAG TSI 2023, fire safety on board of freight wagons refers to
- “barriers”,
- “materials”,
- “cables” and
- “flammable liquids”.

There are no cables and flammable liquids near the brake blocks of normal freight wagons.

Spark arrester plates might touch requirements on barriers and materials.

Analysis is focusing on “Barriers” and “Materials” only.
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Overview - EU legislative framework

Requirements on “Barriers” and “Materials” in EU legislation

EU Railway legislation:
TSI WAG + risk-based approach using
Regulation (EU) 402/2013 (CSM - Risk Evaluation and Assessment)

Interoperability Directive (EU/2016/797)
- essential requirements in sections 1.1-1.6 (general) and 2.4 (specific to RST) of Annex III
  (adopts the New approach principals of Decision No 768/2008/EC. See also Blue Guide)

Mandatory interoperability requirements in WAG TSI
- Clause 4.2.6.1.2.1. “Fire safety - Barriers”
  ➔ Conformity assessment in clause 6.2.2.8.1.
- Clause 4.2.6.1.2.2. “Fire safety – Materials”
  ➔ Conformity assessment in clause 6.2.2.8.2.

Essential requirements not covered by WAG TSI
application of Regulation (EU) No 402/2013 (CSM REA)

The applicant has to define the limits and conditions of use!

Appendix C (no additional requirements)
Additional optional conditions for “go everywhere” wagons
(strictly speaking not in scope of TSI)

EN 1363-1:2020
ISO 5658-2:2006/Am1:2011
EN 13501-1:2018
EN 45545-2:2020

If risk assessment shows the need:
- UIC leaflet 543 for spark arrester plates
- ...

EN 14068-1:2007
EN 14442:2006
EN 14446:2005
EN 14459:2005
EN 14460:2006
EN 14461:2006
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Requirements in the WAG TSI on “Barriers”

4.2.6. System protection
4.2.6.1. Fire safety
4.2.6.1.1. General
All significant potential fire sources (high risk components) on the unit shall be identified. The fire safety aspects of the unit design shall be aimed at:

— preventing a fire from occurring,
— limiting the effects if a fire occurs.

The goods carried on the unit are not part of the unit and do not have to be taken into account in the conformity assessment.

4.2.6.1.2. Functional and technical specification
4.2.6.1.2.1. Barriers
In order to limit the effects of fire, fire barriers with integrity of at least 15 minutes shall be installed between the identified potential fire sources (high risk components) and the carried load.

The demonstration of conformity is described in point 6.2.2.8.1.
**Barriers**

- Neither clause 6.2.2.8.1 of TSI WAG nor the referenced EN 1363-1, nor any other EN (e.g. EN45545-ff) deal with spark arrester plates. Only UIC leaflet 543 contains requirements on them.
- RID does also not contain any technical requirements on spark arrester plates.
- If the risk assessment according to Regulation (EU) No 402/2013 (CSM REA) indicates a fire risk caused by sparks from the brake blocks, one way for the manufacturer to control the risk might be the application of the UIC leaflet 543.
- The spark arrester plates according to UIC 543 are defined as 2mm thick and would therefore be themselves compliant with the WAG TSI requirements on barriers.

**Question 1:** Are requirements on spark arrester plates missing in WAG TSI or in the EN?

**Question 2:** Is UIC leaflet 543:2018 on spark arrester plates still sufficient?
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Question 1: Are requirements on spark arrester plates missing in WAG TSI or EN

- JNS TF is of the opinion that spark arrester plates are specific “Barriers”. However, spark arresters are currently not sufficiently described for freight wagons.

- Therefore, it is recommended to refer in the WAG TSI to a new ERA Technical Document with the requirements of the UIC 543:2018 on spark arresters.

- In addition, particular non-EU manufacturers might not be aware of the UIC leaflet due to its unofficial status. A good wagon builder should have respected older best practice – even if not legally binding. But there is a risk that some wagon builders would not apply this best practice.

Answer to Question 1:

➡ JNS TF will submit a change request for the WAG TSI (see next slide).
➡ Proposal will contain reference to an ERA Technical Document with UIC requirements.
➡ The proposed ERA TD might later be transferred into an EN to which the TSI can refer.
Addition to clause 4.2.6.1.2.1. in WAG TSI\(^1\): 

4.2.6.1.2.1. Barriers and spark arresters 

In order to limit the effects of fire, fire barriers with integrity of at least 15 minutes shall be installed between the identified potential fire sources (high risk components) and the carried load. 

