

INTEROPERABILITY UNIT TAP TSI: ANNEX B.7 INTERNATIONAL RAIL TICKET FOR HOME PRINTING REFERENCE: ERA/TD/2009-10/INT DOCUMENT TYPE: TECHNICAL DOCUMENT VERSION: 1.2 FINAL TAP TSI DATE: 25.02.2015 TECHNICAL DOCUMENT

European Railway Agency

ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI

AMENDMENT RECORD

| Version | Date | Section number | Modification/description |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 1.1 | 05.05.2011 | All sections | First release |
| 1.1.1 | 27.09.2011 | 5.2.1, 5.4, 5.6, 5.7 | New version "TAP_TSI_B.7_v1.1.1.xsd" scheme added |
| 1.2 | 16.01.2013 | All sections | Correction of textual errors, discovered in TAP TSI phase one |
| | 15.02.2013 28.05.2013 | 3.2.7 3.2.3 | New chapter introduced Defintion of optional values in the reservation zone |

Introduction

The present document belongs to the set of Technical Documents described in Annex III 'List of Technical Documents referenced in this TSI' of the COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 454/2011.

International Rail Ticket for Home Printing

Application :

With effect from 08 March 2012. All actors of the European Union falling under the provisions of the TAP TSI.of the European Union

Contents

| In | trodu | uctio | n | 3 |
|----|-------|-------|--|----|
| Sı | umm | ary. | | 9 |
| 1 | Me | echa | nism | 10 |
| 2 | W | hat i | s covered by this standard? | 12 |
| 3 | La | ayout | t | 13 |
| | 3.1 | Ge | neral issues | 13 |
| | 3.2 | The | e different zones explained in detail | 14 |
| | 3.2 | 2.1 | The distributor zone (8) | 16 |
| | 3.2 | 2.2 | The adapted RCT2 layout ("the RCT2 zone" - (7)) | 16 |
| | 3.2 | 2.3 | The reservation zone (6) | 17 |
| | 3.2 | 2.4 | The certificates zones - the 2D certificate zone (2, 3, 4) | 19 |
| | 3.2 | 2.5 | The page number zone (5) | 21 |
| | 3.2 | 2.6 | The free distributor zone (1) | |
| | 3.2 | 2.7 | Price info in the free distributor zone (1) | |
| | 3.3 | Тур | be of tickets covered by this standard | 24 |
| | 3.4 | Ada | apted RCT2 layout for the different types of ticket | 24 |
| | 3.4 | 4.1 | Non Reservation Ticket | 24 |
| | 3.4 | 4.2 | Non Reservation Ticket + reservation | 25 |
| | 3.4 | 4.3 | Non Reservation Ticket + supplement | 25 |
| | 3.4 | 4.4 | Non Reservation Ticket + reservation + supplement | 26 |
| | | 4.5 | Integrated Reservation Ticket | |
| 4 | Th | ne ce | rtificates | 27 |
| | 4.1 | "Ca | arrier Makes Certificate - CMC" | 27 |
| | 4.2 | "Ca | arrier keeps contract - CKC" | 28 |
| | 4.3 | Dig | itally Signed Ticket (DST) | 29 |
| | 4.4 | Co | mbinations | 29 |
| | 4.5 | Lay | out of the certificate zones | 30 |
| | 4.6 | Ch | ecking of the certificates | 30 |
| 5 | Se | ecuri | ty services (CMC, CKC) | 33 |
| | 5.1 | Ме | chanism | 33 |
| | 5.2 | Ce | rtificate request string | 33 |
| | 5.2 | 2.1 | Layout of the certificate request string | 33 |
| | 5.2 | 2.2 | General fields | |

European Railway Agency ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI

| | | ~ ~ | | ~ - |
|---|-----|-------|--|-----|
| | 5.2 | | Passenger fields | |
| | 5.2 | | Non-integrated reservation tickets fields | |
| | 5.2 | - | Reservation fields | |
| | 5.3 | | tificate generation | |
| | 5.4 | | tificate transfer | |
| | 5.5 | Imp | portant remark about list of TCOs per train - multiple TCO's/segment | 40 |
| | 5.6 | Car | ncellation message | 41 |
| | 5.7 | Fiel | ld 4: the content of this field is a copy of the content of field 2 in table 5.4 | 41 |
| | 5.8 | Fiel | ld 5: the content of this field is a copy of the content of field 3 in table 5.4 | 41 |
| | 5.9 | Car | ncellation acknowledgement | 42 |
| 6 | Cla | ass o | diagrams of the different messages | 43 |
| | 6.1 | Ove | erview of the messages | 43 |
| | 6.2 | Det | ailed specification of the classes | 46 |
| | 6.2 | | "Message" class | |
| | 6.2 | 2.2 | Distributor" class | 47 |
| | 6.2 | 2.3 | "Supplement" class | 47 |
| | 6.2 | 2.4 | "Station" class | 47 |
| | 6.2 | 2.5 | "Segments" class | 47 |
| | 6.2 | 2.6 | "ReferenceID" class | 48 |
| | 6.2 | 2.7 | "TariffCharacteristics" class | 48 |
| | 6.2 | 2.8 | "Ticket" class | 48 |
| | 6.2 | 2.9 | "TicketHolder" class | 49 |
| | 6.2 | 2.10 | "Passenger" class | 49 |
| | 6.2 | 2.11 | "Train" class | 50 |
| | 6.2 | 2.12 | "Error" class | 50 |
| | 6.2 | 2.13 | "FreeText" class | 50 |
| | 6.2 | 2.14 | "IdentificationType" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.15 | "Date" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.16 | "TCO" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.17 | "SecurityString" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.18 | "StringLayout" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.19 | "CancellationReason" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.20 | "Cancellation" class | 51 |
| | 6.2 | 2.21 | "RecordNumber" class | 52 |
| | 6.2 | 2.22 | "CertificateRequest" class | 52 |
| | 6.3 | Use | e cases | 53 |
| | 6.3 | 3.1 | Description | 53 |

European Railway Agency

ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI

| | 6.3 | .2 | "Distributor_" actor | 54 |
|----|-----|-------|---|----|
| | 6.3 | .3 - | "TCO 1" actor | 54 |
| | 6.3 | .3 | "CertificateCancellation" use case | 54 |
| | 6.3 | .4 | "CancellationAcknowledgment" use case | 54 |
| | 6.3 | .5 | "ReceiveAcknowledgment" use case | 54 |
| 7 | Ме | ssa | ging process + exceptions in messaging (CMC, CKC) | 55 |
| 7 | 7.1 | Sec | quence in messaging | 55 |
| 7 | 7.2 | Me | ssaging sequence diagrams | 56 |
| 8 | Sp | ecifi | cation of Digitally Signed Ticket (DST) | 58 |
| 8 | 3.1 | Ме | chanism | 58 |
| | 8.1 | .1 | Introduction | 58 |
| | 8.1 | .2 | Composition of the DST content | 59 |
| | 8.1 | .3 | Control of the DST | 60 |
| | 8.1 | .4 | Compressing procedure | 61 |
| | 8.1 | .5 | Character encoding | 61 |
| 8 | 8.2 | Def | inition of the record structure | 61 |
| 8 | 3.3 | Def | initions of the general record types | 62 |
| 8 | 8.4 | Def | inition of the record types for the ticket data according to the TAP TSI standard | 64 |
| | 8.4 | .1 | Extraction of RCT 2 zones | 66 |
| | 8.4 | .2 | Extraction of RCT2 ticket attributes | 67 |
| 8 | 8.5 | Rec | cord types for ticket data in accordance with the standards of a specific TCO | 68 |
| 8 | 8.6 | Def | inition of the entire DST message structure | 69 |
| 8 | 8.7 | 2D | Barcode symbol | 70 |
| 8 | 8.8 | Key | / management | 71 |
| | 8.8 | .1 | Duration of validity of the signature key | 71 |
| | 8.8 | .2 | Test key pairs | 71 |
| | 8.8 | .3 | Exchange of signature keys (public keys) with partner companies | 72 |
| 9 | Ор | tion | : list with cancelled certificates (CMC, CKC) | 73 |
| 10 | Pro | oced | lure for making home-printed tickets | 75 |
| 11 | Lis | t of | abbreviations | 76 |
| 12 | Bib | oliog | Jraphy | 77 |

Summary

This document describes the standard for home-printed tickets to be used in international carriage by train (a typical result of Internet sale). Home-printed railway tickets are already used for national transport. This standard describes how these tickets can be used in an international context.

Since some trains belong to one carrier, but the check on board is done by another carrier or more than one carrier, we will define TCO: "Ticket Controlling Organisation". This is any organisation which can control a passenger's ticket before, during or after a given journey (or part of it). In most cases this organisation will be a carrier or a subcontractor of a carrier.

N.B: A distributor can only sell international tickets in home-printing if it has a bilateral agreement with every TCO involved in the journey.

The agreement must precise the service levels (for example the response time) and the types of tickets which can be sold (legal aspects). Furthermore, all the information the TCO needs to produce a security-zone must be defined. To identify a passenger, one TCO uses the data on the credit card, another TCO uses the identity-card, a third company doesn't even ask for identification. Obviously, the distributor must request many different information from the client in order to send it to each TCO.

The agreement must also describe the conditions for using the ticket and the actions to be taken in case of an irregularity (e.g. fraud). All the options, described in this standard, must be described in the bilateral agreement.

The standard describes the mandatory layout of the home printed tickets and the messages that need to be exchanged between the TCOs and the distributors in order to create those tickets. The existing procedures (e.g. asking for availability of a train seat in a reservation, calculation of price of a ticket, ...) which are not specific to this kind of ticketing are part of other existing ERA TAP TSI Technical Documents i.e. B.5 and B.6.

The standard is voluntarily very "open" in order to guarantee the railway undertakings a large choice of mechanisms to generate the certificates so that the TCOs can re-use their existing national standards and algorithms. Besides, the standard will not be accepted just like that in all the European trains. An E-ticket will only be made in dialogue with the TCO(s) in question. Only on the basis of a bilateral agreement, the TCO will generate a certificate for a distributor.

In this bilateral agreement, there will be a description of the kind of tickets which can be printed. It will be specified whether one ticket can be used for one or many reservation(s), what certificate mechanism to use, which elements are necessary to generate the certificates, which layout will be used to put the certificate on the ticket, if the distributor can place a picture in the background of the adapted RCT2 zone or not..

1 Mechanism

The terms "Passenger" and "Client" are used in this Technical Document. They can be one and the same person but not necessarily. For example a passenger may take an international train journey using a ticket that was bought by his/her firm. In that case the firm is the client.

