EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



Making the railway system work better for society.

To the attention of: Ms Elisabeth WERNER Director DG MOVE – Directorate C "Land" Rue De Mot 28 BE – 1049 Bruxelles Belgium

AG-KR-D(2018)1665

Valenciennes, 0 8 JUIN 2018

Subject: The Agency's 3rd report ERA-REP-152 IMPL-2017-01 on TAP TSI implementation

Dear Ms Werner,

In the letter of 21/12/2015 (reference R-5967753), DG MOVE requested the assistance of the Agency for the assessment of the TAP TSI implementation in accordance with Article 21b of Regulation (EC) 881/2004. The Agency set up in May 2016 the Implementation Co-operation Group Telematics Applications for Passengers to perform the assessment of the TAP TSI Implementation.

The Agency hereby provides the 3rd report ERA-REP-152 IMPL-2017-01 to inform DG MOVE on the progress of the implementation of TAP TSI regulation.

Should you require further clarifications, please do not hesitate to contact me.

For detailed questions, you may wish to contact Ms Anna GIGANTINO, the Head of Interoperability Unit

(Tel: +33 32 70 96 548) e-mail: anna.gigantino@era.europa.eu .

Yours sincerely,

Josef DOPPELBAUER

Executive Director

Enclosure: Report ERA-REP-152 IMPL-2017-01 Copy: Keir FITCH, Carlo DI GRANDIS (DG MOVE) A. GIGANTINO, F. FERRARI, M. VARGA, S. JUGELT, K.RAGUZ (ERA)



120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu



Report

3rd status report about the implementation progress of the TAP TSI

	Drafted by	Validated by	Approved by
Name	Stefan JUGELT Kresimir RAGUZ	Felice FERRARI	Anna GIGANTINO
Position	Project Officers	Head of Sector	Head of Unit
Date	15/05/2018	23/05/2018	23/05/2018
Signature	Signed	Signed	Signed

Document History

Version	Date	Comments
0.1	12/02/2018	First draft
0.2	05/03/2018	2 nd draft for the presentation at the TAP TSI co- operation group
1.0	15/05/2018	Inclusion of comments from NCP CH, NCP IT and NCP PT



Contents

Acronym	15	4
Reference	e documents	5
Reference	e legislation	5
1	ABSTRACT	6
2	Introduction	9
2.1	Reporting structure	9
2.2	Reporting procedures	11
2.2.1	Reporting for the conditions of carriage	11
2.2.2	Reporting for the regulatory functions	11
2.2.3	Reporting for TAP TSI retail basic parameters	12
2.2.4	Reporting for TAP TSI RU/IM basic parameters	13
2.2.5	Further steps after the reporting	14
3	Context	15
4	Analysis	19
4.1	Implementation of the regulatory functions	19
4.2	Implementation of the functions according to the original consolidated TAP TSI Master	
	Plan	22
4.2.1	Process for the questionnaire	22
4.2.2	Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI retail basic parameters to be implemented by railway undertakings	24
4.2.2.1	Sending reservation requests from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format	27
4.2.2.2	Answering reservation requests from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B5 format	31
4.2.2.3	Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format	35
4.2.2.4	Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd	39
4225	Sending reservation requests for car carriage to agreed RUI's in R5 format	43
4.2.2.5	Answering reservation requests for car carriage from agreed RIL's and agreed 3rd	
4.2.2.0	parties in B5 format	48
4.2.2.7	Issuing value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format	53
4.2.2.8	Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format	
4.2.2.9	Issuing home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format:	
4 2 2 10	Accenting home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format	67
1 2 2 11	Sending PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU's	
7.2.2.11	IM's and SM's in B10 format	72
4.2.2.12	Answering PRM assistance reservation requests via IT-communication from agreed	
	RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B10 format	76
4.2.2.13	NRT tariffs/fares	80
4.2.2.14	IRT tariffs/fares	85



4.2.2.15	Special tariffs/fares	90
4.2.2.16	Timetables	95
4.2.3	Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI retail basic parameters to be implemented by ticket vendors	99
4.2.4	Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI RU/IM basic parameters to be implemented by railway undertakings	
4.2.4.1	Implementation status in the 2 nd half of 2018 of company codes function	
4.2.4.2	Implementation status in the 2 nd half of 2017 of the common interface function	101
4.2.4.3	Train Running Information	102
4.3	Publication of the conditions of carriage and access conditions	103
4.4	Evolution of TAP TSI regulatory functions at European level	103
4.5	Evolution of TAP TSI retail functions at Member state level	104
4.6	Evolution of TAP TSI RU/IM functions at Member state level	104
4.7	Analysis of risks and issues	105
5	Conclusions	108
6	Recommendation / actions to be taken	110
Annex 1	Report provided in March 2018 by the TSGA	111
TSI entity	/ formation (TSGA)	112
Common	a services deployment	113
Setup of	the Retail reference database (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)	113
Setup of	the TAP TSI registry (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)	114
Setup of	the Data quality tool (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)	115
Annex 2	Responses contact list	117



Acronyms

Acronyms	Definition			
CEF	Connecting Europe Facility			
CER	Community of European Railway and Infrastructure Companies			
CSG	Common support group			
DI	Degree of Implementation			
EC	European Commission			
EIM	European Rail Infrastructure Managers			
ERA	European Union Agency for Railways (also referred to as Agency)			
GIS	Geographical Information system			
IM	Infrastructure Manager			
INEA	Innovation and Networks Executive Agency			
JSG	Joint Sector Group (sector cluster in charge of following TAF Implementation)			
NCP	National Contact Point			
PM ²	Official Project Management Methodology of the European Commission			
RISC	Rail Interoperability and Safety Committee			
RU	Railway Undertaking			
SM	Station Manager			
ТАР	Telematics applications for passengers			
TAF	Telematics Applications for Freight			
TSGA	TAP TSI Services Governance Association			
TSI	Technical Specification for Interoperability			
TV	Ticket vendor			
UIC	Union Internationale des Chemins de fer			
UNIFE	Association of the European Rail Industry			

Table 1: Table of abbreviations



Reference documents

Table 2: Table of reference documents

Ref. N°	Title	Reference	Version
(1)	TAP TSI ANNEX B.62 TAP MASTER PLAN	TAP Master Plan	06.12.2013
(2)	TAP TSI consolidated Master Plan		28.04.2013
(3)	NOTE TO ERA EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Assessment of TAP TSI implementation by the European Union Agency for Railways	Ares(2015)5967753	21.12.2015

Reference legislation

Table 3: Table of reference legislation

Ref. N°	Document Reference	Title	Last Issue
[1]	Directive 2008/57/EC	Interoperability of the rail system	17.06.2008
[2]	TAP TSI Regulation No 454/2014	Commission Regulation (EU) No 454/2011 of 11 May 2011 on the technical specification for interoperability relating to the telematics applications for passengers subsystem of the rail system in the European Union	11.05.2011
[3]	Regulation (EU) 2016/796	REGULATION (EU) No 2016/796 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Railways and repealing Regulation (EC) No 881/2004	11.05.2016
[4]	Directive (EU) 2016/797	Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the interoperability of the rail system within the European Union	11.05.2016
[5]	CEF Regulation	Regulation (EU) No 1316/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2013 establishing the Connecting Europe Facility, amending Regulation (EU) No 913/2010 and repealing Regulations (EC) No 680/2007 and (EC) No 67/2010	11.12.2013



1 ABSTRACT

The report shows the implementation progress of the TAP TSI implementation in the European rail sector. The actors of the European rail sector – subject to the implementation of the TAP TSI – have to implement this TSI in accordance with the Master Plan and to report about the implementation progress in the cooperation group for the TAP TSI implementation. The affected actors are the railway undertakings, the infrastructure managers and the ticket vendors. Furthermore, there is a common organisation – the TAP TSI Services Governance Association (TSGA) – responsible for the reporting of the implementation progress of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI. The TSGA has to report about the implementation progress for those functions.

This third report contains the data - as agreed in the 2nd and 3rd TAP cooperation group meetings (21st March 2017 and 17th October 2017), to report the status of the implementation of the following TAP TSI [2] regulatory functions:

-) TAP TSI architecture:
 - o Registry
 - Retail reference database
 - o Data quality tool
- Setup of the TAP TSI Services Governance Association (TSGA)

The data for this part of the report should be delivered to ERA by the TSGA.

Furthermore, this report contains the reporting about a subset of the TAP TSI basic parameters for retail functions, mainly for the reservation, ticketing, tariffs/fares and timetables. The subset of these retail functions has been agreed in the TAP TSI co-operation group on 17 October 2017.

To evaluate the current degree of implementation for every function, the data provided is compared to the baseline defined in the TAP TSI Master Plan (1) (TAP TSI Technical document B.62) created to implement the TAP TSI [2] regulation delivered by the European Rail Sector in 2012.