The following tread braked units shall be equipped with spark arresters: 

- Units with floors made of inflammable materials 
- Flat units without flooring 
- Flat units with gaps in the flooring to house the tread-braked wheels except units solely used for the transport of incombustible products (e.g. steel products) 

The spark arresters shall comply with the requirements of sections of ERA Technical Document ERA/TD/2024-0x/xxx. 

---
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Question 2: Is UIC leaflet 543:2018 on spark arrester plates sufficient?

• Only point 3 in the UIC leaflet refers to spark arrester plates for block braked wagons

• Applicable only to wagons with flammable floor and flat wagons without or with interrupted floor

• Annex A: Dimensions for wagons with single axles

• Annex B: Dimensions for wagons with bogies

• Length of the spark arrester plates is nom. wheel diameter new (e.g. 920mm) plus 200mm
In 2021, during the JNS urgent procedure, the size of the spark arrester plates in accordance with UIC leaflet 543:2018 had been compared with the results of the dynamometer bench tests from DB of 2020 regarding the fire protection evaluation of composite brake blocks.

The outcome was that the size as defined in the UIC leaflet 543:2018 is still sufficient.

However, no distinction is made between tread- and disc braked units in the UIC 543:2018.

It also has to be checked, if the references from 2018 to the RID are still up to date.

Answer to Question 2:

From the technical point of view, the requirements are still sufficient, editorially updates might be needed.

The change request of the JNS TF will introduce a distinction between tread- and disc braked units and will consider needed updates.
Part II, Chapter 2. : Changes in legislation and standards
Section 2.2 – Analysis regarding fire safety

Requirements in the WAG TSI on “Materials”

4.2.6.1.2.2. Materials
All permanent materials used on the unit shall have limited ignitability and flame spread properties, unless:

— the material is separated from all potential fire risks on the unit by a fire barrier and the safe application is supported by a risk assessment, or

— the component has a mass < 400 g, and is located within a horizontal distance of ≥ 40 mm and a vertical distance of ≥ 400 mm to other non-tested components.

The demonstration of conformity is described in point 6.2.2.8.2.

6.2.2.8.2. Materials
Testing of the materials ignitability and flame spread properties shall be performed in accordance with the specification referenced in Appendix D Index [20] for which the limit value shall be CFE ≥ 18 kW/m².

For rubber parts of bogies, the testing shall be performed in accordance with the specification referenced in Appendix D Index [21] for which the limit value shall be MARHE ≤ 90 kW/m² under the test conditions set out in the specification referenced in Appendix D Index [22].

For the following materials and components the fire safety requirements are deemed to comply with the required ignitability and flame spread properties:

— Wheelsets, coated or uncoated,
— metals and alloys with inorganic coatings (such as, but not limited to: galvanised coating, anodic coating, chromate film, phosphate conversion coating),
— metals and alloys with an organic coating with a nominal thickness less than 0.3 mm (such as, but not limited to paints, plastic coating, asphaltic coating),
— metals and alloys with a combined inorganic and organic coating of which the nominal thickness of the organic layer is less than 0.3 mm,
— glass, stoneware, ceramic and natural stone products,
— materials that meet the requirements of category C-s3, d2 or higher in accordance with the specification referenced in Appendix D Index [21].
Materials

- Components around the brake blocks are made of metals or alloys with an organic coating with a nominal thickness of less than 0.3mm.
- Materials around the brake blocks are compliant with the TSI WAG requirements on materials.
- The brake blocks themselves are deemed burnable, so demonstration to be compliant with clause 6.2.2.8.2 of the WAG TSI 2023 (limit value of CFE ≥ 18 kW/m²) is to be demonstrated by the block manufacturer.

Current requirements on materials in WAG TSI and ENs are considered sufficient
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Part II, section 2.3: Trackside detection systems for hot wheels and hot axle boxes
Part II, section 2.4: Brake air quality
Addition of a new clause in TSI INF\(^1\):

### 4.2.13 Track side detection systems for monitoring trains

The IM shall check using the CSM’s (notwithstanding the responsibility and accountability of other actors and third parties) the need for track side Hot Axle Box Detection Systems and Hot Wheel Detection Systems. If the systems are needed, they shall be able to trigger an alarm to ensure that appropriate restrictions are applied to the train.