It is thus possible to distinguish between the client who buys the ticket and the passenger who is travelling. If several passengers are travelling together, one passenger must be defined as "head of the group". His or her personal data will be used to generate the certificate(s).

The distributor communicates with the client in order to produce a ticket. For this purpose, they exchange the same information as when the client buys his/her ticket at a selling point. The distributor can compose a ticket autonomously or through a connection with the different reservation systems necessary for creating the ticket.

This procedure is completely identical to the procedure of buying a normal ticket from a selling point and is therefore not a part of this standard.

Phase 1: The client books his/her ticket from the distributor via the Internet.




Fig. 1 – Booking

Phase 2: The distributor knows the itinerary and the travelling details and prepares the certificate request string.





Fig. 2 - Preparing requests






Fig. 3 - Communication Distributor - TCOs

Phase 4: The distributor creates the ticket with a standardised layout and sends it to the client.

The payment action is also part of the procedure, but depending on the distributor (and its relation with the client) this can be done earlier or later in the chain.

2 What is covered by this standard?

The whole chain (buying a home printed ticket via the Internet) is shown in this diagram:

Distributor :




Fig. 4 - The home-printed ticketing scheme

The parts marked with an asterisk (*) are part of the standard.

The list of ticket types that are covered by this standard can be found in point 3.3.

3 Layout

3.1 General issues

The ticket is divided in 3 parts:




Fig. 5 - Home-printed ticket layout

In the yellow (shaded) zones the distributor can put any information it wishes, for example a reference to its file, a timetable, the conditions for using the ticket.

The top-zone is fixed and must be used to identify the distributor (The issuer is in the RCT2 part). If the distributor is the issuer, only one representation will be enough. The layout is free (logo and/or text).

The RCT2 zone contains the information concerning the journey and the ticket as stated in ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6, information which is used by the undertakings in order to control the journey and passenger info on the ticket. Possibly, a line will be added just beneath containing information about a reservation and/or a supplement. ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 doesn't permit an Non Reservation ticket + reservation on one ticket, the home printed version however must allow this combination.

The adapted RCT2 zone MUST ALWAYS contain the name of the/a passenger.

The formats of Technical Document B.6 to be used are those of the classic standard, not the compressed one (see section 3.1.2 of B.6)

The lines "certificates of the TCOs" contain the Company code of the TCO, described in ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.8, the location codes of the boarding and destination stations (or the code(s) of the border(s)), described in ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.9, plus the certificate itself. Each TCO must have its own code since it can have its own ticket control devices.

If the train leaves and arrives in the control zone of the TCO, the certificate zone indicates the actual boarding and destination stations. In the opposite case, when the train passes to another operator, the name of the station is replaced by the unique name of the station where certificate check is changing.

Each company which may have to control the ticket will generate a certificate: an ASCII-string in answer to a question-string. The question-string must contain all the elements the TCO needs to create the security-part.

The designation of the contract of carriage (e.g. "TICKET", "TICKET+RESERVATION", ...) must be in the language of the interface of the website the client visited to generate this ticket and in a second language chosen between English, French or German, unless one of these is already the first language.

The distributor may draw a line on the ticket to indicate that the client can travel with only the part above this line (no relevant information is found under this line). The client may then cut this ticket in two parts and use only the upper part.

3.2 The different zones explained in detail

On the A4-printed ticket, the different zones are named as shown below and on the diagram in Fig 7:



Fig. 6 - Legend of the different zones as shown in Figure 7

The colors are used to indicate where the different zones can be found on the physical ticket (see Fig. 6). The size of the different zones is written in the description of the zone itself.

The size of the paper is defined as A4.




Fig. 7 - Zones of A4-printed ticket

3.2.1 The distributor zone (8)

(size: W: min. 160 mm, H: 15 mm)

In this zone, the distributor MUST identify itself and if it wants to print a reference number for the ticket, this has to be done here (the reference number is a number - or string - created in the local system of the distributor. Since every distributor will have its own numbering system, it will be free to chose the number's length/structure. This number anyway is not to be exchanged with other parties, it is just printed on the ticket). In this zone the coupon number (used for back-office purposes) is also printed.

Example:



B23 - 661539/23

OT 7198 9758 6756

3.2.2 The adapted RCT2 layout ("the RCT2 zone" - (7))

(size: W: min. 160 mm, H: min. 70 mm)

This zone contains all the necessary elements in a layout, recognised by the train staff of the TCO(s).

Example:

| | | FAHRAUSWEIS / | BILLET / BIGLIETTO | FISHER | GEORGE | |
|-----------|------------|------------------------|--|--------------|----------|-------|
| | | | | 01 Erwa | chsene | |
| | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | 31.12.1 | 970 | |
| 30 | (F) | VON/DE/DA | → NACH/A | 30 | | KL. |
| 50 | 0 | | | | <u> </u> | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | → BERN | 27.10. | 16.11 | * |
| VIA 1185- | OLTEN-BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUHE | -WORMS O FRANKFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH G | R-1184 ***** | | |
| ABT 1/2 | | | | | CHF | 272.0 |
| Bahncar | d | | | DIN | | |

Fig. 8 - The adapted 9 18-2 layout - example

NB: the issuer's name and/or logo must be printed in the top left corner of the RCT2 zone, according to the specifications of Technical Document B.6, also if it is the same as the "main" distributor logo as described in point 3.2.1.

A description of the elements can be found in ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6, they are adapted in the following way:

- Row 4 (D): Note "Valid:" and year of departure of outward journey
- Row 16 to 18 (P to R): are dropped

2 different standards are possible:

- The basic layout: this is a layout, based on ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 and recognisable by any TCO (same as the ATB-tickets but without the security-background).
- A particular picture based layout (picture serving as a security background):

A distributor wishing absolutely to have a visually protected ticket, can decide to include in the bilateral agreement that the RCT2 zone is made out with this background. In that case, the layout of ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6, created locally on the machines of the distributor, is printed on top of a picture with the same size. As this picture is locally added by the distributor, there is no need to send this information in a message from the TCO to the distributor.

Of course, the picture must allow the reading of all necessary elements in the RCT2 zone.

Example of the basic layout:

| | | FAHRAUSWEIS | / BILLET / | BIGLIETTO | FISHER (| GEORGE | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------|
| | | | | | 01 Erwa | chsene | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | | 31.12.19 | 970 | |
| 30 | Ξ | VON/DE/DA | → | NACH/A | 30 | (¹) | KL. |
| | ~ | | | | | | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → | AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | → | BERN | 27.10. | 16.11 | * |
| VIA 1185- | OLTEN-BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUH | HE-WORMS O FRAN | KFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR-1184 | **** | | |
| ABT 1/2 Bahncar | | | | | DIN | CHF | 272.00 |

Fig. 9 - Adapted RCT2 zone - a basic ticket

3.2.3 The reservation zone (6)

(size: W: min. 160 mm, H: variable, min. 0 mm)

If the ticket is with (a) reservation(s), then the distributor must add all the information, relative to this (the) reservation(s) in this zone.

Example:

PLATZRESERVIERUNGEN / RESERVATIONS

| HINFAHRT /. | ALLER/OU | TWARD JOUF | NEY | | | | | ZUG/T | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-------|---|-----------|-----|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| 24.10. 0 | 9.04 | BERN | - | > | BASEL SBB | | 09.59 | * | * | * | * |
| 24.10. 1 | 0.12 | BASEL SBB | - | > | FRANKFURT | (M) | 13.06 | ICE | 76 | 001 | 26 |
| 24.10. 1 | 3.17 | FRANKFURT | (M) - | > | AMSTERDAM | ĊS | 16.55 | ICE | 126 | 800 | 4 |
| RÜCKFAHRT | /RETOUR/I | RETURN JOL | IRNEY | | | | | ZUG/T | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
| 27.10. 0 | 7.05 | AMSTERDAM | CS - | > | FRANKFURT | (M) | 11.01 | ICE | 121 | 001 | 26 |
| 27.10. 1 | 2.05 | FRANKFURT | (M) - | > | BERN | | 16.11 | ICE | 73 | 800 | 4 |

Fig. 10 - The reservation zone - example

The info in the reservation zone should be generated out of the reservation confirmation (and not out of the timetable-information) to make sure that all the information is correct and up-to-date.

The reservations in the reservation zone should contain the reference number that was generated by the reservation system and the information of the seat (duo, window-seat, ...).

The reservation zone should at least host the following information: relation (O/D), departure and arrival date and time, train number, coach number and place number.

Optional, on the ticket there could also figure the place descriptions, name of the offers (max. 2) and a carrier information message. In that case, the free zone (zone (1), as defined in 3.2) will be used to print that info in free layout text mode.

Description of the elements

All elements are printed in the language of the distributor's website as well as in the second language of the contract of carriage (see point 3.1), with exception of the station names that must be written in the language of the country in which the station is located, according to the specifications of Technical Document B.6, section 3.1.2.

Row 1: Note "Reservation" if reservation info is included

Note "Timetable" if only timetable info is included

- Row 2: "Outward journey"
- Row 3: Column 1: Departure date of first leg (format dd.mm)

Column 2: Departure time of first leg (format hh.mm)

- Column 3: Departure station of first leg
- Column 4: Right arrow
- Column 5: Arrival station of first leg

Column 6: Arrival date of first leg (format dd.mm)

Column 7: Arrival time of first leg (format hh.mm)

- Column 8: Train type and number
- Column 9: Coach number
- Column 10: Seat number(s)

Row 3 is repeated for any subsequent leg of the outward journey. For legs without reservation (only timetable information) columns 8 to 10 are invalidated by an asterisk ("*").

If the ticket includes a "return journey", a corresponding section (row 2 and 3 ff) is added with the indication "Return journey".

3.2.4 The certificates zones - the 2D certificate zone (2, 3, 4)

(Size of the components:

| (1) | Alphanumeric | W: 50 mm, | H: 12,5 mm |
|-----|--------------|-----------|------------|
| (2) | 1D-barcode | W: 50 mm, | H: 25 mm |
| (3) | 2D-barcode | W: 50 mm, | H: 50 mm) |

Basically, on a home-printed ticket, there are three different kinds of printed certificates. A certificate can be alphanumeric(1), a one-dimensional barcode(2) (= a standard barcode as used to tag goods in shops, can be replaced by a small 2D-barcode) or a two-dimensional barcode(3).

Depending on the kind of certificate, the size will be different. At the top of every certificate, there are the carrier code and the names of origin and destination stations of the leg separated by a symbol, indicating if this certificate is for the outward leg, the return leg of the journey or for a round trip $(\rightarrow, \leftarrow \text{ or } \leftarrow \rightarrow)$ and the names of origin and destination stations of the leg. The use of the arrows is intended to give an immediate evidence of the journey's direction to the TCO, though the same info can obtained reading the names of the stations. The train staff looks for "its" certificate for the right leg and checks if the printed ticket-info is relevant.