The monitoring of the implementation takes as baseline:

- 1. The TAP TSI Master Plan for the regulatory functions, the TAP TSI technical document B.62. The TAP-TSI Master Plan (1) was submitted to the DG MOVE on 11th May 2012. This Master Plan contains the milestones for the set-up of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI, such as the governance and the set-up of the TAP TSI architecture. The target dates were set during the drafting of this document by the European rail sector in TAP TSI phase 1. These functions have to be implemented and governed by the European Rail sector together with the ticket vendors.
- 2. The consolidated Master Plan the implementation of the individual TAP TSI functions by the railway undertakings, the ticket vendors and the infrastructure managers has been submitted by the European rail sector on 28th April 2013. A total of 40 companies, RUs, IMs and groups representing a total of over 70 licensed railways have submitted their plans in time for the consolidation exercise performed by the TAP TSI project team between January and April 2013. The target dates are based on the corresponding TAP-TSI function to be implemented and they were set when 80% or more of the respondents indicated a final implementation.

The following key findings per TAP TSI regulatory function can be highlighted:

) The TAP TSI governance body has been set-up and the TSGA is now established, staffed and operational



-) The setup of the TAP TSI architecture has been delayed by more than 4 years. This comprises as well:
 - the setup of the TAP TSI registry
 - o the setup of the TAP TSI Retail reference database
 - the setup of the TAP TSI Data quality tool

It is envisaged by TSGA to deliver these functions at least until end 2018. The report identifies the functions where the sector shall allocate more resources to meet the target implementation date quoted in the TAP TSI Master Plan (1).

The third report contains as well the implementation report of the individual railway undertakings about the implementation progress of the following TAP TSI retail functions:

Activity	TAP TSI basic parameter	Responsible
8.1 Sending request to agreed RU's in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.9.1	RU, TV
8.2 Answering reservation requests from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.9.2	RU
8.3 Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.7.2	RU, TV
8.4 Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.7.3	RU
8.5 Sending reservation requests for car carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.8.2	RU, TV
8.4 Answering reservation requests for car carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B5 format	TAP BP 4.2.8.3	RU
9.1 Issuing value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format	TAP BP 4.2.11.1	RU, TV
9.2 Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format	TAP BP 4.2.11.1	RU
9.1 Issuing home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format	TAP BP 4.2.11.2	RU, TV
9.2 Accepting home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format	TAP BP 4.2.11.2	RU
10.1 Sending PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU's, IM's and SM's in B10 format	TAP BP 4.2.6.2	RU, TV
10.2 Answering PRM assistance reservation requests via IT- communication from agreed RU's and agreed 3 rd parties in B10 format	TAP BP 4.2.3	RU
Exchange of timetable data in B4 format	TAP BP 4.2.1	RU

Table 4: TAP TSI retail functions of the 3rd reporting session



Exchange of NRT tariff/fare data in B1 format	TAP BP 4.2.2	RU
Exchange of IRT tariff/fare data in B2 format	TAP BP 4.2.2	RU
Exchange of special tariff/fare data in B3 format	TAP BP 4.2.2	RU



2 Introduction

This 3rd Status Report is delivered in accordance with Commission Regulation (EU) No 454/2011 of 11 May 2011 on the Technical Specification for Interoperability relating to the Telematics Applications for Passenger subsystem of the rail system in the European Union [2].

In particular, Article 23 of Regulation EC 2016/796 [2] attributes to the European Union Agency for Railways the task to assist the European Commission in the implementation of the Community legislation and oversee the implementation of the Regulation to determine whether the agreed objectives and deadlines have been achieved. ERA has the task to provide an assessment report to the TAP TSI steering committee referred to in Section 7.3 of the TAP TSI. Furthermore, the European Commission (EC) issued a letter on 21.12.2015 (2) describing the tasks expected to be carried out by the Agency for the Assessment of TAP TSI [2] implementation.

On this basis, the Agency launched on 31st May 2016 the Co-operation Group for the Implementation of Telematics Applications for passengers. The Co-operation Group performs the following tasks:

-) To assess the reports from the sector (companies, NCPs and RBs) about the TAP TSI [2] implementation.
-) To compare the data received with the content of the TAP TSI Master Plan [1] and assess the progress of implementation to determine whether the objectives pursued and deadlines have been achieved.
-) To use Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) previously agreed between the Agency and the Rail Sector to assess the evolution of the deployment of the system and report twice per year to the European Commission and to the TAP TSI Steering Committee.
-) To perform a dissemination campaign to NCPs and assist them to follow-up the TAP TSI [2] implementation at national level.

All these activities are performed in close cooperation with the different stakeholders, who will provide implementation reports.

2.1 Reporting structure

The reporting takes into account the different reporting procedures, depending on the nature of the information to be reported and the responsibilities for the implementation of the TAP TSI. There are 4 different reporting streams – reporting procedures for certain business areas of the regulation - in the TAP TSI reporting:

- 1. The reporting about the implementation of the **conditions of carriage** by the individual passenger railway undertakings
- 2. The reporting about the implementation of the **regulatory functions** by the TAP TSI governance body (TSGA)
- 3. The reporting about the implementation of the **retail functions** by the individual passenger railway undertakings and the ticket vendors
- 4. The implementation of the **RU/IM-functions** by the individual passenger railway undertakings

"Conditions of carriage" means the implementation of the publication of the conditions of carriage and certain accessibility conditions by the railway undertakings. This obligation is specified in the TAP TSI basic parameters 4.2.4, 4.2.5, 4.2.7, 4.2.6 and 4.2.8. The basic parameter had to be implemented 6 months after the publication of the TAP TSI, means until the 11.11.2011.

"Regulatory functions" means those functions which cover the central functions of the TAP TSI and have to be implemented by the TAP TSI governance body (TSGA). Those functions are – beside of the setup of the TAP TSI governance - the TAP TSI architecture including registry, the retail reference database and the data quality tool. The functionalities are specified in the TAP TSI technical document B.60¹ and have to be implemented by the TSGA.

"Retail functions" means those functions which cover functions such as timetable data exchange, tariff data exchange or fulfilment and have to be implemented individually by the passenger railway undertakings and the ticket vendors. These functions are described in TAP TSI chapter 4 and have to be implemented following the TAP TSI Master Plan².

"RU/IM functions" are those functions for planning and booking of train paths and information during the operation and the functions related to "information in the stations" and "information on-board". They have to be implemented by the railway undertakings, infrastructure managers according to the TAP TSI Master Plan.

The following table shows an overview about the different reporting streams for the TAP TSI.

	Conditions of Carriage	Regulatory functions	Retail basic parameters	RU/IM basic parameters
TAP TSI Basic	4.2.4.1, 4.2.5.1,	TAP TSI chapter	Remaining TAP TSI	4.2.15, 4.2.16,
parameter	4.2.7.1, 4.2.6.1, 4.2.8.1	7.3	functions	4.2.17
Implementation	TAP TSI regulation	TAP TSI	TAP TSI Master Plan	TAP TSI Master
plan specified	454/2011	Technical		Plan
in		document B.62		
Implementation	11.11.2011	31.10.2014	Milestones	Milestones
date			according TAP TSI	according TAP TSI
			Master Plan	Master Plan
Who has to	Passenger railway	TSGA	Passenger railway	Infrastructure
implement the	undertakings		undertakings, ticket	managers, railway
function(s)			vendors	undertakings
Who has to	None (data will be	TSGA	RU's via Common	RU's, IM's via Joint
report to ERA	collected automatically		support group	sector group (JSG)
	by the Agency)		(CSG), ticket	
			vendors via	
			ETTSA/ECTAA	
Publication by		E	RA	
Report	Report about the	Status report for	Status report for	
	implementation of the	functions		the TAF TSI
	conditions for carriage			functions
Report	Annual	two rep	orts per year	two reports per
frequency				year

Table 5: Reporting streams for TAP TSI

¹ <u>http://www.era.europa.eu/Document-Register/Documents/ERA_Technical_Document_TAP_B_60_FINAL.pdf</u>

² http://www.era.europa.eu/Document-Register/Documents/20130428_TAP%20Master%20Plan%20Delivery_final.pdf



2.2 Reporting procedures

As shown in the Table 5 there are four different reporting streams in place. Each stream has a different procedure for the reporting, including the involved actors, the procedure and the scope. These differences have to be respected in the reporting for the TAP TSI implementation progress.

2.2.1 Reporting for the conditions of carriage

The reporting of the implementation of the conditions of carriage is done by ERA. ERA runs once per year an automated data collection tool, collecting the websites with the conditions of carriage and the accessibility conditions from the websites of the passenger railway undertakings. ERA uses the list of passenger railway undertakings for the reporting which has been delivered by the NCP's of the member states. To fine-tune ERA tool the NCPs are welcome to provide translations for some keywords in the language(s) of their country. The report is delivered by ERA once per year to the European Commission.

2.2.2 Reporting for the regulatory functions

The reporting procedure (workflow) for regulatory functions is shown at the following picture:



Figure 1: ERA TAP TSI Implementation Cooperation Group process for regulatory functions

The process is triggered by ERA to TSGA to request with a predefined questionnaire a report about the implementation progress for the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI. The request is sent 3 months before the TAP TSI co-operation group to the TSGA. The report will be send back from TSGA to ERA and incorporated in the IT-tool and the implementation progress report for the working party. After the discussion in the TAP TSI co-operation group two additional weeks are given for further remarks. Then, the implementation progress will be incorporated in the report about the TAP TSI implementation and it is delivered by the Agency to the TAP TSI Steering Committee and the European Commission.