Regarding the interfaces to TSI WAG, changes to related clauses in chapter 4.3 of TSI INF are proposed on the next slide (correspondence table nr. 16 - “Interfaces with the rolling stock subsystem”).

For TSI Loc&Pass and possible other TSIs, a similar exercise still needs to be done.

The ongoing UIC Project ‘NETWORK MONITOR’ will address track side Hot Axle Box Detection Systems and Hot Wheel Detection systems. The outcome of this project may lead to additions to this clause of the TSI INF (see also Part II, Chapter 3).

---

4.3.1 Interfaces with the rolling stock subsystem

- Add a new line in table 16 „Interfaces with the rolling stock subsystem, ‘Freight Wagons TSI’”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>Reference Infrastructure TSI</th>
<th>Reference Conventional Rail Freight Wagons TSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot Axle Box Detection Systems</td>
<td>4.2.13 Track side detection systems for monitoring trains</td>
<td>4.2.3.4. Axle bearing condition monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same has to be done in the table of correspondence in table 15 „Interfaces with the rolling stock subsystem, ‘Locomotives and Passenger Rolling Stock TSI’.”

Appendix B Tabel 37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics to be assessed</th>
<th>New line or Upgrade/renewal project</th>
<th>Particular assessment procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Review</td>
<td>Assembly before putting into service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.13 Track side detection systems for monitoring trains</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Proposals for additions and amendments in TSI OPE\textsuperscript{1)}

4.2.3.6.2  Advice to train drivers

In any case of degraded operation associated with the infrastructure manager's area of responsibility, the infrastructure manager shall give formal instructions to drivers on what measures to take in order to safely overcome the degradation.

In case of defined anomalies to the vehicles of a train signaled by the trackside detection systems [link with TSI INF], the signaler must apply the relevant restrictions, if the system itself has not done so automatically, and inform the driver with available information relating to the alarm reported.

4.2.3.5.1.  Recording of monitoring data outside the train

As a minimum, the infrastructure manager shall record the following data:

— the failure of lineside equipment associated with the movement of trains (signalling, points etc.);

— the detection of an overheating axle bearing or wheel, if fitted;

— safety related communication between the train driver and signaler
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Proposals for a new standard on Hot Wheel Detection systems (HWD)

• Development of a new standard for interface and design requirements of hot wheel detection systems (HWD);

• Based on the EN 15437-1 “Railway applications – Axlebox condition monitoring – interface and design requirements”;

• Proposed content (in addition to slide 54):
  • General information about HWD;
  • Interface recommendations for target area for wheels, axle- or wheel-mounted brake discs;
  • Alarm level (hot/warm) with informative examples for thresholds;
  • Relevant parameters for the installation on the network.
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Part II, section 2.2: Fire Safety
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Part II, section 2.4: Brake air quality
The Task Force recommends to harmonize brake air quality requirements based on the air quality classes defined in the new ISO 4975 standard, covering following applications:

a) implementation of air supply systems on the locomotives;
b) design of pneumatic parts of the brake system;
c) stationary air supply devices (maintenance workshops, shunting yards, test rigs, etc.).

For a): during the revision of EN 14198 – *Railway applications – Braking – Requirements for the brake system of trains hauled by locomotives*, brake air quality requirements for the locomotive air supply units where defined based on ISO 4975 within CEN/TC256/WG47. It is currently in status “comments resolution”;

For b): the JNS Task Force recommends the CEN/TC256/WG47 to consider the new ISO standard during the revision of standards for pneumatic parts;

For c): The JNS Task Force recommends UIC to take this task forward for the stationary air supply devices;

Afterwards, subsequent changes in the TSI shall be discussed in the TSI working parties.
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Part III, section 3.2: rolling stock side brake test and monitoring systems
Part III, section 3.3: track-side detection systems
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Section 3.1 – Block and wheel behavior in a fixed brake situation

• Objective:
  • definition of test bench tests for further analyses of the conditions under which the blocks will be flamed and/or plastic deformation of the wheel tread will occur