The sizes of those certificates are:

| Certificate | Height (mm) | Width (mm) |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Alphanumeric | 12,5 | 50 |
| 1 D-barcode/small 2D-barcode | 25 | 50 |
| 2D-barcode | 50 | 50 |

For technical reasons linked to the 2D barcode-reader, the big 2D-barcode must always be printed in the lower-right part of the page (if a 2D barcode is included on the ticket). For a better understanding of this section, it is clarified that:

- with the DST mechanism, there is one (and only one, valid for all TCOs accepting the DST mechanism) 2D barcode ("the big 2D barcode" according to the Aztec standard), of size 50 x 50 mm, always in the bottom right corner
- with the CMC or CKC mechanisms, one or more certificates can be printed in stack on the right side of the sheet, starting from the very bottom if the big 2D barcode is not present, or on top of it if it is there (DST can coexist with CMC or CKC). The certificates used for CMC or CKC can be alphanumeric, 1D barcode or "small" 2D barcode, see also further section 4.5 of B.7

European Railway Agency ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI




Fig. 11 - The certificates zone - example using different kinds of certificates

3.2.5 The page number zone (5)

(size: W: min. 20 mm, H: 5 mm)

In the middle of the bottom of every page, the page number and the number of pages must be indicated (a page number is foreseen since more than 1 page could exist if many TCOs are involved in a journey, so that the area in which the conditions are printed ("free zone") or the certificates zone (for CMC & CKC certificates) is to be printed on 2 pages).

The layout for this is XX/NN where XX is the page number and NN the number of pages.

3.2.6 The free distributor zone (1)

(size depends on remaining space on ticket)

The distributor can add extra information (or publicity) for the client in this zone. The layout of this information, the size, the information itself may be freely chosen by the distributor. The FREE ZONE shall be used to print at least the main legal conditions applying to the contract of carriage (e.g. CIV rules, reference to the Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 "Passenger Rights Regulation").

3.2.7 Price info in the free distributor zone (1)

If the price of the ticket is a result of the sum of an open ticket (as figuring in the adapted RCT2 layout) and (a) reservation(s), as described in the reservation part of the adapted TD.B.6 area, than the price printed in the ticket data zone should not be the price of the whole product (calculated from the ticket, the possible reservation(s) and the supplement(s)).

In such a case, the free zone at left from the certificates zone II (see the figure "Zones of A4-printed ticket" in 3.2), should contain a "price decomposition zone".

This price decomposition zone should contain the price of the ticket, the reservation(s) and supplement(s) as well as the total price (=the sum of the prices listed).

If information for fiscal purposes (tax-value e.g.) as well as payment info (cash, credit card transaction number, ...) is printed on the ticket, then it should be printed in this area too.

Sample 1: Non Reservation Ticket with reservations (scaled down to fit on this page)

| | | FAHRAUSWEI | S / BILLET / BIGLIET | ro | | FISHER 01 Erwa | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | | | 31.12.1 | 970 | · · · · · · · · |
| 30 | 1.000 | VON/DE/DA | - NACH/A | | | 30 | 11001 | KL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → AMSTERD | 20 65 | · · · · · · · · · · · · | 24.10. | 16.55 | CL |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | + BERN | | | 27.10. | 16.11 | *** |
| ABT 1/2 | | | | | | | CHE | 272.0 |
| Bahncar | d | | | | | DIN | | |
| 7.10. | 07.05 | R/RITORNO AMSTERDAM CS FRANKFURT (M) | -> FRANKFURT (M) -> BERN | 11,01 16,11 | | 121 0 | G PLATZ 01 26 08 4 | |
| Beoutzung | sbedingungs | en der Ausgabe-TU | | | 5 | 1180 | Basel SBB +> | Frankfurt (|
| | | ellen spezielle Konditioner | | | | 7UI-C4TG | DTZU-5G4 | F |
| den Önline | Verkauf vor | nen Geschäftsbedingunger n Fahrausweisen und | | | | 1180 | Frankfurt (M) | -> Emmerk |
| Dien stleist. unter www. | | tere informationen fin den S | Sile | | | KDD-2AEV | V-PAJ5-7DO | 2M |
| | | | | | | 1184 | Emmerich -> Ar | nsterdam C |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | K-4K3J-AOI | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1180 | Frankfurt (M) | > Basel 58 |
| | | | | | Ľ | VG2H-527 | 8-F93G-2GU | 2:53 |
| | | | | | 2.200 HILLSON | | | |
| | | | 1/1 | | | 6. A | 123 | |

Fig. 12 - Example of an Non Reservation Ticket with reservations

Sample 2: Integrated Reservation Ticket (scaled down to fit on this page)

| | | ······ | | ESERVATION | FISHER | | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| CIV 1185 | | | Lyria SN | CF & SBB | 04 PASS | ENGERS | |
| | lid: 2004 | | | | 31,12,1 | 970 | · · · · · · · · · |
| 30 | | VON/DE/P | 'R04 | - NACH/A/TO | 30 | 1. O . 1 | KL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN * | | → PARIS GARE DE LYON → * | 24.10 | 14.55 | 1 |
| * | 9584 | TGV | COACH 002 | | 71 72 73 74 | | |
| NONSMOK | ING | | | | 02 WINDOW - | 02 CORRI | IDOR |
| | | · · · · · · · · · | | CARRIERS: | | | |
| 04 P | AS\$ (44) | | | 1185 1187 | DIN | CHI | F 272.0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Senutzungs | sbedingunge | in der Ausga | abe-TU | | 1187 | Bern -> Paris (| Gare de Lyc |
| ür das Onf | line Ticket g | elten spezie | ille Konditionen Isbedingungen für | | GK34-DKW | | |
| Xenstleistu | | | tionen finden Sie unter | | | | |
| www.sbb.ch | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1/1 | | | |

Fig. 13 - Example of a Integrated Reservation ticket

3.3 Type of tickets covered by this standard

In the first release of this Technical Document, only the layout of the following tickets is defined:

- Non Reservation Ticket
- Non Reservation Ticket + reservation(s)
- Non Reservation Ticket + supplement(s)
- Non Reservation Ticket + reservation(s) + supplement(s)
- Integrated Reservation Tickets

Layouts for stand-alone supplements and stand-alone reservations, as well as for other kind of transport documents, can be defined later in accordance with the Change Control Management of TAP TSI.

3.4 Adapted RCT2 layout for the different types of ticket

3.4.1 Non Reservation Ticket

The adapted RCT2 zone:

| | | FAHRAUSWEI | S / BILLET | / TICKET | FISHER | GEORGE | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | 01 Erw | achsene | |
| CIV 1185 | | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | | 31.12. | 1970 | |
| rê l | m | VON/DE/FROM | → | NACH/A/TO | | <i>a</i> . | KL. |
| 30 | e | | | | 30 | ٩ | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → | AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | → | BERN | 27.10. | 16.11 | * |
| VIA 1185 | -OLTEN-BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRU | HE-WORMS O FRAN | KFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH G | R-1184 ***** | | |
| ABT 1/2 | | | | | | сн | F 272.0 |
| Bahncar | d | | | | DIN | | |

Fig. 14 - Adapted RCT2 zone of an Non Reservation Ticket

3.4.2 Non Reservation Ticket + reservation

The adapted RCT2 zone and the reservation zone:

| | | FAHRAUSWEIS / BILL | LET / TICKET | FISHER (| GEORGE | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | | | | 01 Erwa | chsene | |
| CIV 1185 | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | 31.12.19 | 970 | |
| <u> </u> | (T) | VON/DE/FROM | - NACH/A/TO | | ~ | KL. |
| 30 | Θ | | | 30 | Θ | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | -> BERN | 27.10. | 16.11 | * |
| | | | | | | |
| VIA 1185- | -OL TEN - BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUHE-WORMS O | FRANKFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR | -1184 ***** | | |
| VIA 1185- ABT 1/2 | | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUHE-WORMS O | FRANKFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR | -1184 ***** | СНЕ | = 272.0 |

PLATZRESERVIERUNGEN / RESERVATIONS

| HINFAHRT | ALLER/O | UTWARD JOURNE | Y | | | | ZUG/1 | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
|----------|----------|---------------|------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | -> | BASEL SBB | | 09.59 | * | * | * | * |
| 24.10. | 10.12 | BASEL SBB | -> | FRANKFURT | (M) | 13.06 | ICE | 76 | 001 | 26 |
| 24.10. | 13.17 | FRANKFURT (M |) -> | AMSTERDAM | ĊS | 16.55 | ICE | 126 | 800 | 4 |
| RÜCKFAHR | T/RETOUR | RETURN JOURNI | ΕY | | | | ZUG/1 | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | -> | FRANKFURT | (M) | 11.01 | ICE | 121 | 001 | 26 |
| 27.10. | 12.05 | FRANKFURT (M |) -> | BERN | | 16.11 | ICE | 73 | 800 | 4 |

Fig. 15 - Adapted RCT2 zone and reservation zone of an Non Reservation Ticket with reservation

3.4.3 Non Reservation Ticket + supplement

The adapted RCT2 zone:

| | | FISHER GEORGE | | | | | |
|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| | | | | | 01 Erwa | chsene | |
| CIV 1185 | | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Val | lid: 2004 | | | | 31.12.15 | 970 | |
| 4 | - | VON/DE/FROM | → | NACH/A/TO | | | KL. |
| 30 | e | | | | 30 | ٩ | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | ÷ | AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | → | BERN | 27.10. | 16.11 | * |
| VIA 1185- | OLTEN-BASE | BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUHE-WORMS 0 | FRAN | KFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR-1184 | ***** | | |
| ZUSCHLÄGE | INBEGRIFF | EN / SUPPLEMENTS Y COMPRIS / SUPP | PLEME | NTS INCLUDED | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ABT 1/2 | | | | | | CHF | 272.00 |
| Bahncar | d | | | | DIN | | |