2.2.3 Reporting for TAP TSI retail basic parameters

The diagram below shows the process allowing ERA to perform the above listed activities for the TAP TSI retail basic parameters:



Figure 2: ERA TAP TSI Implementation Cooperation Group process for retail basic parameters.

The process is triggered by the NCP's keeping the list of passenger railway undertakings up-to date. A questionnaire is drafted by ERA and CSG, based on agreed KPI's to evaluate the evolution of TAP TSI retail basic parameters. The common support group (CSG) will deliver 3 months before the TAP TSI co-operation group meeting an e-mail contacting all the companies of the reporting list and launching the reporting. The questionnaire is provided as electronic form on a website. The companies have 1 month to report. Once the reporting is concluded, the tool is close and the CSG will elaborate an implementation report with the sector's view on the implementation. At the same time, the raw data will be delivered to the Agency for uploading the data on the Agency GIS Implementation tool and for drafting the complementary Agency status report for discussion in the TAP TSI co-operation group. The content of the Agency report is discussed and amended during the TAP TSI co-operation group meeting giving two additional weeks for further remarks. Once is concluded the allegation period, the report is delivered by the Agency to the European Commission and to the TAP TSI Steering Committee.

The ticket vendors (TV) are subject to the reporting of the implementation progress of some TAP TSI retail basic parameters as well. These basis parameters are mainly those for the usage of the data delivered by the railway undertakings. The process for ticket vendors is the similar one as for the passenger railway undertakings: The TV are invited to submit their implementation data to their stakeholder organisations ETTSA and ECTAA. They will compile a report based on the data received from their members.

TAP retail functions will be monitored first twice a year to better compile progress of implementation but after a year of monitoring this decision will be revised.



2.2.4 Reporting for TAP TSI RU/IM basic parameters

For the TAP TSI RU/IM-communication basic parameters, the process existing for TAF TSI (described in the following picture) is followed.



Figure 3: ERA TAF TSI Implementation Cooperation Group process for RU/IM basic parameters.

For the reporting of the RU/IM basic parameters the co-operation group for the implementation of the TAF TSI is in charge of the reporting for the TAP TSI as well. The NCPs will trigger the reporting exercise keeping up to date the list of companies stored in the JSG reporting tool taking part in the reporting exercise. This task is performed 1 month before the campaign starts. Then, the JSG will deliver 3 months in advance of the TAP TSI co-operation group an e-mail contacting all the companies of the reporting list and launching the reporting. The reporting is provided as electronic form on the JSG tool. The companies have 1 month to report. Once the reporting is concluded, the tool is close and the JSG will elaborate an implementation report with the sector's view over the implementation. At the same time, the raw data will be delivered to the Agency for uploading the data on the Agency GIS Implementation tool and for drafting the complementary Agency status report. Both reports should be made available for the members of the TAF TSI Implementation Cooperation Group at least 2 weeks before the meeting for discussion within the mirror groups. The content of the Agency report is discussed and amended during the meeting giving two additional weeks for further remarks. Once is concluded the allegation period, the report is delivered by the Agency to the European Commission and to the TAF TSI Steering Committee. Thereby, this reporting about the TAF TSI basic parameters is not in the scope of the current report about the TAP TSI implementation progress.

TAP TSI RU/IM functions will be monitored first twice a year to better compile progress of implementation but after a year of monitoring this decision will be revised.



2.2.5 Further steps after the reporting

After the reporting of the implementation progress for the TAP TSI implementation further steps have to be done by ERA. ERA has to inform the EC about the results of this monitoring and has to advise the EC about the possible changes needed. For the common part TAP and TAF, the report will be as well submitted to the TAP TSI Steering Committee. In a multimodal context, ERA has to guarantee that any of the actions taken do not create additional obstacles for multimodal environment.

The Agency delivers the reports also to the Member States through the Rail Interoperability and Safety Committee.



3 Context

The context of the reporting of the implementation progress of the TAP TSI is based on two legal documents: the TAP TSI Master Plan (TAP TSI technical document B.62) (1), covering the implementation timetable for the TAP TSI regulatory services and the TAP TSI consolidated Master Plan covering the implementation dates of the specific functions for the TAP TSI for each actor (e.g. RU, IM, ticket vendor)

The final version of the TAP-TSI Master Plan (1), establishing the implementation timeline for the regulatory functions of the Regulation, was submitted to the DG MOVE and ERA on 11th May 2012. This Master Plan contains the milestones for the implementation of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI ecosystem, which have to be implemented in common by the affected actors. These functions have to be provided to all actors affected by the TAP TSI.

Based on the submission of the TAP TSI Master Plan for the regulatory functions ERA has submitted on 31st October 2012 a recommendation about a revised TAP TSI to the European commission. The revised TAP TSI has been published on the official journal of the EU on 6th December 2013 as EC 1273/2013. The TAP TSI Master Plan has been annexed to the TSI as technical document B.62. Therefore the TAP TSI Master Plan is legally binding for the implementation of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI.

On the other hand, the undertakings have submitted their individual implementation plans to the TAP TSI project team until end 2012. The consolidated Master Plan document summarises the consolidation of the individual TAP TSI implementation plans established by RUs, IMs and SMs in 2012 and 2013. Overall, 40 RUs, IMs and groups – representing a total of over 70 licensed railways - have submitted their plans in time for the consolidation exercise performed by the TAP TSI project team between January and April 2013. The target dates are based on the corresponding TAP-TSI function to be implemented.

The reporting for the implementation of the TAP TSI functions by the actors is two folded: the reporting for the RU-IM communication and the reporting for the retail functions. Latter one has been assigned to the cooperation group for the implementation of the TAF TSI. Most of the RU/IM-functions are common with the TAF TSI and therefore the reporting has been centralised in the co-operation for the implementation of the TAF TSI, taking into account the milestones set-out in the TAP TSI Master Plan.

In order to collect the data and to boost the involvement of the higher possible number of companies, the European Union Agency for Railways has closely worked with the European Rail Sector to set-up the appropriate mechanism to collect the data concerning the deployment of the above mentioned functions. Indeed on the RU/IM functions, the European Rail Sector grouped through the sector cluster Joint Sector Group (JSG) and the Agency has set-up two IT tools to collect and visualize the data submitted by the European rail companies, Infrastructure Managers, Railway Undertakings and Wagon Keepers. For this purpose the companies submit their information about the progress of implementation of the RU-IM-communication basic parameters to the JSG IT tool through a Web service available for all the companies registered. For TAP TSI this reporting process is assigned to the TAF TSI co-operation group.

For the TAP TSI retail basic parameters a similar process will be applied. The data will be collected by the Common support group (CSG) and the Agency will use the same tool for the reporting of the TAP TSI retail basic parameters.

For the reporting the **number of registered companies on 2nd January 2018 was one hundred and eighty six (186)**. Once the data is collected, the raw data is delivered to the Agency, who incorporates this information in the ERA IT tool for TAP TSI [2] monitoring. This IT tool comprises a database to store the data and a GIS tool to visualize on maps the progress of the implementation. There are three groups of maps:



- Maps to report about common functions. These maps show the degree of implementation of the Reference Files (Company Codes and retail Location Codes) at European level.
-) Maps to report about Railway Undertaking's and ticket vendor functions. These maps show the degree of implementation at Member state level of the functions to exchange retail data amongst Railway Undertakings and ticket vendors. These maps will be created, once the first report with the implementation progress for the basic parameters of the individual railway undertaking will be created.

The scope of the present report is to inform about the deployment of the functions scheduled to be implemented by 2nd half 2017 in the Master Plan (1) delivered by the sector for the implementation of the TAP TSI [2] system. This report provides information about the implementation of the following functions:

- TAP TSI architecture:
 - o Registry
 - o Retail reference database
 - o Data quality tool
-) Governance

To have a common approach for all companies' contributors submitting implementation information, **an optional common criterion has been agreed with the representatives of the rail sector to assess the degree of implementation of TAP TSI functions**. This criterion is based on the standard division in project phases of IT projects defined in the methodology for project management in use at the European Commission (PM²). Assuming that project phases are divisions within a project where extra control is needed to effectively manage the completion of a major deliverable, then it may be ideally assimilated each of **the 22 TAP TSI retail functions** identified in the TAP TSI Master Plan (1) to an individual IT reference implementation project.

Within every individual IT reference implementation project, we use percentages of completion as early indicators to track the progress made each period of one year (n-3, n-2, and n-1, n) over a 4-year time span. This will allow raising warnings to prevent delays in the implementation of a particular function.

Therefore, taking into account the above mentioned assumptions, every function implementation may be considered as an individual project to be split in the following reference phases:

- Initiating Phase: This phase may comprise those processes performed to define a new project or a new phase of an existing project by obtaining authorization to start the project or phase. This phase includes typically the following activities:
 - o Feasibility Study
 - o Business Case
 - o Gathering of Technical and Functional Requirements

These activities may correspond in an "optional" reference implementation to a Degree of Implementation (DI) between 0% and 25% for a particular function. If the DI is achieved at the beginning of the timeframe for the deployment of such a function, deadline minus ideally three years (deadline-3), the implementation of this function can be deemed on time.