• Main questions:
  1. What is the influence from the wheel-rail contact force on the hot wheel tread?
  2. Under which conditions (forces, time, speed) will the blocks be flamed or suffer unexpected wheel tread deformations?
  3. Cof (coefficient of friction) development after thermal overloading of organic LL-blocks

• Deliverables:
  • Performing thermal and/or plastic deformation simulation of the wheel
  • Comparison between locked brake test program and real conditions on basis of analysis

• Later to be answered depending on the other results:
  • Are the requirements of homologation in the validation protocol representative of the conditions in operation after analysis the relevant cases?
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Results of Task Force analyses

Regarding question 1 (see slide 88):
• The Task Force members started to analyse the UIC report B 169 / RP 23: “Wheels - Definition of the extraordinary thermomechanical stresses on wheels due to composite brake blocks” from 2007. This report did not provide a sufficient answer.

• However, the Task Force identified the UIC sector project WP1.2. that attempts to answer the question “What is the influence of the wheel temperature on mechanical properties and wear development?” (outcome of this project should also answer question 1)

➔ The Task Force recommends to wait for the results of UIC sector project WP1.2

Regarding question 2 (see slide 88):
• The Task Force analysed if Railway Undertakings (RU) or Infrastructure Managers (IM) could provide information on the development of flames after fixed brakes with the intention of determining the speed, distance and application force. It was concluded that the RUs and IMs cannot provide such information.

➔ The Task Force recommends to wait for the results of UIC sector project WP1.2
Regarding question 3 (see slide 88):

- The Task Force performed a service test (A11, UIC 541-4) “in real service conditions” on a set of damaged brake blocks of type IB 116* which was involved in a fixed brake event.
- The results of this test were compared with a similar test (same program) with a standard wheel and new blocks.

⇒ It was concluded that using a brake block damaged by a fixed brake event does not generate a higher risk for damages to the wheel.

⇒ The Task Force does not see the need for further research concerning composite brake block-wheel interaction in a fixed brake situation.
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Part III, section 3.2: rolling stock side brake test and monitoring systems

Part III, section 3.3: track-side detection systems
VTG is currently testing two different brake monitoring systems, which could be upgraded to show brake abnormalities during travelling as information to the train driver:

A. Together with SBB Cargo on TWIN-III double pocket wagons
   Manufacturer of the equipment: PJM
   Plan is to equip 75 wagons (mixed traffic with Sgnss from SBB Cargo, which are also equipped with similar systems)

B. Together with BoxXpress on Sggnss 80’ wagons
   Manufacturer of the equipment: SIEMENS
   Plan is to equip 56 wagons (aim is to run two complete trainsets of 23 wagons)

DB project AmaBPro (similar to the VTG projects)

Technical solution developed by Knorr Bremse

- In 2020, approximately 130 freight wagons were equipped with Brake System Monitoring systems similar to the VTG system. A milage of more than 1 Mio km where reached. Collected data was shared using a cloud-based IT system.
- A system to support “Automated Brake Tests” is currently under development and field test are planned.
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VTG project A: Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

**Digital Innovation in Rail Freight**

› Small battery-based telematics box
  Position, mileage; limited monitoring capabilities, no automation

› Advanced monitoring and automation system
  Full real-time monitoring capabilities for assets and cargo, power supply, condition based maintenance, in-train communication, safety and non-safety relevant process automation

› DAC – Digital Automated Coupler
  Automated (de-)coupling, cooperation PJM/Voith
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VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

WaggonTracker: One Modular System for all Use Cases
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VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III
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VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

In-Train Communication → Intelligent Freight Train

› In-train long-range wireless system
› Direct communication
› Wagon in stand-by
› Relaying possible

› Designed for maximum availability
› Encrypted, secure connection
› locally available, inter-operable, fully integrated, open interfaces

› In Cooperation with Rail Cargo Wagon, SBB CFF FFS Cargo, Mercitalia Rail
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VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

Intelligent Freight Train –
Real-Time Monitoring during Train Operation

Real-time monitoring
› Brake status of last wagon
› End of train status
› Derailment diagnosis
› Hot axle box warning
› Automatic/remote controlled park brake
› Automatic/remote controlled de-coupling
› Diagnosis of faulty braking wagons during operation (adjust pressure)
› Safety relevant measurement of trestle/hitch
› Base for future requirements