Fig. 16 - Adapted RCT2 zone of an Non Reservation Ticket with a supplement

3.4.4 Non Reservation Ticket + reservation + supplement

The adapted RCT2 zone and the reservation zone:

| | | FISHER | FISHER GEORGE | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------------------|--|---------|--------|-----|--|
| | | | | 01 Erwa | chsene | | |
| CIV 1185 | | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | 31.12.1 | 970 | | |
| <u> </u> | - | VON/DE/FROM | -> NACH/A/TO | | ~ | KL. | |
| 30 | Θ | | | 30 | ٢ | CL. | |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | → AMSTERDAM CS | 24.10. | 16.55 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | | 27.10. | 16.11 | * | |
| VIA 1185- | OLTEN-BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUH | → BERN HE-WORMS O FRANKFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR PRIS / SUPPLEMENTS INCLUDED | | 16.11 | * | |
| VIA 1185- | OLTEN-BASE | L BAD.BF1180-KARLSRUH | IE-WORMS O FRANKFURT (M)-KÖLN-EMMERICH GR | | | * | |

| HINFAHR | T/ALLER/ | OUTWARD JOURNEY | | | | ZUG/1 | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
|---------|----------|------------------|----|---------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | -> | BASEL SBB | 09.59 | * | * | * | * |
| 24.10. | 10.12 | BASEL SBB | -> | FRANKFURT (M) | 13.06 | ICE | 76 | 001 | 26 |
| 24.10. | 13.17 | FRANKFURT (M) | -> | AMSTERDAM CS | 16.55 | ICE | 126 | 008 | 4 |
| RÜCKFAH | RT/RETOU | R/RETURN JOURNEY | | | | ZUG/1 | RAIN | COACH | SEAT |
| 27.10. | 07.05 | AMSTERDAM CS | -> | FRANKFURT (M) | 11.01 | ICE | 121 | 001 | 26 |
| 27.10. | 12.05 | FRANKFURT (M) | -> | BERN | 16.11 | ICE | 73 | 008 | 4 |

Fig. 17 - Adapted RCT2 layout and reservation zone of Non Reservation Ticket + reservation +

supplement

3.4.5 Integrated Reservation Ticket

| | | | | | | | | | GEORGE ENGERS | |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-----|---|--------------------|----|----------|------------------|----------|
| CIV 1185 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gültig/Va | lid: 2004 | | | | | | | 31.12.1 | 970 | |
| | 1 | VON/DE/F | ROM | | → | NACH/A/TO | | | æ | KL. |
| 30 | ٢ | | | | | | | 30 | Θ | CL. |
| 24.10. | 09.04 | BERN | | | → | PARIS GARE DE LYON | | 24.10 | 14.55 | 1 |
| * | * | * | | | → | * | | * | * | * |
| æ | 9584 | TGV | COACH | 002 | | 04 SEATS : | 71 | 72 73 74 | | |
| NONSMOK | ING | | | | | | 02 | WINDOW - | 02 CORRI | IDOR |
| | | | | | | CARRIERS: | | | | |
| 04 P | ASS (44) | | | | | 1185 1187 | | | CHE | F 272.00 |
| | | | | | | | | DIN | | |

Fig. 18 - Adapted RCT2 layout of a integrated reservation ticket

NB: These examples were created in a "basic layout" (see point 3.2.2). If the bilateral agreement mentions that the layout should be picture-based, then this part of the ticket can be completely different.


4 The certificates

The TCOs create the different certificates. The distributor sends the TCO the data needed to generate and deliver the certificate. This procedure is used in CMC and CKC (see List of abbreviations) environments (see points 4.1 and 4.2). An exception is possible, when the distributor works autonomously, it is explained in the DST-description (see point 4.3).

Three mechanisms are possible:

4.1 "Carrier Makes Certificate - CMC"

The distributor sends client and ticket information to the TCO (or another company working on assignment for the TCO - this company may be the distributor itself working as a subcontractor for the TCO). The TCO calculates a certificate allowing the TCO itself to check, when controlling the ticket, whether the content of the ticket has not been changed.




: Part of this Technical Document

Fig. 19 - CMC standardisation

4.2 "Carrier keeps contract - CKC"

The distributor sends client and ticket information to the TCO (or another company working in assignment for the TCO). The TCO creates a complete travel file and saves it on its server(s). The distributor receives the reference number of the file and uses it as a certificate. The TCO can control the client by looking on its server for the information that goes with the reference number. The control consists in verifying whether this information matches with the client and his/her journey. For the distributor this system is transparent and identical to the CMC procedure.



: Part of this Technical Document

Fig. 20 - CKC standardisation

4.3 Digitally Signed Ticket (DST)

As in point 4.1, but the distributor and the TCO use an asymmetrical algorithm to calculate the certificate, so that the distributor can create a certificate autonomously. This Technical Document - point 8 describes the algorithm completely, apart from the key pairs that must be defined for each agreement. This method has the advantage that the algorithm works on every system (the efficiency has been studied a priori) and that an algorithm has to be programmed only once and will work with any TCO. In case the bilateral agreement enables this system (DST), the TCO and the distributor only have to describe the key pairs and the mechanism and frequency for exchanging them.

The mechanism of exchanging the keys is also part of the bilateral agreement.

As the generation of the certificates is done locally, the data flow is as follows:




Fig. 21 - DST standardisation

4.4 Combinations

Of course, combinations of two or three of the above described mechanisms are possible. The distributor has to know (by the bilateral agreements) which mechanism is applied with each TCO.

ERA_Technical_Document_TAP_B_7

4.5 Layout of the certificate zones

As mentioned, three different kinds of certificates are possible:

- an alphanumeric certificate,
- a one-dimensional barcode,
- a 2D-barcode.

The different kinds of certificates are coded in code list B.7.2, and in that list there are actually 4 kinds, but the kind "chipcard" is not considered for the moment in B.7. As regards the others, B.7.2 specifies at the moment that as one-dimensional barcode the method to be used is 3OF9 (ISO/IEC 16388:2007). The 2D barcode is indicated as AZTEC XYZ, meaning that it must be of the Aztec type (ISO/IEC 24778:2008), with XYZ pixels for each side of the square pattern. XYZ must be defined in the bilateral agreement between distributor and TCO. Different types of coding can of course be added in B.7.2 following the technology changes

The bottom right corner of the ticket is reserved for the Aztec code of the DST method, if this method is present (i.e. it was chosen by at least one of the TCOs involved in the journey) and will not be preceded by any other text.

The Aztec codes used to encode the certificates in CMC or CKC, in case this type of presentation was chosen by a TCO, are added in the stack of certificates and accompanied by the same elements of the other certificates (arrow, TCO code, ...)

The 2D-barcode will be printed on the bottom-right zone of the ticket.

The 1 D-barcode or alphanumeric kind of certificates will be printed in two lines, with the bars in between::

d (2) – a (4) – b (17) – c (17) e (20)

where:

- d (2) : arrow, indicating direction (>, < or <>)
- a (4) : TCO-code (carrier code)
- b (17) : boarding station name for this segment (station name on max 17 alphabetic characters in the language of the country in which the station is located, according to ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 section 3.1.2)
- c (17) : destination station name for this segment (station name on max 17 alphabetic characters in the language of the country in which the station is located, according to ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 section 3.1.2)
- e (20) : certificate (alphanumeric or barcode)

In case of a 1 D barcode, the info of the barcode is printed just below in alphanumeric layout too (to be used in case of a problem while reading the barcode).

4.6 Checking of the certificates

The train staff of each TCO will check the certificate specific to that TCO. The on-board personnel will "decrypt" the information found in the zone as it was created by the TCO and recognise the zone

ERA_Technical_Document_TAP_B_7

using the carrier code number (zone "a" in point 4.5).

5 Security services (CMC, CKC)

5.1 Mechanism

The first and second methods (CMC, CKC) require a transfer of information from the distributor to the TCO to ensure that the required data are available for the issuing of the certificate. This action must be repeated for each TCO.

There are three types of information:

- General information, described in 5.2.2
- references of the passenger(s), described in 5.2.3
- references of the journey, described in 5.2.4 and 5.2.5.

Each TCO has to send a certificate as an answer to this certificate request string. The certificate contains a maximum of 200 characters and must be presented on the ticket in the form of a text and/or a bar-code.

All the data that may be communicated between the distributor and the TCO are listed in point 5.2.2 to 5.2.5. Obviously not all these data must be used to generate the certificate. The bilateral agreement will specify the data the TCO needs to issue the certificates.

5.2 Certificate request string

5.2.1 Layout of the certificate request string

Schema TAP_TSI_B.7_v1.2.xsd is available on the ERA Website.

The following definition applies for all types of tickets - Non-integrated reservation tickets and train connected tickets, even a combination is possible.

The different fields that should be used to create CR-messages are:

- General fields (G)
- Passenger fields (P)
- Non-integrated reservation tickets fields (O)
- Reservation fields (R)

A message must always contain exactly one G, at least one P and may contain several O and/or R.

For example:

- one passenger, making one-way trip with a integrated reservation mandatory train:
 G + P + R
- group with 3 passengers making a journey consisting of one non integrated reservation section and two sections where reservation is mandatory:
 G + (P + O + R + R) + (P + O + R + R) + (P + O + R + R).

The first group (P + O + R + R) contains the journey-info (including the exact reservation info and the reduction info for this client on these trains) for the first member of the group.

It is to be noted that the elements O and R must be repeated for each passenger because, though they must all travel on the same train, they could have different personal characteristics (e.g. reduction cards, age qualifying for youth or senior tariff, etc.)

The second and the third series of data hold the info for the second and third traveller.

5.2.2 General fields

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Message type | 2 | х | Х | А | "CR" (certificate request) |
| 2 | Distributor-ID part of Certificate Request ID (distributor) (distributor reference part 1/2 | 4 | х | х | Ν | Code that refers to the distributor (as in ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.8) |
| 3 | Reference part of Certificate Request ID (distributor) (distributor reference part 2/2) | 16 | х | | A | e.g. Order number / Other reference / Online Code |
| 4 | Family name of client | 20 | | | А | First 20 characters of family name |
| 5 | Given name of client | 20 | | | А | First 20 characters of given name |
| 6 | Client identification string (defined alphanumeric) | 20 | | | А | ID, credit card |
| 7 | Client identification type | 4 | | х | A | Type of card. A list of the different cards is found in Code List B.7.1 |
| 8 | Number of travellers | 2 | Х | | Ν | |
| 9 | Birth date | 8 | | | Ν | YYYYMMDD |
| 10 | Class | 1 | х | х | A | following ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element</i> 24 |
| 11 | Single or return journey | 1 | Х | х | А | "0": single journey "1 ": return journey |

NB:

Elements 2 and 3:

This is the reference number of the distributor. The answer of the TCO will refer to this number. This element is not only for runtime, but also for after sale operations on the database (clearing...).

– Elements 4 and 5:

The client is the person whose identity can be used to generate the certificate. For example, if credit card information is used in the certificate generation algorithm, it must be the data of this person.

– Element 6:

Several systems for calculating the certificates are linked to (credit) card numbers. This is the card the traveller will use during his/her journey to identify him-/herself and not necessarily the card he/she used to pay for his/her journey.