- Planning Phase: this phase includes typically those activities required to establish the scope of the project, refine the objectives, and define the course of action required to attain the objectives that the project was undertaken to achieve:
 - o Resource Planning



- Project Work Planning (Working Break Down Structure)
- o Migration Planning
- o Outsourcing Plan
- o Risk Management Planning

These activities may correspond in an "optional" reference implementation to a Degree of Implementation (DI) between 25% and 50% for a particular function. If the DI is achieved within the deadline minus ideally two years (deadline-2) period, the implementation of this function could be deemed to be on time.

- **Executing Phase**: this phase may comprise those processes performed to complete the work defined in the project management plan to satisfy the project specifications. This phase includes activities such as:
 - o Procurement
 - o Executing
 - Testing (User Acceptance and system Integration)
 - Training and Education

These activities may correspond in an "optional" reference implementation to a Degree of Implementation (DI) between 50% and 75% for a particular function. If the DI is achieved within the deadline minus ideally one year (deadline-1) period, the implementation of this function could be deemed to be on time.

In Production & Monitor & Control: this phase may comprise those processes performed to finalise all activities across all phases to formally close the project. Therefore, it may include the delivery of the product/service, in the context of the TAP TSI [2] deployment, the delivery of the IT system implementing a particular TAP TSI [2] function moving to production environment. These activities correspond in an "optional" reference implementation to a Degree of Implementation (DI) between 75% and 100% for a particular function. If the DI is achieved within the deadline minus ideally one year (deadline-1) period, the implementation of this function could be deemed to be on time.

The above explained phases are summarised in the following diagram explaining the expected commitment of resources made for every phase of the project.





Figure 4: PM² project lifecycle.

Nevertheless, the different activities to be developed in the framework of a project to implement a particular TAP TSI [2] function should be adapted to the particular situation in every company. Therefore, every project may be assimilated, in a voluntary basis, to the addition of the four phases aforementioned (Initiating, Planning, Executing and Closing) establishing an optional comparable reference implementation to assess the progress of the implementation per company.

In conclusion, in the context of the Co-operation Group for TAP TSI Implementation there are two ways to report about the implementation of a particular TAP TSI function compared to the TAP TSI Master Plan (1):

-) on one hand, companies may declare the final delivery of a particular TAP TSI function within the deadline set out in the TAP TSI Master Plan (1); in this case the implementation of this function will be deemed to be on time, and thus DI = 100% -> Green colour on the map;
-) on the other hand, companies may declare the Degree of Implementation (DI) for every function taking into account the optional methodology aforementioned based on different phases for the project. In this case, the declared Degree of Implementation will be colour-coded and displayed as follows:
 - Project not launched: 0% or no data -> Blue colour on the map.
 - Initiating Phase accomplished: DI < 25% -> Red colour on the map.
 - Planning Phase accomplished: 25% =< DI < 50% -> Orange colour on the map.
 - Executing Phase accomplished: 50% =< DI < 75% -> Light Green colour on the map.
 - In Production & Monitor & Control accomplished: 75% =< DI =< 100% -> Green colour on the map.



4 Analysis

4.1 Implementation of the regulatory functions

The TAP TSI technical document B.62 (Master Plan (1)) shows that the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI have to be implemented by the end of 2014 (Milestone "Common services delivered").

	2012/5	2012/4	2015/1	2013/2	2013/3	2013/4	2014/1	2014/2	2014/3	2014/4	2015/1	3015/2	2015/3	2015/4	201A/1
Phase Two - transition						1									
Phase Two - development		ľ i		l i						. 1					
Phase Three - operation						1									
Funding bodies budget requests			1	6 8	1	î.	8	8 8	1					- 0	
Decision-making by TAP and TAF SteCos															
Republished TAP TSI and TAF TSI Regulations			,	r											
Shadow decision-making by Supervisory Board	l)														
Formal decision-making by Supervisory Board			,			N	ļ	U							
Preparation for TSI entity formation				,		0		1				1			
TSI entity formation		1				U.				1					
TSI entity formed					,	ł.									
TSI entity operational									-						
ITT preparation for common services	8			,		8	16 - 1 1	9 8		1		8	9. E	3	
Common services procurement															
Common services development															
Common services delivered									,	r .					
Common services operational															
RU and IM individual planning			1 1	i i	[]]	ÎÎ.		1	í i						
Consolidation of RU and IM plans															
RU and IM individual budget cycle			P	E 8					* *						
RU and IM individual implementation projects						1									
All parties fully operational														,	r .

Figure 5: Master Plan for the regulatory functions.

The TAP TSI technical document B.62 is the reference document for the milestones to be respected for the implementation of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI. The milestones in this document serve as reference for the implementation of these functionalities.

To collect the current status of the implementation of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI, ERA has submitted to the TSGA on 13/02/2018 a questionnaire by email to get the information about the current implementation status of these functions. Deadline for the report was set on 01/03/2018. On 26/03/2018 the TSGA sent back to ERA the questionnaire with the current status of the implementation of the regulatory functions. The current status of the implementation is shown in the Figure 6: Implementation progress of the TAP TSI regulatory functions. This project plan has been provided by the TSGA with the responses of the questionnaire, within the frame of 2nd reporting session.



TSGA 2017 2018 63 04 05 CG 07 00 CS 02 03 05 07 00 09 12 Set-up Entity Statutes Internal Rules Provision Ag. GM Service Agreements TSGA Website Membership Meandaries norrelinges ۵ Members registration Set-up RRD Development **Selection** Testing + Roll-out Set-up Registry Development Belection Testing + Roll.out Set-up DOM Development Selection Testing

TSGA - Project Plan (last update: 07/2017)

Figure 6: Implementation progress of the TAP TSI regulatory functions.

The analysis shows, that the implementation of all regulatory functions (governance, architecture, common services) of the TAP TSI is significantly delayed. The following table shows the delays of the above mentioned services in detail:

Milestone	Planned date	Actual (planned) date	Delay
Republished TAF and TAP TSI regulation	31/03/2013	11/12/2013	9 months
TSI entity formed	30/09/2013	31/12/2016	3 years, 3 months
Common services delivered	30/09/2014	01/12/2018	4 years, 2 months

Table 6: Table of current delay for the TAP TSI regulatory functions.

The publication of the legislation has been delayed by 9 months. The reason for that delay was that the approval process of the revised legislation took longer than expected during the TAP TSI phase one.



The table shows furthermore that there has been a delay of 3 year and 3 months for the setup of the TSI entity. The statutes of the TSGA have been signed on 01/12/2016, so the TSGA is formed. Furthermore the report provided by TSGA team shows, that the implementation of the governance has been finalised and the TSGA is established, staffed and operational.

The progress of the implementation of the functions of the TAP TSI architecture (retail reference database, TAP TSI registry, data quality tool) has been provided on a high level basis with the additional risk. None of the functions has been implemented so far. The Table 7: Milestones for TAP TSI regulatory functions shows the current implementation status of the regulatory functions for the TAP TSI.

Milestone	Planned date	Actual (planned) date	Delay	Degree of fulfilment
Setup of the TSGA	30/09/2013	31/12/2016	3 years, 3 months	100%
Setup of the Retail reference database	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	4 years, 2 months	50 %
Setup of the TAP TSI registry	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	4 years, 2 months	50 %
Setup of the Data quality tool	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	4 years, 2 months	25 %

Tabla 7: Milastonas	for TAD TSI	rogulatory	functions	lac o	f 76/02/2010)
Table 7: Willestones	jor lap i Si	regulatory	junctions	as o	20/03/2018

Implementation progress:

- The TSGA has been set-up and it is operational
- The implementation progress for the setup of the retail reference database has been declared with a grade of implementation of 50 %. This means that the TSGA is already at the stage of the planning and the project development of the retail reference database. However, the TSGA addressed several issues for the setup of the database:
 - o specific expertise
 - o delivery time after assignment
- The implementation progress for the setup of the TAP TSI registry has been declared with a grade of implementation of 50 %. This means that the TSGA is already at the stage of the planning and the project development of the TAP TSI registry. However the TSGA addressed several issues for the setup of the TAP TSI registry:
 - o specific expertise
 - o delivery time after assignment
- The implementation progress for the setup of the data quality tool has been declared with a grade of implementation of 50 %. This means that the TSGA is already at the stage of the planning and the project development of the data quality tool. However the TSGA addressed several issues for the setup of the database:
 - o specific expertise
 - o delivery time after assignment



4.2 Implementation of the functions according to the original consolidated TAP TSI Master Plan

The milestones for the TAP TSI consolidated Master Plan for the implementation of the individual functions



Figure 7: TAP TSI Master Plan for the retail functions

4.2.1 Process for the questionnaire

For the collection of the progress report for the implementation of the TAP TSI retail functions ERA has drafted a questionnaire, based on the decisions in the TAP TSI co-operation group on 17 October 2017. The calendar for the data collection and analysis has been agreed in this meeting and it was done as follows:



Table 8: Reporting schedule for TAP TSI basic parameters (3rd reporting)

#	Step	Date
1	ERA/CSG/ETTSA triggers 2 nd reporting session	26.12.2017.
2	Opening CSG tool *) or ETTSA tool for reporting	02.01.201826.01.2018.
3	Analysing data for report	27.01.201827.02.2018.
4	Preparing CSG or ETTSA/ECTAA report	16.02.201827.02.2018.
5	Harmonising analysis	t.b.c.
6	Approving report	28.02.2018.
7	Presenting TAP TSI implementation report at ERA co-operation group	13.03.2018.
8	Publishing implementation report	t.b.c.