Developed in cooperation with

SBB CFF FFS Cargo
Rail Cargo Group
Mercitalia Rail

Intelligent Freight Rail Solutions | PJM WaggonTracker
Automated Brake Test and Partly Automated Train Preparation

Main Scope
› Reliable and safe detection of brake state
› Increase safety, efficiency, availability

Support Train Preparation
› G/P switch position
› Train length
› Brake calculation
› Verification of train wagon order

Base For Further Applications
› Trestle / hitch: safe determination of kingpin and lock state
› Brake system monitoring during train operation (faulty braking, train separation, flat spots, etc.)
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VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

Automated Brake Test – System Integration

**AUTHENTICATION**
- Security
- Interoperability

**IT INTEGRATION RU**
- Wagon list
- Test results, logs

**OPERATIONAL INTEGRATION**
- Adaptation to the operation processes of railway undertaking

**MOBILE DEVICE**
- Radio Module for train communication
- Commercial tablet
- Brake Test app

**WAGGON EQUIPMENT**
- Safety electronics and sensor system
- Radio communication
- Powersupply

RU: Integration in SMS necessary

**Technology**
- SIL 2
- Open interfaces
- Secure communication with all equipped wagons (also of different keepers)

**PJM as Solution Provider**
- PJM supports the RUs in the integration
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VTG project A: Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III

Automated Brake Test – Safety for Process Automation

Safety relevant development
- according to EN 50126, EN 50129, EN 50657, EN 50159, EN 61508, EN 62061, EN 50155, et al.

Safety relevant system solution
- SIL2 sensors in combination with safety electronics for safe and reliable status determination
- Wireless LoRa in train communication system with safe communication protocol
- Utilization of existing standard tablets for status visualization

Homologation and approval by TÜV Süd Rail
- WaggonTracker ABP is the first and only approved automated brake test system in Europe
Part II, Chapter 3. : Research needs and related ongoing projects
Section 3.2 – rolling stock side brake test and monitoring systems
VTG project A : Double-pocket wagons Sdggmrss TWIN-III
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VTG project B : Sggn(s) 80’ 4-axle container wagons
### Part II, Chapter 3. Research needs and related ongoing projects

#### Section 3.2 – rolling stock side brake test and monitoring systems

**VTG project B: Sggns(s) 80’ 4-axle container wagons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Connection</th>
<th>Communication</th>
<th>Receiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1x Main pipe pressure</td>
<td>If MPP &gt; 3.5 bar + velocity = 0 (no vibrations detected) = standstill &amp; pressure measurable + release brake → Boot up the System, record the status, send it to the BMS-box Pressure sensor</td>
<td>Drucksensor</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x T pressure</td>
<td>Weight/overloading Pressure sensor</td>
<td>Drucksensor</td>
<td>3-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1x R-pressure_Pos. 18</td>
<td>If brake lever = „on“ and R-pressure &gt; 0,5 bar, → brake on → otherwise we display an black X (for brake system off) Pressure sensor</td>
<td>Drucksensor</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x C-pressure_Pos. 19</td>
<td>If pressure &gt; 0,2 Bar, then the brake is applied→ (red light), otherwise the brake is released → (green light) Pressure sensor</td>
<td>Drucksensor</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brake lever on/off</td>
<td>If brake lever = „off“ → brake is off (black cross) Schaltelement</td>
<td>Schaltelement</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand brake sensor</td>
<td>Hand brake (Induktive sensor) completely released / not released Proximity sensor</td>
<td>Proximity sensor</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/P Sensor</td>
<td>Position of the G/P lever (Induktive sensor) Schaltelement</td>
<td>Schaltelement</td>
<td>2-pole</td>
<td>By wire</td>
<td>BrakeMBox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brake-Pad-Sensoren for up to 3 bogies</td>
<td>2 contacts per pad (at 5mm and 4mm wear) → 5mm prewarning, 4mm warning signal Wire loops</td>
<td>Schaltelement</td>
<td>2-wire loops per Pad</td>
<td>Wireless</td>
<td>Telematik</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Per distributor valve one BrakeMonitorBox
• Every status change will be transmitted by the telematics to a central server
• If the main air pipe pressure rises over 3.5 bar, the system will automatically check the status of all connected sensors and reports them to the central server
• Data of sensors connected by wireless systems are transmitted 1x per day. The last data is shown on the display at the side of the wagon.
• Data of sensors connected by wire to the BrakeMonitorBox are transmitted when triggered and actual status shown on the display at the side of the wagon.
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VTG project B : Sgns(s) 80’ 4-axle container wagons