 Element 10: According to ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.5, the class may also be indicated using a letter.

5.2.3 Passenger fields

The following 3 elements describe the passengers. For each passenger the elements are repeated.

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Sequence number of the traveller | 2 | х | | Ν | |
| 2 | Type of passenger | 5 | х | х | N | according to ERA Code List B.4.5261 |
| 3 | Reduction for this passenger | 6 | х | х | A | According to ERA Code List B.4.5263 |

It is to be noted that the elements O and R must be repeated for each passenger because, though they must all travel on the same train, they could have different personal characteristics (e.g. reduction cards, age qualifying for youth or senior tariff, etc.)

5.2.4 Non-integrated reservation tickets fields

The following definition is added to the passenger part (see point 5.2.3) for Non-integrated reservation tickets. In case of a round trip ticket it appears twice:

- first instance for the outbound journey, and
- second instance for the return part of the journey.

| ID Number in sentence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|--|
| | g elements describe t g the elements are re _l | - | oute. | | | |
| 1 | Sequence number of leg | 2 | Х | | Ν | |
| 2a | Boarding station | 7 | Х | Х | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22A</i> |
| 2b | Boarding station | AAA | Х | Х | A | H2H-code (see abbreviation list) |
| За | Destination station | 7 | х | Х | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22B</i> |
| Зb | Destination station | AAA | Х | Х | А | H2H-code |
| 4 | Supplement | 1 | Х | Х | A | "0": not included "1": included |
| 5a | Tariff | 9 | | Х | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 42</i> |
| 5b | Tariff code | BBB | | Х | А | H2H-code |
| 6 | Reduction % | 2 | | | Ν | |
| 7 | Date | 8 | Х | | А | YYYYMMDD |
| 8 | Last day of validity | 8 | Х | | А | YYYYMMDD |

NB: 2a/2b, 3a/3b, 5a/5b can be chosen - must be described in the bilateral agreement AAA/BBB will be defined in the bilateral agreement (if H2H-codes are used). Fields 2a to 3b : these boarding and destination stations are the ones of the complete journey of the passenger. It is up to the TCO to specify, in the answer, which are the initial and final stations of its area of ticket checking Field 7: the date in this field is the first date of validity of the ticket

5.2.5 Reservation fields

The following definition is added to the passenger part (see point 5.2.3) for Non-integrated reservation tickets with reservation or for Integrated Reservation tickets. In case of a round trip ticket it appears twice:

- first instance for the outbound, and
- second instance for the return part of the journey.

| ID Number In sentence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| | The following elements describe the trains used. For each train the elements are repeated. | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Sequence number of train | 2 | Х | | Ν | | | | |
| 2 | Former reference number | 10 | | | А | TCO, e.g. PNR | | | |
| 3 | Train number | 5 | Х | Х | А | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 element 20A | | | |
| 4 | Coach number | 3 | | | А | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 27</i> | | | |
| 5 | Seat number | 3 | | | А | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 28</i> | | | |
| 6a | Boarding station | 7 | х | Х | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22A</i> | | | |
| 6b | Boarding station | AAA | Х | Х | А | H2H-code | | | |
| 7a | Destination station | 7 | х | Х | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22B</i> | | | |
| 7b | Destination station | AAA | Х | Х | А | H2H-code | | | |
| 8 | Supplement | 1 | Х | Х | А | "0": not included "1 ": included | | | |
| 9a | Tariff | 9 | | Х | А | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 4</i> 2 | | | |
| 9b | Tariff code | BBB | | Х | А | H2H-code | | | |
| 10 | Reduction % | 2 | | | А | | | | |
| 11 | Date | 8 | Х | | А | YYYYMMDD | | | |
| 12 | Last validity date | 8 | Х | | А | YYYYMMDD | | | |

NB : - 6a/6b, 7a/7b, 9a/9b can be chosen - must be described in the bilateral agreement

- AAA/BBB will be defined in the bilateral agreement (if H2H-codes are used)

- "Former reference number":

When the file is linked to a former demand (i.e. the reservation in the inventory system of the TCO or one of its partners) the file number of the request must be added.

5.3 Certificate generation

This information, namely the completed fields (as described in the bilateral agreement), allows the TCO to generate its certificate. The TCO is free to use its own method to generate this certificate. The TCO generates it and controls it afterwards in the train. The formula it uses to make a character-based certificate is its own and must not be shared. The max. size of the character string is defined in the layout of the CT-message in point 5.4. The TCO can decide how this character-based certificate should be presented on the home-printed ticket (alphanumeric, 1 D-barcode (+ what standard to use), 2D-barcode or other presentation).

5.4 Certificate transfer

Schema TAP_TSI_B.7_v1.2.xsd is available on the ERA website.

In return the TCO sends the following data.

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | Message type | 2 | Х | х | A | ID is "CT" (certificate transfer) |
| 2 | Certificate Request ID (distributor) | 20 | х | | N | 2 parts: Distributor-ID part (4) and reference part (16) - see general fields description point 5.2.2 |
| 3 | TCO transaction ID | 20 | х | | N | 2 parts: TCO-ID part (4) and reference part (16) - as in general fields description in point 5.2.2 |
| 4 | Error code | 2 | Х | Х | N | see code list B.7.5 |
| | The following 8 eler | nents are repe | ated for each | certificat | e (i= inde | ex of text field). |
| 5 + 8i | Sequence number of the certificate | 2 | Х | | N | the numbering must start from 1, not 0 |
| 6 + 8i | initial station of the certificate | 7 | Х | | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22A</i> |
| 7 + 8i | final station of the certificate | 7 | Х | | Ν | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 22B</i> |
| 8 + 8i | TCO code | 4 | Х | х | A | According to ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.5 <i>element 78</i> |

| Number in sequence | Elemen | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|---|
| 9 + 8i | Client identification number | 20 | | | А | e.g. some digits of number of credit card or ID-card or loyalty-card used to verify the certificate. The cardholder must be the traveller, responsible for the group (see point 1) (the card is not necessarily the card, used to buy the ticket) |
| 10 + 8i | Client identification type | 4 | | х | A | Type of card used to verify the certificate. A list of the different cards is found in ERA Code List B.7.1 See also remark above about "traveller, responsible of the group" |
| 11 + 8i | The way the string should be presented | 6 | х | x | A | See Code List B.7.2 |
| 12 + 8i | The actual security-string (the "certificate") | 200 | Х | | A | Size depends on presentation |

Field 8 : the TCO code in field 8 is the code of the TCO that performs the ticket checks between the stations defined in fields 6 and 7. In the case described in section 5.5 ("multiple TCOs"), the code in field 8 can be the one of TCO2, while the code in field 3 (first 4 characters) is the one of TCO1

Fields 9 and 10: it is to be noted that the content of field 9 must copy exactly the content of field 6 in the certificate request and the content of field 10 must copy field 7

Field 12: the note in the "Comments" column has to be understood as follows "Though the field has a maximum size of 200 characters, the actual maximum size must be defined in the bilateral agreement between distributor and TCO, and depends on the number of characters that can be printed in one line if the textual presentation was chosen, or the number of bar coded characters that can be printed in one line if the 1D barcode presentation was chosen, or the size of the Aztec barcode if this presentation was chosen"

NB: If "Error Code" is not "00", then subsequent elements (5 etc.) are replaced by the following:

| 5 | Error message | 100 | | | | Description of the actual error (for development and testing phase) |
|---|---------------|-----|--|--|--|---|
|---|---------------|-----|--|--|--|---|

5.5 Important remark about list of TCOs per train - multiple TCO's/segment


It is possible that the TCO is different from the carrier. For example, some German ICE-trains going to the Netherlands have Dutch control staff on board. This means that the NS has to be added on the list of the TCOs in case of a home-printed ticket for this train so that they can generate a certificate that will be printed on the ticket.

For the Distributor it is quite impossible to know all the TCOs per train for every possible carrier. The carrier itself knows all the TCOs that can be working on its specific trains.

It is to be noted that on certain trains and certain routes it is possible to have indifferently one or another TCO, and at the moment of sale of the ticket it is impossible to know which one will be on duty on the specific train, therefore more than one certificate can cover the same O/D, or two certificates can have overlapping O/Ds.

The distributor will ask the carrier (logically the first TCO involved) to generate a certificate and if the carrier knows that one or more supplementary TCO(s) will have to generate also a certificate, than the carrier itself will send a demand for certificate to the other TCO(s). They will answer the carrier (the first TCO) by sending their certificates for this journey. The carrier will send to the distributor not only the certificate it generated, but also the certificates it received from the other TCO(s).

The demand of the carrier addressed at other TCOs is following the same mechanism as the demand from a distributor to a TCO. The carrier sends a Certificate Request message to a TCO and the TCO sends a Certificate Transfer message as an answer to the carrier.



- Step 1: Distributor contacts the carrier ("TCO1 ")
- Step 2: TCO1 creates a certificate and contacts, based on the train list, TCO2
- Step 3: TCO1 sends to TCO2 a Certificate Request
- Step 4: TCO2 creates its certificate
- Step 5: TCO2 sends its certificate to TCO1
- Step 6: TCO1 sends its certificate and the certificate it received from TCO2 in one CT-message to the distributor who sent the initial CR.

Fig. 22 - More than one TCO for one segment

5.6 Cancellation message

Schema TAP_TSI_B.7_v1.2.xsd is available on the ERA website.

A cancellation message can be generated by the distributor in four different cases:

- 1. the CR message did not result in a CT message in time;
- 2. the CR message was resulting in a CT but another TCO did not answer appropriately;
- 3. the CR message resulted in a CT message but the client did not go till the end (payment, printing, ...) so the generated certificate will not be used and must be cancelled;
- 4. in case of a closed system (i.e. a system where after sales operations information is going back to the distributor and all concerned TCOs. This kind of system allows cancellation of tickets, since travelling with a copy of the original ticket will be detected), the printed and payed ticked is exchanged or cancelled. The cancellation message is to inform the TCO that this ticked is no longer valid.

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|--|-----------|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Message type | 2 | Х | х | A | ID is "CM" (cancellation message |
| 2 | Reference ID of cancellation | 20 | х | | N | 2 parts: Distributor-ID part (4) and reference part (16) - see general fields description in point 5.2.2 |
| 3 | Record number | 2 (it copies the sequence number contained in field 5 + 8i of table 5.4) | х | | N | Sequence number |
| 4 | Certificate request ID (distributor) | 20 | х | | Ν | 2 parts: Distributor-ID part (4) and reference part (16) -see remark about record 2 |
| 5 a) | TCO transaction ID | 20 | | | N | 2 parts: TCO-ID part (4) and reference part (16) - see general fields description in point 5.2 |
| 6 | Cancellation reason | 20 | | х | A | Reason of cancellation - see Code List B.7.3 |

a. only if the distributor received an answer from the TCO.