In the meeting of the TAP TSI co-operation group on 17th October 2017 it has been agreed to report about the following TAP TSI retail basic parameters as described in Table 4: TAP TSI retail functions of the 3rd reporting session. This comprises:

- Sending request to agreed RU's in B5 format
- Answering reservation requests from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format
- Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format
- Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format
- Sending reservation requests for car carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format
- Answering reservation requests for car carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format
- Issuing value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format
- Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format
- Issuing home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format
- Accepting home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format
- Sending PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU's, IM's and SM's in B10 format
- Answering PRM assistance reservation requests via IT-communication from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B10 format
- Exchange of timetable data in B4 format
- Exchange of NRT tariff/fare data in B1 format
- Exchange of IRT tariff/fare data in B2 format
- Exchange of special tariff/fare data in B3 format (this BP has not been agreed in the TAP TSI cooperation group, but collected voluntarily by CSG)



4.2.2 Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI retail basic parameters to be implemented by railway undertakings

The following chapter shows the results of the analysis of the data reported by the railway undertakings concerning the implementation of the TAP TSI retail basic parameters.

This 3rd reporting introduced the weighting factor based on *passengerkm* to secure better view of the status of the TAP implementation across Europe. The weighting factor has been calculated through the 2015 public service obligation market share data per company in each country and the *passengerkm* per country (source of data: European Commission – Statistical Pocketbook 2017).

For the report, 217 personal invitations in total were send by the Common Support Group. 186 companies received invitation. Out of previous numbers, for 28 other registered (known) companies invitations were not sent because no contact data has been provided for those companies. The following diagram shows the answer rate of the questionnaire.



Figure 8: Number of invitations sent and responses

The following diagram shows the distribution of answers concerning the request in the member states of EU. The railway undertakings from 18 countries (17 member states plus Switzerland) have submitted their responses to the implementation progress of the TAP TSI retail basic parameters.





Figure 9: Number of answering railway undertakings per country

The following diagram shows the distribution of the invitations and the answers received per country (EU member states + Switzerland).



Figure 10: Countries without response with numbers of invited companies (including RUs with no contact data provided)





Figure 11: Invitations and responses per country (including RUs with no contact data provided)

Although 3rd reporting session resulted with 8 responses more than 2nd report responses, there is just slight increase in overall answer rate (34,88% from 2nd report vs 36,56% from 3rd report). The overall number of responses and overall answer rate should be improved by focusing on the member states which did not provide any feedback on invitation or did not provide any RU contact data.



4.2.2.1 Sending reservation requests from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format



Figure 12: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

50 companies confirmed, that they are subject to implement this basic parameter. Companies not subject to the implementation of this basic parameter stated, that they either have no seat reservation system at all (e.g. for local traffic operation only) or they are using direct links to the systems of those other railway undertakings for seat reservation.

The implementation of the sending seat reservation request by other standards is mainly driven by UK, where 29 RU's are using other standards than TAP TSI.

Company name:	Country	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Tracporto Peosoggen Emilia Romagna	1	the service rank buokable
"Koleje Mazowietkie - KM" sp. z o o	Ы	Koleje Mazuwindan pines nat provide snat resensition in Koleje Mazuwieckie trans
Hector Rail AD	SE	We are only providing traction (locos)
Koʻaje Šląskie Spolka z o.o. FERROVIE DEL CARCANO	PL IT	No reservation due to the nature of transport. The company does not provide service with seat reservation CFL uses the BeNe distribution system (SNCE/NS/CFL/DSB) for international retail. CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and holection retail system.
()+I	111	Incretere, CEPs implementation level will always be aligned to the BrNe, IZP TSI on remertation level
Fridzika Kolej Aciomeracyjna Sp. z o o	PI	bódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Gp. z élo "dochri tihave a ticket booking system.
Italo - Nuovo Trasporto Viaggiator S.p.A. Fertagus, S.A.	IT PT	Italo Stp.A. does not sell tickets in connection with other RUs. we are a suburban railway company, without reservations of seats/berths, and with a contacless ticket system
Ki ge Duhusi jaku S A	ы	We offer only regional and cross-border rail links (-non reservation to kets). We self the "FKP Intercaly" Company tokets to add an agency agreement.
Trasporte Formiario Tescano Sp/	IT.	Tisketing is subsortingted to other company
PKP Szybka Kolej Miejska w Trójmieście Sp. z o. o Leo Express SAD - Traeporto Locale SpA	PL CZ IT	PKP SKM does not book seats on its trains. we do not have information on how this will work not within our competence
Lokallog	DK.	Is not ollering seat reservation
Niedyske Jerobene	UK	Net allering seal reservation
Midtive ke	DK	ts not affering seat reservation
JSC 1 thuar an Railways"	IT	I thush an its ways is using third party ticketing and seat reservation system.

Figure 13: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 14: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

The implementation status of the function "Sending reservation requests" is low, taking into account number of companies. Taking into account the amount of 29 UK based companies implementing the seat reservation by their own domestic standard, only 11 companies have implemented the function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 78% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 60% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

Most of the other companies are not offering seat reservations for their trains (e.g. regional trains) and have therefore not implemented a reservation system including the reservation request in their distribution systems. Some member states have agreed to use national industry specifications for requesting and responding to reservation requests, e.g. UK, not using TAP TSI standards.



Risks reported:



Figure 15: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format – risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 16: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format - Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks seen by the implementers was the stability of the TAP TSI baseline documents and the dependency on other retail systems. The risk "Other" was reported as "Procurement issue".



Issues reported:



Figure 17: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format – issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 18: Sending seat reservation requests in B5 format - Share in total number of reported issues per country



The main issues seen by the implementers was the stability of the TAP TSI baseline documents and the internal redesign of the distribution systems.





Figure 19: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N),

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

49 companies reported that they are subject to implementation of this function. 16 out of them have implemented the function using TAP TSI standards. The implementation of the answering reservation request by other standards is mainly driven by UK, where 29 RU's are using other standards than TAP TSI.

Company name:	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Trasporto Passegger Emilia Romagna	Π	the service isn't bookable
"Koleje Mazowieckie - KM" sp. z o.o.	P_	Koleje Mazowieckie does not provide seat reservation in Koleje Mazowieckie trains.
Hector Rall AB	SE	We are only providing traction (loops)
Koleje Ślaskie Spółka z o o	P.	No reservation due to the nature of transport.
FERROVIE DEL CARGANO	π	The company does not provide service with seat reservation
	368	CFL uses the DaNa distribution system (SNCO/NS/CFL/DGD) for international retail
		CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and Ticketing retail system.
CL	10	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the DeNe TAP TSI implementation level.
Lódzka Kolej Adlomeracyjna Sp. z o o	P	védzka Kolaj Adlomatacyjna Sp. z o p. doesn't hava a ticket booking system
Italo - Nuovo Traspono Viacolator Gio A	π	taip 5 p.A. does not sall tickets in connection with other RUs.
and the mapping maganest coping		we are a suburban railway company, without reservations of seats/barrhs
Ferana SA	PT	and with a contacless ticket system
	1000	We offer only regional and cross-border rail links (-non reservation tickets)
Kolaje Dolnošlaskje S A	P.	We sail the "PKP intercity" Company tickets based on adency agreement
Trasporto Ferroviario Toscano SoA	π	Ticketing is subcontracted to other company.
GYSEV 2rt.	11.	No technical background.
PKP Szybka Kolej Viejska w Trojmieście Sp. z o. o.	P_	PKP SKM does not book seals on its trans.
Leo Express	67	we do not have information on how this will work
SAD - Trasporto Locale SpA	Π	act within our competence
Lokaltog	DK	s not offering seat reservation
Nordiyake Jembaner	D-K	Not effering sout reservation
Midtjycke	DK	is not efforing shat resonation
IGC J. thuanian Sailways'	17	ithurnian relevants is using third party ticketing and sort respection system

Figure 20: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 21: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Risks reported:



Figure 22: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format – risks [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 23: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format - Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering seat reservation request" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. Further risks, such as lack of financial resources, are minor ones.

Issues reported:



Figure 24: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format – issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 25: Answering seat reservation requests in B5 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issue of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering seat reservation request" is the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.

The implementation status of the function "Answering reservation requests" for those companies is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

Most of the other companies are not offering seat reservations in their trains and do not implement therefore the function to answer to reservation messages. Furthermore some member states, e.g. UK, have agreed to use national industry specifications for requesting and responding to reservation requests.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 78% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 60% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



4.2.2.3 Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage to agreed RU's in B5 format



Figure 26: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

50 companies confirmed, that they are subject to implement this basic parameter. Companies not subject to the implementation of this basic parameter stated, that they either have no seat reservation system at all (e.g. for local traffic operation only) or they are using direct links to the systems of those other railway undertakings for seat reservation.