Digital Brake Test

- Brake on/off
- G/P-lever position
- Loading status (empty.loaded.overloaded)
- Condition of the Brake pads (ok, worn out)
- Centre pivot liner (ok, worn out)
- Position of the alert (bogie)
- Battery status (charged.empty)

Faster train preparation.
Increased safety.
Digital condition monitoring.
The BrakeMonitorBox has an IP67 metal box, where the external sensors are plugged in:
2x T-pressure, 2x C-pressure, R-pressure, main air pipe pressure, sensors: brake on/off, G/P, parking brake on/off.
The connectors are acc. ISO 15170 / DIN 72585.

By wireless connection: Check of the brake pads with contact sensors and two stages: Warning message at 6mm and pad change message at 5 mm.
On the locomotive the driver can see the status of the train:

Brake application and release is triggered from the locomotive. However, at the moment this system only works when the train is standing still.

An upgraded version to also monitor the brakes during travelling is not possible with battery powered systems. Other energy supply systems are under investigation.
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VTG project B : Sgns(s) 80’ 4-axle container wagons
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VTG project B : Sgns(s) 80’ 4-axle container wagons
The two projects A and B of slide 92 are examples of what is currently being tested. The involved companies around VTG are investigating the feasibility of possible solutions for rolling stock side brake tests and monitoring systems.

Currently, there are no explicit requirements regarding brake system monitoring.

However, Article 4 of the Railway Safety Directive applies (see slides 23 to 25) which states that RUs and IM shall, based on a risk analysis, identify the needed risk control measures and implement them through their safety management systems (SMS). The risk analysis and the risk control measures shall be monitored and, if required, adjustments shall be made.

Currently, the lack of power supply on wagons poses a burden to further developing technical solutions. However, the introduction of the digital automatic coupler (DAC) is anticipated to solve this.

It is therefore recommended to integrate safety-related rolling stock side monitoring systems, in particular for brake test and monitoring systems, in this project.
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Research needs and related ongoing projects

Content

Part III, section 3.1: block and wheel behavior in a fixed brake situation

Part III, section 3.2: rolling stock side brake test and monitoring systems

Part III, section 3.3: track-side detection systems
1. **Creation of an experts working group:**
   - Considering the whole industry needs.

2. **Benchmarking:**
   - Look for the best practices and sharing good (and bad) experiences.

3. **Cost Benefits Analysis:**
   - Balance between the safety integrity level and the investments needed.

4. **Harmonization:**
   - Publish an International Railways Solution with the methodological approach.

5. **Requirements for EU Regulations:**
   - According the EU context, requirements for the Standards TSI Input Plan.
The ongoing UIC Project ‘NETWORK MONITOR’ will address track side Hot Axle Box Detection Systems and Hot Wheel Detection Systems.

The outcome of this project may lead to:

- Changes in the INF TSI and the RST TSI;
- Their application guides;
- Changes in the OPE TSI;
- An Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMOC) under the OPE TSI;
- Changes in existing standards and possible new standards / International Railway Solution (IRS).

The Task Force recommends to actively participate in this project to ensure appropriate consideration in legislation and standardization.
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Impact assessment
• **3 Options** have been considered aligned with the 3 clusters of measures of the final TF Report:
  • Option 0 (baseline) → Risk control measures (UP requirements),
  • Option 1 (including baseline) → Risk control measures (NP) + Changes in legislation/standardization,
  • Option 2 (including Option 1) → Research needs.

• **Key findings**: (see chart on the following slide)
  • Risk control measures (Option 0) improved the situation,
  • Option 1 provides further improvement building on the JNS NP work over short-medium term,
  • Option 2 would deliver additional benefits over the long term.
Part II, Chapter 4: Impact Assessment

Overall impact

- Combination of safety and interoperability impacts.

Positive

- Immediate measures by NSA IT

Negative

- IT incident

For more information, see separate document: “Light Impact Assessment - JNS Normal Procedure “Consequences of unintended brake applications with LL blocks” (available on ERA website)
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  - JNS UP outcome
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- Option 1
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