5.7 Field 4: the content of this field is a copy of the content of field 2 in table 5.4

5.8 Field 5: the content of this field is a copy of the content of field 3 in table 5.4

5.9 Cancellation acknowledgement

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Num/ Alpha | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Message type | 2 | х | х | A | ID is "CA" (cancellation acknowledgement) |
| 2 | Cancellation reference ID (distributor) | 20 | х | | Ν | 2 parts: Distributor-ID part (4) and reference part (16) see general fields in point 5.2 |
| 3 | Ack error | 200 | х | | A | No error: Blank Error: Message (e.g. client trying to cancel twice) |

Schema TAP_TSI_B.7_v1.2.xsd is available on the ERA Website.

6 Class diagrams of the different messages

6.1 Overview of the messages




Fig.23 - Class diagram: Certificate Request _Message




Fig. 24 - Class diagram: Certificate Transfer _Message




Fig. 25 - Class diagram: Cancellation_Message




Fig. 26 - Class diagram: Cancellation Acknowledgement _Message

6.2 Detailed specification of the classes

6.2.1 "Message" class

It contains the following associations:

- Distributor,
- ReferenceID,
- Segments,
- Train,
- Error,
- Passenger,
- TicketHolder,
- Ticket,
- Train,
- TCO,
- SecurityString,
- CancellationReason,
- ReferenceID,
- Cancellation,
- RecordNumber

- MessageType,
- MessageDate.

6.2.2 Distributor" class

An undertaking providing to an issuer the legal and technical capacity to sell rail products to a customer, or providing directly to the customer the legal and technical capacity to buy rail products.

It contains the following attributes:

- Reference Code : Distributor identification; Limited to 4 positions.

6.2.3 "Supplement" class

Yes/No condition if supplement applies to journey.

It contains the following attributes:

- SupplementApplied.

6.2.4 "Station" class

Station identification

It contains the following attributes:

- StationType: Boarding Station, Destination Station,
- Station Code.

6.2.5 "Segments" class

Description of segments of journey.

It contains the following associations:

- Supplement,
- Station,
- TariffCharacteristics,
- Ticket,
- Station,
- TariffCharacteristics,
- Date

It contains the following attributes:

- SequenceNumber

6.2.6 "ReferenceID" class

If used to indicate a Certificate Request ID, it refers to the reference number of the distributor; the answer of the TCO will refer to this number. In order to ensure the uniqueness of the number, it is associated with the 4-digit code of the distributor. This request ID allows the distributor to make a distinction when the answers of the different TCOs arrive at the different moments. This element is not only runtime, but also for after sale operations on the database.

May also be used to indicate the identification reference of a ticket holder (Credit Card, Bahn Card, etc.). In this case it contains the following attributes (what follows doesn't apply when the ReferenceId is used to indicate something different from the identification of a ticket holder):

- IDType: describes the Type of Identification reference (i.e. Credit Card, Bahn Card, etc.). Will use enumerated values
- FormerReference.

6.2.7 "TariffCharacteristics" class

Provides details on the tariff.

It contains the following attributes:

- ReductionPercentage,
- TariffCode,
- Supplement.

6.2.8 "Ticket" class

Provides details related to Ticket.

It contains the following associations:

– TicketHolder.

- TicketType: one way = 1; round trip = 2,
- EndDate: used only for Non Reservation Ticket. Expressed as YYMMDD,
- ServiceClass: Class of service according to Code List B.7.7,
- Date: Expressed as YYYYMMDD.

6.2.9 "TicketHolder" class

Client name and identification.

It contains the following associations:

- ReferenceID,
- Passenger,
- IdentificationType,
- ReferenceID,
- IdentificationType.

It contains the following attributes:

- GivenName: First 20 Characters of the Client's Given Name. Harmonised with UNTDED and ISO Directory,

- FamilyName: Client's Family Name limited to 20 Characters. Harmonised with UNTDED and ISO directories,

BirthDate.

6.2.10 "Passenger" class

Details of passengers included on a ticket.

It contains the following associations:

- TariffCharacteristics,
- Train,
- Segments.

- ChargeUnitCode: Type of Passenger (Code List B.7.4);
- SequenceNumber: Sequence number for Passenger;
- PassengerType.

6.2.11 "Train" class

It contains the following associations:

- Supplement,
- Station,
- Ticket,
- ReferenceID,
- TariffCharacteristics,
- ReferenceID,
- Date,
- Station,
- TicketHolder.

It contains the following attributes:

- SequenceNumber,
- Coach,
- Seat.

6.2.12 "Error" class

It contains the following associations:

– FreeText.

It contains the following attributes:

– ErrorCode: see Code List B.7.5.

6.2.13 "FreeText" class

- TextReferenceCode,
- Reservation: 0 = No; 1 = Yes.

6.2.14 "IdentificationType" class

It contains the following attributes:

- TypeCard.

6.2.15 "Date" class

It contains the following attributes:

- Date,
- EndDate.

6.2.16 "TCO" class

It contains the following attributes:

ReferenceCode.

6.2.17 "SecurityString" class

It contains the following associations:

- StringLayout.

6.2.18 "StringLayout" class

It contains the following attributes:

– LayoutCode.

6.2.19 "CancellationReason" class

It contains the following associations:

– FreeText.

It contains the following attributes:

- CancellationReasonCode.

6.2.20 "Cancellation" class

It contains the following attributes:

- ReferenceCode.

6.2.21 "RecordNumber" class

It contains the following attributes:

– SequenceNumber.

6.2.22 "CertificateRequest" class

It contains the following associations:

– Message.

6.3 Use cases

6.3.1 Description




Fig. 27 - Use case diagram: (Analysis) - DistributorSendCertificateCancellation




Fig. 28 - Use case diagram: (Analysis) - TCO SendCancelationAcknowledgment




Fig. 29 - Use case diagram: (Analysis) - DistributorReceiveAcknowledgment




Fig. 30 - Use case diagram: (Analysis) - Actors

The actors concerned are:

- distributor_,
- TCO 1,
- XML_PassActor.

The defined use cases are:

- CertificateCancellation,
- CancellationAcknowledgment,
- ReceiveAcknowledgment.

6.3.2 "Distributor_" actor

It specializes the actor XML_PassActor.

It cooperates with the following use cases:

- CertificateCancellation,
- ReceiveAcknowledgment.

6.3.3 - "TCO 1" actor

It specifies the actor XML_PassActor.

It cooperates with the following use cases:

- CancellationAcknowledgment.

6.3.3 "CertificateCancellation" use case

The cooperating actors are:

Distributor_.

6.3.4 "CancellationAcknowledgment" use case

The cooperating actors are:

– TCO 1.

6.3.5 "ReceiveAcknowledgment" use case

The cooperating actors are:

Distributor_.

7 Messaging process + exceptions in messaging (CMC, CKC)

7.1 Sequence in messaging

Possible answers that every TCO gives to the distributor after receiving the certificate request string-message:

- no answer (time-out),
- error code = 00 + certificate (string),
- error code <> 00 + error message (see Appendix B).

The TCO has only one chance to send the certificates or the error messages. Within x seconds after receiving the request to generate the certificate, the TCO has to return an answer (the time limit will be contractually fixed in the Service Level Agreement between the distributor and the TCO). The distributor will cancel the sale if it didn't receive a message within the fixed time limit. If a sale is cancelled, all certificates of the different TCO's, linked to this ticket, will be cancelled.

A cancellation message (sent from a distributor to a TCO) always results in a cancellation acknowledgement (going from the TCO to the distributor who sent the cancellation message).

If the distributor did not receive the cancellation acknowledgement message in time, it will retry max. 2 times immediately. If no cancellation acknowledgement comes in, even after 2 retries, the procedure of sending a cancellation message will start again every two hours until a cancellation acknowledgement is received. If no answer comes in after 3 days, the cancellation request messages will no longer be sent.

7.2 Messaging sequence diagrams




Fig. 31 - The normal sequence - 2 TCOs




Fig. 32 - Special case 1: no answer from TCO1

European Railway Agency ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI




Fig. 33 - Special case 1 - no answer from TCO1

8 Specification of Digitally Signed Ticket (DST)

8.1 Mechanism

8.1.1 Introduction

Instead of generating the certificates itself, the TCO can offer the distributor the possibility to do it locally by means of public key cryptography. This will result in a certificate that is larger than the certificate obtained by using symmetric key-pairs. (it is to be noted that the reference to symmetric key-pairs is only made to explain that this encryption method would generate a smaller certificate than the encryption with asymmetric keys. The method with symmetric keys is never used in the context of B.7). An alphanumeric presentation will therefore not be useful and a common one-dimensional barcode can no longer be used. A possible solution to integrate these data in the paper ticket is a two-dimensional barcode.

The standard will describe the mechanism the different companies will have to follow if they choose this option. It speaks for itself that this choice will be the result of a bilateral agreement.

8.1.2 Composition of the DST content

The content of the DST in international passenger traffic is composed as follows:

- 1. Message header with message ID and digital signature
- 2. Main record
- 3. Record with RCT2 ticket layout
- 4. Record(s) based on specific TCO standards (optional).

The definition of these record types is appointed in the following chapters of this document.

The sequence of the records is seized by means of a compressing technique and then displayed on the support medium (2D Barcode, Smartcard, etc.).




Fig. 34 - Survey on generating the DST

8.1.3 Control of the DST

The control process by means of an apparatus contains the following steps:

- 1. Reading the content of the DST (2D Barcode, Smartcard, etc.)
- 2. Checking the signature by means of a public key. If the result is negative, the train staff member of the TCO receives a corresponding notice
- 3. Decompressing the content Output of the content of the ticket on the display (in the layout of the ticket printout)
- 4. Automatic check of some essential ticket attributes (journey date etc.)
- 5. Receiving the outcome of the control of the train staff member of the TCO.




Fig. 35 - Overview of the online ticket control

NB: If the validation of the signature takes too long (conform calculating power of the apparatus), the steps "signature check" and "Output of the content of the ticket on the display" can be switched. The signature check can take place while the train staff member of the TCO is controlling the ticket visually. In that case, an invalid signature is notified by a certain temporary delay. Since this is rather an exception, it's not a constraint for the normal control process.

8.1.4 Compressing procedure

For the compressing procedure an algorithm jointly agreed by distributor and TCOs will be applied.

8.1.5 Character encoding

In Textfields with fixed length, special characters are not permitted. Only characters that are translated in one byte using the UTF-8 encoding, are allowed.