Company name:	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
rasporto l'asseggen Emila (omagna	1	The service (shit bookable
"Kolaje Mazowieckie - KM" sp. z p.o. Hector Rall AD Krale Glaskie Spółka z p.p.	ମ SE ମ	Koleje Mazowieckie does not provide reservation for bicycle carriage. In Koleje Mazowieckie trains there are at least 2 carriage with special place for bicycle. We are only providing traction (boos) No reservation due to the nature of transport
CFI		CTL uses the TeNe distribution system (SNCENS/CEL/DGC) for international setal CTL doesn't have a proper Secondation and Ticksting retail system Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BeNe TAP TSI implementation level
Fúdzka Kolej Aglunneracyjna Sp. z o o	21	Ladzka Kniej Aglemenacyjna Sp. 2 u o - dorsa I have a linket booking system
VR Group	÷1	In carrently used standards in Finland Russia ball of there is no conding requests for broycle carriago.
Italo - Nuovo Trasporto Viaggiatori S.p.A.	題	Balo Sip A, does not provide or accept reservations for biological transport.
Fertagua, S.A.	PT	we are a suburban railway company, without reservations , and with a contacless ticket system. We offer only regional and cross-border rail links (-non reservation tickets).
Koleje Dolnośląskie G.A.	PL	We sell the "PKP Intercity" Company tickets based on agency agreement.
Trasporto Ferroviario Toscano GpA	i.	Ticketing is subcontracted to other company At the moment, the sale of bookings of other RU-P's takes place only at ticket offices
PKP Szybka Kelej Miejska w Trójmieście Sp. z o. e.	9	based on performance used by these RU P's
Leo Express	GZ	we do not have aforenation on how this will work
SAD Interpretationale SpA	Real R	real waltion our competence
Lokallog	UK .	Is not offering breyete reservation
Nordjyske Jeinbaner	UK .	Not offering reservation for broycles
Midlyske	JK	Is not ottering bicycle reservation For domestic routes passengers might reserve bicycle places on ticketing web site.
JSC "Lithuanian Rai waya	-1	For international routes Lithuanian railways opinotise (reserve bioyole places according to SCIC-EWT rules

Figure 27: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation



Figure 28: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

The implementation of the answering reservation request for bicycle carriage by other standards is mainly driven by UK, where 29 RU's responded that they are using other standards than TAP TSI.



Risks reported:



Figure 29: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 30: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country



Issues reported:



Figure 31: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 32: Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country



The implementation status of the function "Sending reservation requests for bicycle carriage" is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 78% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 60% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

Most of the other companies are not offering bike reservations for their trains (e.g. regional trains) and have therefore not implemented a reservation system including the reservation request in their distribution systems. Some member states, e.g. UK, have agreed to use national industry specifications for requesting and responding to reservation requests.

4.2.2.4 Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format



Figure 33: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

49 companies confirmed, that they are subject to implement this basic parameter. Companies not subject to the implementation of this basic parameter stated, that they either have no seat reservation system at all (e.g. for local traffic operation only) or they are using direct links to the systems of those other railway undertakings for seat reservation. The implementation of the answering reservation request for bicycle carriage by other standards is mainly driven by UK, where 29 RU's are using other standards than TAP TSI.

Companies which declared not to be subject to the implementation provided the following reasons:



Company name:	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Trascotto Pesseggeri Emilia Romagoa	π	The service isn't pookable
"Koleje Mazowieckie KNI" sp. 2 c.o.	P_	Kole e Mazowiacke does not ill communication for sending of an availability/reservation ans wer for the carriage of picycles.
Herthr Rail 40	GF .	We are only providing fraction (hoos)
Kuleje Sląskie Spółka z 0.0	P_	We are not subject to
-		CE_uses the Dette distribution system (SNCB/NG/CE_/DGB) for international reteil
-0:	100	CFL coesht have a proper Recervation and Ticketing ratal system
CEL	411	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BeNe TAP TSLimplementation level
Łódzka Kolej Aglorne acyjna Sp. 2 o u	P_	Lúdzka Kolej Agiomerský ji a Sp. 2000. dbesní i tave a ticket booking system
VR Group	H.	In currently used standards in Finland-Russia traffic there is no answering reservation regulats for provide participation.
Lalo - Noovo Trasporto Viaggatori S.,	Π	tab S p.A. does not provide or accept reservations for bicycle transport.
Fortogua S.A I'l		we are a suburban relivery company, without reservations or bioyoles, and with a contacless licket system
	i ii	We offer only regional and cross-border rail links (-non reservation (ickets),
Kolojo Dolnošigalao S.A.	62	We soll the "I'KI" interpry" Company tickets based on agency agreement.
Traspono Ferroviario Toscano SuA	Π	Ticketing is subcontracted to other company
GYSEV ZH.	HU	There are not any relevant traina.
PKP Szybsz Kolej Viejsta w Trójmiesz	P	PICE SKM has its own solution and does not plan to change it in the pear finduce
Leo Express	CZ	we do not have information on how this will work
SAD - Trasporto Locals SpA	Π	and within our competence
Lokallog	DK	is not offering bloycle reservation
Nordpyske Jornbaner	DK.	Not offering readividing for bicycles
Midliyske (copy of Lokalloy)	DK	binut offering bicycle reservation
Construction of the second state of the	AVDRUN	For domestic routes passengers right reserve bicycle places on ticketing web site
SC _thuanian Railwave*	LT	For international routes Lithuanian railways do not sal/resarva biovola pisces according to SOIC EWT rules.

Figure 34: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation



Figure 35: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format – level of fulfilment, [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:



Figure 36: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 37: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country



The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering reservation request for bicycle carriage" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. Further risks, such as lack of financial resources, are minor ones.

Issues reported:



Figure 38: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 39: Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country



The main issue of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering reservation request for bicycle" is the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.

The implementation status of the function "Answering reservation requests for bicycle carriage" is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation of this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 77% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 60% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

Most of the other companies are not offering seat reservations in their trains and do not implement therefore the function to answer to reservation messages. Furthermore some member states have agreed to use national industry specifications for requesting and responding to reservation requests.



4.2.2.5 Sending reservation requests for car carriage to agreed RU`s in B5 format

Figure 40: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Testing Dessegation Dessegation in the Construction of the availability inserver for the carding of an availability inserver to the	Company name:	Coun	try Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function
Keilage Utanuyariske men en (**) connection and the out that. Natige Utanuyariske men (**) connection and the out that. Textor RAIA CC We de in Statusci tere. Verde Bigske Stylka z out. Netter Statusci tere. Netter Statusci	Trasporto Passeggeri Emilia Romagna	IT	The TPCR service's can't transport cars
 Kabag Masowacka - Mit ay 10.0. Kabag Masowacka - Mit ay 10.0. We are solved a providing tracking (boos) We are solved and the solved a		T.	Koleje Matowenkie does not IT communication for sending of an availability/reservation answer for the cartage of carts.
Inscire Pail AD CE We for conjugation for the providing fraction (boos) Medic Blagk Solvin a con. PL We do not cargo prior to the coll passon provide of the prior to the prior to the coll passon provide. Medic Blagk Solvin a con. PL We do not cargo prior to the coll passon provide of the prior to the coll passon provide. None Trains Solvin Associations to prior to the coll passon provide of the the coll passon provide provide passon provide the coll passon provide	Koleje Matowackie - KM sp. 10.0.	P4	Koleje Mazowecke does not provide carryingo of cars in our trains.
Konje Bajeki Sjufka e ov PL We for na Limitator Lines. Artivs Trais Wolcs III for company data for any off or tall passengers in State Effets. No telects for any set samage as based by GB TCCs. Ministration NL Ministration of a state and state and a state and a state and a state and state and	Hector Rail AB	SE	We are only providing traction (boos)
 bi-hirkUnit II-II (Saketääll) III III III III III III III III III II	Kuleje Blaskie Spolka z o.u.	PL	We do not hansport cars.
Arves Trains Values UK There is no assurage of loss for one paceptors is Gets Entities. No tolets for any ear parage as issued by GE TCCs. NC memotional NL Not area the Require (SUCAINS/F) (FSSS) for invancious instal (CE) deart in table a proper Header state (SUCAINS/F) (FSSS) for invancious instal (CE) deart in table a proper Header state (SUCAINS/F) (FSSS) for invancious instal (CE) deart in table a proper Header state (SUCAINS/F) (FSSS) for invancious instal (CE) deart in table a formation in the state initial barred initial barred in the state initial barred initial barred in the state initial barred initial barred initial barred initial barred initial barred in the state initial barred ini	EFFERIOVE DEL GARGANU	11	The company does not carry out car transport service
No. International NL Not careford of yND (C.F., Income the Revie Result estimation or yourser (StiCPUNS)"/FI/TSP) for thread-should used (FI devel* have a proper Measonator and Income Review Result State Automatics and (FI) devel* have a proper Vision State Automatics and the State Automatic and the State Automatic and the State Automatic and Automatics and the State A	Arriva Trains Wales	UK	There is no painage of pars for rail passengers in Great Eritain. No tickets for any painage are issued by GB TOCs
CFL (see the Server (SUCPANSION OF SERVICE) (SR) for threadshowed (SR) And Server (SR) CFL (SR) for threadshowed (SR) (SR) (SR) (SR) (SR) (SR) (SR) (SR)	NS international	NL	Not carred out by NG
Hearwards and locating statil system. Hearwards and locating statil system. CPL Ut Therefore. CPL's influences in which where it have a be aligned to the DeNe TAP TDI implementation level Column CPL Numerical System in the state inter and states and groupses to nan compage. Personal S n A IT representation is not interest in the state inter and states in the state inter and states and states inter and states and states inter and states inter and states and			CFL uses the BeNe distribution system (SNCR/NS/CFL/CSE) for International letal, CFL doesn't have a monent
CTL LU Therefore, CTL is implementation (seel will always be signed to the CANE TAP TDI implementation (seel CANE Aphrene account) as you that the contractes and programs to an arrange that is non-order to again the contractes and the contra		1.00	Heservation and Licketing retail system.
Links Kine (Aplaneacyjne Sp. cu.u.) PL Links Kine (Aplaneacyjne Sp. cu.u.) Links Kine (Aplaneacyjne Sp. cu.u.) FI Is an encoding instruction of the control of the c	CTI	111	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the Fally TAP TAP TAP inclementation level
Viel respin Fill Is normating used standards in indications in marking engines to name and particles associated in the name of the standard and the standards in indications of cars, and with a contactess ticket system Pertague 3A. PT It is is a book provide or associations of cars, and with a contactess ticket system Pertague 3A. PT Viel are a subulation allows company, without reservation of cars, and with a contactess ticket system Pertague 3A. PL Wa other carry regional and cross-border or time (-non-reservation bokets). Perspond Forosoft To care 0. PL Wa other carry regional and cross-border or time (-non-reservation bokets). Perspond Forosoft To care 0. PL Not informating and WAY SXL Manes a ran Vel Sinyota Energy 1. PL Not informating and WAY SXL Manes a ran Los Express CZ we haven't compasse for care - we den't transport oers ADD - Trasports Locale DA PV Not offering this product Notify take verbane: DK In our offering this product Notify tat	Leidaka Kotai Arikanas artoina Sorta na	PL	tritike Kele Advances vice Sole you describe we tidet had in system
 Intel Nuovo Traporte Vloggiatori S.p.A. IT volto S.p.A. Social to privice or social recording of cars, and with a contacted structure of the social structur	Vel Grann	(FI	In cirrently upon standards in united busics traffic flate is no certain revises to real canana
Tetason 3.A. TPT relation 5 million company, without reservations of cars, and with a contacteue toket system Tetason 5 PA TF Section provide Totage Lobestgace 3/A. PL We other compression provide Totage Lobestgace 3/A. PL We other compression VEX.VL HU Notified is a subsentiated in other company VEX.VL HU Notified is a subsentiated in other company VEX.VL HU Notified is a subsentiated in other company VEX.VL HU Notified is a subsentiated in the VEX.VLX Names a cart Lob Expression CZ we haven't company of with VEX.VLX Names a cart Lob Expression DX If an orthering mile service (sala-smage) Lobating DX If an orthering mile service (sala-smage) Lobating DX If an orthering mile social to the initial passengers in Geat Finitam. No tokets for any on sampa ase assend by CB TCCC Collegins Bloper DX There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geat Finitam. No tokets for any on sampa ase assend by CB TCCC Collegins Bloper UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geat Finitam. No tokets for any on a samga ase assend by CB TCCC	Itale Nueve Tracente Vianaistori S e A	IT	Inde S in A door not enjuide as papar enservations for our company
Lemma Int Sandba measurement Xolga Lohnshipke S A. PL We after only regent and cross-broken tools by the tools b	Facture 2.4	DT.	the operation of the protect of above recent states to the term of the state has to be even the state of the states
Variage Lobingstroe 3 A. PL Was instruction in provide the subsection of the Line in reservation bekets; Transport Performing Terrorism Toscene 0pA TT Toketing is subsectivated to other company (%) SV 21. POR V21. PU Mole interval. Toketing is subsectivated to other company (%) SV 21. POR V21. PU Mole interval. PU POR V21. PU Non Interval in	Tionitalia S. e. A	IT	For the president strategy of party, which reservations of cars, and with a consideration over system
Transmission Provision Pro	Value Laborheire 2.4	140	When the supplication of some barder and later 1 and stream these between
Hagen a formal a formal of second set of the	Transida Farminia Tassas Cal	IT	we offer only regional and considered an anter (enormalized others).
Order Vall Prof. By Net Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. N. Prof. By Net Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. N. Les Bignerses CZ Vie Navet Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. N. Prof. Synck Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. N. Les Bignerses CZ Vie Navet Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. N. Prof. Synck Aviag Maysta All hydroxes & Spr. 7 n. Spr. Trasport Locale OpA TF Int within our compresence Prof. Nordly See verbase: DK Nord offering this product Nordly See verbase: DK Nord offering this product COL There is no avirage of avirs for rall passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any ear oarrage are reained by GB TOCS. Coloris Bisper UK There is no carrage of avirs for rall passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any ear oarrage are reained by GB TOCS. Consciourly UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any carcarage are reained by GB TOCS. Graut Central UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any carcarage are reained by GB TOCS. Graut Central UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any carcarage are reained by GB TOCS. Graut Central UK		1.000	The state of the state of the company
Proce Address a fingure scale op 1 The finite Properting all the Address and the	OTBEV ZIL	nu	Type (elevant)
Les appress Les appress ABL - Trasport Locale OpA III - introvintion commextence TRER DN Not offering this product Notigistes versions: Notigistes versions: DK Not offering this product Notigistes versions: DK Not offering this product Notigistes versions: DK Not offering this product Notigistes versions: DK Not offering this product UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Gast Hintain 10 trikkets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Caledonia Bleger UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Gast Hintain 10 trikkets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Caledonia Sleper UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Cast Eritain. No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Cate Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Cast Eritain. No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Cate Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Cast Eritain. No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Cast Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Cast Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Cast Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Creat Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Creat Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Creat Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Creat Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Cast Hintain No tokets for any car carrage as equied by GH TCC Creat Moction Rollway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passe	PAP azyoka kolej ulejska a trojmesce ap 7 n. n.	07	No RUE COPPENING AND EAC ANA CAMES A CAR
DAL - Frageore Looke UpA III net winks our comparating DRFF DK Not offering the solution (sal-charmage) Lotatog UK Is not othering the grouted Notifyster DK Not offering the junctuot Multipster DK Is not offering the junctuot Calcionis Biosper UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers is Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Calcionis Silosper UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers is Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Calcionis Silosper UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers in Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Calcionis Carca UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers in Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Calciol Central UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers in Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Great Mortmen UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers in Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Great Mortmen UK There is no earcage of cass for rail passengers in Geat Entime. No tobels for any cas carcage are based by GB TOCS Great Mortmen UK There is no earcage of cass for	Lee Express	04	ve naven t comages for cars - we con 1 stansport cars
Tank The Noti Interring the product Nordyske vembane: DK Not offering this product Notigyake vembane: DK Not offering this product Notigyake vembane: DK Not offering this product Notigyake vembane: DK Is not offering this product Notigyake vembane: DK Is not offering this product Coloring Stepper UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Coloring V UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Carse-Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Caract Country UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Great Westerne UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Great Westerne UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entitier. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCS Great Westerne UK There is no carrage of ca	SALI - Trasporto Escale SpA	11	not within our competence
Lotating Dix The not offering this product Notifystex Dix The not offering this product Multipstex Dix There is no carrage of sate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any sar asings as estimating and sate by GE TOCs Colidering Bleeper UK There is no carrage of sate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any sar asings as estimating and sate by GE TOCs Conscionary UK There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Conscionary UK There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Carstide Strant UK There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Carstide Cantual UK There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Grant Monteer UK There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Grant Westorn There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Grant Westorn There is no carrage of cate for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No tokets for any carrage are estude by GE TOCs Grant Westorn There is no carra	096	DR	Not outering the service (cal-carrage)
Nordysets Jembane DK Not offering this product Notigysets Jembane DK Is not offering this product UR Inter is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant fortain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Chillem Railways UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Chillem Railways UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Carost Chillem Railways UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Carost Chillem Railways UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Carost Chillem Railways UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Grant Cantal UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Grant Cantal UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Grant Cantal UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Grant Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Chester Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Heathow Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Heathow Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Heathow Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Grant Fintain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by GR TCCs Heathons. Express UK There is no	Lokaltog	DK.	Is not offering this product
Multipske DK Is not offering the product C21 Like is not antige of parks for rail passengers in Giest Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Calcidenis Bleeper UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Colcidenis Bleeper UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Control formation UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Catal Central UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Gatavick Express UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are assisted by GB TCCs. Great Worthere UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car passenger are based by CD TCCs. Great Worthere UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are based by CD TCCs. Great Worthere UK There is no carrage of parts for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car parage are based by CD TCCs. Great Worthere UK	Nordjyske Jembane:	DK	Not offering this product
CDL LIK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest hintain. No trickets for any carcage are equal by CB TCCs Colodonis Biosper UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Colodonis Biosper UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Colodonis Irane UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Catvick Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Grant Cantul UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Grant Cantul UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Grant Western Balkay UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Great Western Balkay UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs Great Margin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Guest Entain. No trickets for any carcage are issued by CB TCCs	Midüyske	DK.	Is not offering this product
Caledonia Biosper UK There is no surrage of cast for all pascengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. CrossCountry UK There is no causage of cast for all passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Last Multinds (rame UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Caledonia Biosper UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Caledonia Biosper UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Caledonia Biosper UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Great Western Railway UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Great Western Railway UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Great Western Railway UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are issued by CB TCCs. Great Western Railway UK. There is no causage of cast for rail passengers in Cheat Britain. No takets for any cast causage are	4581	118	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tinkets for any car carrage are recred by GH 10 Cs.