Textfields with a variable length may contain special characters. The strings must be encoded using UTF-8 transformation.

Special characters will increase the length of the encoded string, since they are encoded using several octets.

NB: the value of the according length field must be determined after encoding the text, in order to allow decoding the entire text again when parsing the content.

8.2 Definition of the record structure

Each record type is composed according to the following pattern:

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------|---|
| 1 | Record ID | 6A | Х | X | Composition: "Uxxxxx" for record types, standard in TAP TSI. "xxxxx" is variable and determines the type "4 characters Company Code" + "xx" for record types defined by separate TCOs. "xx" is variable and can be filled in freely by the TCO for each type (2 characters) |
| 2 | Record version | 2N | Х | | Allows different versions of one record type (having the same record ID) |
| 3 | Record length | 4N | х | | Number of characters starting from the record beginning (from the first character of the element "record ID") |
| | | А | | | The actual fields of the records |

8.3 Definitions of the general record types

Main record (U_HEAD)

This record is mandatory for DSTs.

The main record contains information in common with all the transport documents (regardless of the ticket layout standard, a domestic or international ticket).

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------|------|---|
| 1 | Record ID | 6A | Х | Х | ID is "U_HEAD" ("header") |
| 2 | Record version | 2N | Х | | "01" |
| 3 | Record length | 4N | Х | | |
| 4 | Company code of the distributing RU | 4N | Х | Х | Distributor, producing the tickets |
| 5 | Unambiguous key of the tickets | 20A | X | | The producer can complete this key freely; a specific key can only be used for one ticket. In combination with the Company code this key provides a global unique key for each ticket within the EU. |
| 6 | Edition time | 12N | X | | Format "YYYYMMDDhhmm" This can be used to prevent fraud by buying a ticket after journey departure (only shortly before the conductor shows up) |
| 7 | Flags | 1 N | X | x | International ticket: 1 Edited by agent: 2 Specimen: 4 The field is the decimal representation of the sum according to the flags set. E.g.: "6" means national ticket, edited by agent, specimen |
| 8 | Edition language of the tickets | 2A | Х | Х | <i>ISO 639 - 1</i> (see Bibliography) country code definitions and abbreviations |
| 9 | Second language of the contract of carriage (See ERA TAP TSI TD B.6) | 2A | X | X | <i>ISO 639 - 1</i> country code. If no second language is used, this field is filled with blanks |

Field 6: "Edition time" must be understood as the moment when the distributor generates the 2D barcode

Field 6: Since the distributor could be an on-line travel agent based everywhere in the world, the time must be expressed in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)

Field 7: for a better understanding of this field, it must be considered that its content is a 1-digit number between 0 and 7, obtained as the sum of three numbers as follows:

- A number that can be 0 if the ticket is domestic and 1 if it is international or for foreign sale
- A number that can be 0 if the request for the ticket was introduced in the sales system by the customer itself and 2 if it was introduced by a professional agent (salesperson of an RU or third party ticket vendor)
- A number that can be 0 if the ticket is a valid ticket and 4 if it is a ticket for test.

Therefore the following cases are possible:

- 0 Domestic ticket, Requested by the customer, Valid ticket
- 1 International ticket or for foreign sale, Requested by the customer, Valid ticket
- 2 Domestic ticket, Requested by an agent, Valid ticket
- 3 International ticket or for foreign sale Requested by an agent, Valid ticket
- 4 Domestic ticket, Requested by the customer, Test ticket
- 5 International ticket or for foreign sale, Requested by the customer, Test ticket
- 6 Domestic ticket, Requested by an agent, Test ticket
- 7 International ticket or for foreign sale, Requested by an agent, Test ticket

Fields 8 and 9: the first language for the edition of the tickets can be defined in the bilateral agreement between distributor and TCO(s), but could also be chosen by the customer if the distributor offers multiple choices, therefore it is useful to have it defined in the record.

The second language is not optional. For real usability it is necessary that the name of the contract of carriage is always written in a second language between English, French or German, unless one of these is already the first language

8.4 Definition of the record types for the ticket data according to the TAP TSI standard

In this chapter the record types containing the actual information of online tickets (print attributes) are defined. These contents allow the essential control of the ticket by means of a control apparatus.

Record of the ticket data "Ticket Layout" (U_TLAY)

This record is mandatory in DSTs in accordance with ERA TAP TSI T. D. B.6, and for international tickets it's mandatory that the layout standard used is "RCT2" (see element 4).

This record represents the entire information of the attribute fields on the printed ticket layout, so that a complete control of the ticket is possible without making an online connection to the vending system.

Note that only layout fields with variable content should be included in this record. Fields which have the same content for all tickets of a given layout standard (e.g. labels), will be completed by the control apparatus. In particular for "RCT 2" based layouts all labels on line 5 (E) may not be included.

Note: the text "line 5 (E)" refers to the designation with letters of the rows of an RCT2 ticket. See also following note on fields 6 and 7 of the table.

To control offline, the entire content of the "ticket layout" field is extracted from the DST and shown on the display of the control apparatus. The layout must correspond exactly with the printed ticket.

This record only refers to the ticket content and not to a specific layout standard (for example "RCT 2"). In combination with the DST records specific for the TCO (see point 8.5), DSTs can therefore be created for any carrier specific ticket. Nevertheless international tickets always must follow the "RCT2" layout standard.

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------|--|
| 1 | Record ID | 6A | Х | Х | ID is "U_TLAY"("Ticket layout") |
| 2 | Record version | 2N | Х | | "01" |
| 3 | Record length | 4N | Х | | |
| 4 | Layout standard | 4A | Х | | For example "RCT2" |
| 5 | Number of fields | 4N | Х | | Number of following fields |
| . | | | | 1 4 | Gebert les seut de contrations formes de |

The following elements define the individual text fields included on the ticket layout description formula. For each text field the elements are repeated (*i*= index of the text fields)

| 6 + 6 i | Field line | 2N | х | Line index of the first character. Range: 0 till 18 |
|---------|--------------|----|---|--|
| 7 + 6 i | Field column | 2N | Х | Column index of the first character. Range: 0 till 71 |
| 8 + 6 i | Field height | 2N | х | Number of lines reserved from the field |

European Railway Agency ERA/TD/2009-10/INT: ANNEX B.7 of TAP TSI

| 9 + 6 i | Field width | 2N | х | | Number of columns reserved from the field |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|------|--|
| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments |
| 10 + 6 i | Field formatting | 1 N | X | X | See code list B.7.6 |
| 11 + 6 i | Field text length | 4N | Х | | Length of the following text. Caution: the length must be determined using the already encoded text |
| 12 + 6 i | Field text | nA | X | | If "Field height" is bigger than 1, the text must be wrapped using the following rules: The first word that doesn't fit in the current field line, is printed at the beginning of the following line If the field text contains the sign LF (ASCII "10"), the next word must be wrapped to the next line The producer of the record must guarantee that the entire text, when applying these rules, fits within the indicated field sizes (height and width), can be entirely displayed |

NB : - Using "small font" formatting has no impact on the number of characters possible for a certain field. E.g. a field using "small font" formatting still has a maximum width of 71 characters, even if there is space left on the form. The "small font" format is therefore a pure formatting option which can't be used to increase the textual capacity of the ticket fields.

8.4.1 Extraction of RCT 2 zones

If the element "Layout standard" in the "U_TLAY" record contains the value "RCT2", it means that the content of the "U_TLAY" record is composed according to the layout defined by this Technical Document. This allows extracting directly specific ticket attributes from the "U_TLAY" record.

The layout of the ticket according to RCT2 is subdivided in different zones containing distinct attributes (see ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6, *point 3.1.3*):

| ci v 🗌 | | | | | 2 | |
|--------|------|-----|--------|-------|------|--------|
| DATUM | ZEIT | VON | → NACH | DATUM | ZEIT | KLASSE |
| P | P | | | P | P | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | → 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | → 3 | 3 | 3 | * |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 6 | | 7 | |
| | | | | | | |

| Zone | Content | Only 1 representation |
|------|--|--------------------------|
| 1 | Type of document, « CIV », responsible carrier in code, validity | |
| 2 | Family names / given names of the travellers and the number of adults and children | |
| 3 | Travel O/D, departure date and time, arrival date and time | yes |
| 4 | Class | yes |
| 5 | Train, wagon, reserved seat | |
| 6 | Used tariffs, used commercial conditions (from 1 till n carriers) | |
| 7 | Currency and total amount for each ticket | yes |

If the element "Layout standard" in the "U_TLAY" record contains the value "RCT2", separate zones (1 till 7) can be extracted on the basis of the subdivision of the ticket layout (from the record "U_TLAY"). This allows subdividing the information included in the "U_TLAY" record into coherent parts.

The subdivision in separate zones makes it possible to show the content of the "U_TLAY" record on the display of a control apparatus that is too small for the entire layout. In this case, the separate RCT 2 zones can be displayed consecutively and/or combined differently.

8.4.2 Extraction of RCT2 ticket attributes

Beside the subdivision of the zones, the ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 standard allows the extraction of separate ticket attributes (from the "U_TLAY" record). In the zones 3, 4 and 7 only one representation exists for all the different types of transport documents (see last column of the previous table). This means that the included ticket attributes are identical for all RCT 2 ticket types and these attributes can be extracted unambiguously.

The attributes that can be extracted are the following:

Zone 3: Travelling distance and time

| Ticket attribute |
|--|
| Outward journey date of departure |
| Outward journey time of departure |
| Outward journey station of departure (Text form) |
| Outward journey station of arrival (Text form) |
| Outward journey date of arrival |
| Outward journey time of arrival |
| Return journey date of departure |
| Return journey time of departure |
| Return journey station of departure (Text form) |
| Return journey station of arrival (Text form) |
| Return journey date of arrival |
| Return journey time of arrival |

Zone 4: class

| Ticket | t attribute |
|--------|-------------|
| Class | |

Zone 5: route

| Ticket attribute |
|-----------------------|
| Route outward journey |
| Route return journey |

Zone 7: monetary unit and amount

| Ticket attribute |
|------------------------------|
| Monetary unit (abbreviation) |
| Amount |
| Mode of payment |

8.5 Record types for ticket data in accordance with the standards of a specific TCO

The distributing RU can include attributes in the DST that are not defined in the TAP TSI standard. The DST can also be used for national tickets that are not composed according to TAP TSI layout standards.

For these cases the RU can define its own record types. As long as the base structure of the record is respected (see point 8.2 "Definition of the record structure"), no other directives for the internal composition of this record exist.

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------|--|
| 1 | Record ID | 6A | Х | Х | Company code of 4 characters + ID (2 characters) |
| | | | | | The Company code is the code of the TCO defining the specific record type. The ID is composed by the TCO itself and contains 2 alphabetic signs that can be chosen freely |
| 2 | Record version | 2N | Х | | |
| 3 | Record length | 4N | Х | | |
| | | A | Х | | Next there are the actual elements of the record in accordance to the standard specific for the TCO |

Example of an ID "1180XY" record:

| Example of symbol | Meaning |
|-------------------|---|
| "1180" | Company code of the DB |
| "XY" | ID of 2 characters to distinguish different record types of a TCO |

8.6 Definition of the entire DST message structure

The DST message structure is defined below. Similar to the record definitions it contains header elements containing type ID and version.

The entire content of the DST is protected by means of a digital signature, that guarantees:

Integrity:

Even the smallest possible manipulation of the content (i.e. changing from 1st to 2nd class) leads to an invalid signature. Forged DSTs will be recognized immediately by verifying the signature.

Authenticity:

Since only the possessor of the private key is able to create the signature, the authorship of the DST is proved.

Because the key necessary for the control of the signature can not be fully protected against theft (due to its presence on each control apparatus), an asymmetric algorithm is used (public/private key). The message header thus contains a signature generated from the algorithm DSA ("digital signature algorithm").

Definition of the entire DST message structure:

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Comments | |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------|------|---|--|
| 1 | Unique Message type ID | 3A | Х | Х | "#UT" for TAP TSI Ticket | |
| 2 | Message type version | 2N | Х | | "01" (Version of this Technical Document) | |
| 3 | Company Code of the RU that is signing | 4N | Х | Х | Allows the identification of the public key necessary for the verification in combination with the following element | |
| 4 | ID of the signature key | 5A | Х | | The ID must be managed by the RU issuing the signature key | |
| 5 | Signature | 50A | X | | DSA signature for the message after compression (next element) in ASN.1 representation (see list of abbreviations). If the actual ASN.1 representation is shorter than 50 bytes, it should be enlarged to the length of 50 by adding null bytes | |
| 6 | Length of compressed message | 4N | Х | | | |
| 7 | Compressed message | A | Х | | The entire record sequence compressed with DEFLATE (the DEFLATE compressing method is explained in http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1951) | |

8.7 2D Barcode symbol

When the DST is edited as a 2D barcode (NB : when printed on paper the DST certificate is always edited as a big 2D barcode, but in future it could be stored on a chipcard of the customer),, the following specifications are mandatory:

| Parameter | Value | Comments | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Symbology used | Aztec | | | | | |
| Number of modules | 87 | This means 17 Aztec layers (see Aztec standard) | | | | |
| Capacity | max. 621 Bytes | Binary data (8 bit). This limit may not be exceeded; otherwise the fault correction is jeopardized. If necessary, optional DST records must be omitted | | | | |
| Physical size | 50 x 50 mm | The size of the entire 2D barcode results from the recommended element size for the printout on the home printers (inkjet lowcost): | | | | |
| | | Optimal recommendation:25 mil/ elem.Minimal recommendation:15 mil/ elem. | | | | |
| | | (with 50 x 50 mm results in an element size of 23 mil) | | | | |
| | | 1 mm = 40 mil | | | | |
| Share of fault correction data | 23 % | This value results from the previous values when using the full capacity. | | | | |
| | | For Aztec 23% is the standard value for fault correction | | | | |




Fig. 36 - Example for 2D Barcode symbology in accordance with specification

8.8 Key management

8.8.1 Duration of validity of the signature key

Since the signature algorithm DSA is an asymmetric and robust cryptographic procedure, the key pairs must in general be rarely switched. A relative long duration of validity can be chosen.

The maximum duration (e.g. **12 months)** is defined in each bilateral agreement.

Tickets can be valid over longer periods (e.g. 2 months or more) and only one signature per ticket is possible, therefore the validity periods of subsequent keys must overlap. The overlap period must be at least as long as the maximum ticket validity time span (minus 1 day).




Fig. 37 - Example for key validity overlap

8.8.2 Test key pairs

Some testing scenarios can require a special set of keys, which are used on pre productive system plattforms. Since productive ("live") private keys usually can't be deployed to testing platforms for security reasons, special testing key pairs must be used in this context. Testing keys must have an ID beginning with "TT" (for details about the key ID see point 8.6, element 4 "ID of the signature key").

Sample for a test key ID: "TT001 ".

The test key pairs are deployed on test environments, which usually can't guarantee a defined security level. Due to this situation it is very important to be aware that tickets signed using a test key can never be considered as valid, even if the specimen flag of the DST message is not set. It is the responsibility of each TCO to ensure that their controlling devices follow this policy and always display these tickets with the indication "Test" or "Specimen".

On the other hand the ticket distributor is fully responsible to guarantee the secrecy of its productive private keys. Any ticket signed with a non-test private key will be considered as authentic by a TCO.

8.8.3 Exchange of signature keys (public keys) with partner companies

The period of validity of a key pair is defined in each bilateral agreement. Since the public keys must be distributed on the control apparatus of the different RUs, the exchange of a key must take place in advance of its validity time span.

The public keys must be at the disposal of the different RUs at the dates defined in the bilateral agreements.

The message format and communication infrastructure used to transfer the public keys is defined in the bilateral agreements. The use of key certificates (signed by a certification authority) can be agreed bilaterally.

9 Option: list with cancelled certificates (CMC, CKC)

Cancelling the tickets using the standard in a system with a (supplementary) check where ticket info is checked versus stored information).

| Distributor: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Generate trip (as done for ticketing office tickets) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Generate virtual ticket | | | | |
| | Collect trip info | | | |
| | Collect passenger info (depends on trip) | | | |
| | Create certificate request strings | | | |
| | Send certificate request strings | | | |
| | Receive certificates TCO's | | | |
| | | | | |
| Payment (method cho | sen by distributor) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Printing | Generate "ticket file" | | | |
| | Send file to client | | | |
| | Client prints this file | | | |
| | | | | |

Fig. 38 - The home-printed ticketing scheme

If in the payment-phase or in the printing-phase something goes wrong, the ticket will be cancelled (everything created in the "generate ticket phase"). The certificates that were made by the TCOs (in case of CMC or CKC) or by the distributor (DST) will not be used on a ticket.

Since several RUs are using a closed system, it can be interesting to communicate which of their generated certificates can/cannot be used on the tickets. A system like this can prevent that cancelled or used tickets are being used or used again.

To do this, the distributor can send the TCO a list of all the reference numbers (numbers of the distributor when demanding the certificate) that cannot be used because the ticket has been cancelled or has not been paid (because there is a problem with the payment by credit card or a problem with the creation of other certificates of other TCOs causing a cancellation of the transaction).

The cancellation of the tickets in the reservation system has nothing to do with this cancellation and must be done separately (cancellation of the "generate trip" phase).

In case of a CKC-system, these cancellation messages are very likely to be used, but even in the CMC-system it can be applied.

Definition of the cancellation message:

| Number in sequence | Element | Number of characters | Mandatory | Code | Numeric | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------|------|---------|---|
| 1 | Message type | 2 | Х | Х | | ID is "CA" (cancellation) |
| 2 | Number of records | 5 | Х | | Х | |
| | g 3 elements dese ertificate request | | • | • | | quests to be cancelled. eld). |
| 3 + 3 i | Sequence number of record | 5 | Х | | Х | |
| 4 + 3 i | Certificate Request ID | 20 | Х | | | |
| 5 + 3 i | TCO transaction ID | 20 | Х | | | In case the distributor received an answer from the TCO |

10 Procedure for making home-printed tickets

- Client logs in to www.mydistributor.com;
- Client chooses his/her journey (itinerary depends on timetable, date, number of persons travelling,...);
- Distributor proposes a price (possibly it has to consult several carriers when integrated reservation tickets depend on the availability);
- Client chooses;
- Distributor generates the ticket according to the ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6 layout;
- Distributor knows the TCOs and the elements they use to generate their certificates;
- Distributor makes a list of all the data it needs from the client in order to have the certificates generated for/by¹ every TCO;
- Distributor collects these data;
- Distributor sends the TCOs in question all the elements they need to generate their certificates¹;
- Distributor generates the certificates using DST with the keys as defined in the bilateral agreements²;
- The TCOs return their certificates to the distributors¹;
- When there are error messages or time-outs: STOP¹;
- Generate the A4-ticket;
- Payment by the client;
- The A4-ticket is sent to the client by the distributor.

1.

CMC, CKC

2. DST

11 List of abbreviations

| ASCII | American Standard Code for Information Interchange: a character set and encoding |
|-------------|---|
| ASN.1 | Abstract Syntax Notation one, see ISO/IEC 8824 |
| CA | Cancellation Acknowledgement (Message) |
| CIV | Uniform rules concerning the contract of international carriage of passengers by rail |
| CKC | Carrier Keeps Contract |
| СМ | Cancellation Message |
| СМС | Carrier Makes Certificate |
| CR | Certificate Request (Message) |
| СТ | Certificate Transfer (Message) |
| DSA | Digital signature algorithm, see [FIPS186] |
| DST | Digitally Signed Ticket |
| EDIFACT | Electronic Data Interchange for Administration, Commerce and Transport, see ISO 9735 |
| H2H | Host to host - coding using a bilateral agreed standard |
| IRTHP | International Rail Ticket for Home Printing |
| ISO | International Organisation for Standardisation |
| O/D | Origin / Destination |
| PKI | Public Key Infrastructure |
| RU | Railway Undertaking |
| тсо | Ticket Controlling Organisation |
| UTF-8 | Unicode Transformation Format |
| | variable-length character encoding for Unicode data |
| XML | eXtended Markup Language |
| | W3C-recommended general-purpose markup language |
| 1 D barcode | 1 dimensional barcode (uses vertical bars for data encoding) |
| 2D barcode | 2 dimensional barcode (uses a 2 dimensional matrix for data encoding) |

12 Bibliography

12.1 ERA TAP TSI Technical Documents

ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.5: *Electronic reservation of seats/berths and electronic production of travel documents - Exchange of messages, version 1.2, 25.05.2015*

ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.6: *Electronic seat/berth reservation and electronic production of transport documents - Transport documents (RCT2 Standard)*, version 1.2, 25.05.2015

ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.8: Standard Numerical Coding for Railway Undertakings, Infrastructure Managers and other companies involved in rail-transport chains, version 1.2, 25.05.2015

ERA TAP TSI Technical Document B.9: Standard numerical coding of locations, version 1.2, 25.05.2015

12.2 International standards

International Organisation for Standardization

ISO 639-1: Codes for the representation of names of languages - Part 1: Alpha 2 code, 2002