Chilem Raiways UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Construintry UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Catulation Control UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Catulation Control UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Great Monther UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Great Manther UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Creater Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Creater Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Creater Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Heathmat Express UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Pritain. No tickets for any car carr	Caledonia Sleeper	UK	There is no samage of pars for roll passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any oar pairage are issued by GB TOCs.
CrossCountry UK There is in carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs base Midlands Franc base Midlands Franc UK There is in carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Graud Central Christ Koppess UK There is in carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs (what No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs) Grast Worthern UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Grast Worthern UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Hearthing Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Gear Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Hearthing Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geart Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Hearthing Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geart Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Hearthing Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geart Entrain. No tockets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs. Hearthing Connect UK	Chiltern Railwaya	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs
Last Malanda Irans UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Catwick Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Great Morthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Great Morthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Great Morthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Great Magine UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Great Magine UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Heathma Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Heathma Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Heathma Connect UK There is no carrage or cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TCCs Heathma Connect	CrossCountry	HK	There is no carrage of cars for rall passengers to Great Eritain. No tickers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs.
Catwick Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dirtam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C0 TCCs. Grand Central UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by G8 TCCs. Great Worthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by G8 TCCs. Great Worten Railway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Great Worten Railway UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Heartman Connerv UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Heartman Connerv UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Heartman Connerv UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Heartman Connerv UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by C8 TCCs. Heartman Connerv UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britam. No tokets for any car carrage	East Midlands Trains	UK.	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any car carrage are sound by GB TOCs
Grand Central UK There is no compage of cases for rail passengers in Oreal. Entern. No fubrets for any car compage are beauting Grand Version Rollway Grand Martinen UK There is no compage of cases for rail passengers in Grand Entern. No fubrets for any car compage are beauting Grand Version Rollway Grand Martinen UK There is no compage of cases for rail passengers in Creat Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting Grand Version Rollway. Grand Martinen UK There is no compage of cases for rail passengers in Creat Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Hearthma Connect UK There is no compage of cases for rail passengers in Creat Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Hearthma Connect UK There is no compage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Hearthma Connect UK There is no compage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Haadhon Cverground UK There is no compage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Leadon Cverground UK There is no compage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No foldets for any car compage are beauting VGB TOCs. Northern UK There is no compage of cars for rail passengers in Great En	Catwick Express	UK	There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tickets for any car carriage are leaved by CB TOCs
Inexel Northeren UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any cal carrage as example as example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any call carrage as example as example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any call carrage as example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any call carrage as example as example as example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any callinge are example as example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any callinge are example as for callinge and bard for any car carrage are example as example as example as example are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example are carrage are example of cars for call passengers in Great Entrain. No trickets for any car carrage are example are example of cars for call p	Grand Central	UK	There is no cantage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tukets for any car cantage are issued by GB TOCs
Great Western Railway UK There is no earrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Great Western Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Heathrow Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Heathrow Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Isadion Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs Merseyrail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs ScortPart UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs ScortPart UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs ScortPart	Great Northern	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tinkets for any car carrage are reared by GR 1003s
Oreater Anglia UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Heathma, Connect UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Heathma, Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Haltman UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Leadon Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Leadon Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Northere UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Northere UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Southerseysail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs </td <td>Great Western Railway</td> <td>UK</td> <td>There is no earrage of pars for rail passengers in Great Eritain. No tickets for any ear samage are issued by GB TOCs</td>	Great Western Railway	UK	There is no earrage of pars for rail passengers in Great Eritain. No tickets for any ear samage are issued by GB TOCs
Heartmax Conney: UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Heartmax Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Hail Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Iaul Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Iauton Midland UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Landon Midland UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Landon Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Monthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. So	Greater Anglia	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passencers in Creat Dritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CD TOCs
Heathrow Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Isodon Multinit UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs London Multinit UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs London Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs	Heathmy Connect	UK	There is no pairtage of pars for rall passengers in Great Entrain. No tickers for any par pairtage are issued by GB TOCs.
Hull Trans UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Island Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Isodon Midland UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Leadon Cverground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Merseysail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Nombern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southers UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southers UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southers UK Th	Heathrow Excrease	UK.	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are requed by GB IOCs
Bland Line UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. Leadon Midland UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. Leadon Overground UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. Merseysail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. Northern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TCCs. <	Ibd Trans	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Greet Dotern. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs.
Loadon Multimit UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Loadon Cverground UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Merseyrall UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Merseyrall UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHal UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHal UK There is no example of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHal UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. <td>beland Line</td> <td>UK</td> <td>There is no concept of two for call presenters to Great Builden, this lakets for any car concept are seen based by GB TOCs.</td>	beland Line	UK	There is no concept of two for call presenters to Great Builden, this lakets for any car concept are seen based by GB TOCs.
Leaden Overgreund UK There is no samage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Merseysail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. Nonteen UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHaesterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHaesterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHaesterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. SociHaesterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No trickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TCCs. <	London Midland	110	Persis no except of east for call exceptions in Grad Antain. No trickets for any call calings are pound by GH 10.0
Merseysal UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Nonthern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southead UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southead UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Southeademin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritan. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs <t< td=""><td>Lendon Civ croreund</td><td>UK</td><td>There is no extrant of each factorial excentric is Gint Extrant No tokets for the each extrant and pound by GB TOCS.</td></t<>	Lendon Civ croreund	UK	There is no extrant of each factorial excentric is Gint Extrant No tokets for the each extrant and pound by GB TOCS.
Minister OK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs SociHai UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs Socihem UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs Socihem UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs Socihem UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs Stonted Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage are based by GB TOCs There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Geart Britain. No tokers for any car carrage of	Management	UR.	There is no carrying of size is and processing of an Estimate No believe for any we carrying and an and the CB TOC's
Mathematic Mathema	Alleringen	LUC	There is the carringe of cars for an passengers in Orient Extension to currents for any car carringe are exceeding of FCCC.
Scoreal Oil There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Southeasterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Southeasterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Southeasterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Southeasterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Southeasterin UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs The Tail UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs Thaneelik UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs TarsHennine Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs TarsHennine Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tokets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs </td <td>ALCONTR.</td> <td>118</td> <td>meets to catage of this to an passed exit their both to meets to any cat subaperie sale by the trus</td>	ALCONTR.	118	meets to catage of this to an passed exit their both to meets to any cat subaperie sale by the trus
Sourcesterin UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Sourcesterin UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Sourcest UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Sourcest UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs TrL Rail UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Tarree is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Tarree is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs TransHamme Express UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Vigin Tarins UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Bitain. No tokets for any car carriage are based by GB TCCs Vigin Tarins	Public Mark Tables	100	There is to call age of Cas for fair passengers in Orest Dates. No objects for any car callinge are sensed by CD TOCS
Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any carcarrage are issued by GFTCCs Southern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any carcarrage are issued by GFTCCs Stontern UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs The Reil UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs Thamesink UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs TransHamme Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs Virgin Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs Virgin Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs Virgin Tarins UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs Virgin Tarins UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GFTCCs	South West Isins	UN	there is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in cliear bintain, No tickets for any car carrage are assess by Cu Toos
Solution UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Standtod Express UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Tit Real UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Tame=link UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Tame=link UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Tame=link UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Tame=lanine Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Virgin Tains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars f	Southeasteni	UK	There is no camage of cars for an passengers in Oreal Britain. No burets to any car camage are issued by OB TOCs
Sconcise Express UK Increases a carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Tabameelik UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Tabameelik UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Tabameelik UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Tabameelik UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Trans Eath Coast UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Trans Eath Coast UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs Trans Eath Coast UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs SUC There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GETCCs UK There is no carrage of cars for carrage services. Arrive UK There is no carrage of cars for carrage services.	Southern	118	there is no calcage of cars to call passengers in clear horain. No trivers to any cal calcage ale lealed by GH 101.5
There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Drawn. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Drawn. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs transHamme Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Vrgin Tatins UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Vrgin Tatins UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Vrgin Tatins East Creat UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Vrgin Tatins East Creat UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs Vrgin Tatins East Creat UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Britain. No tokets for any car carrage are issued by CB TOCs JS C I thuranian Kalways ² II I Individual rainways do not provide nar carrage ape services. Not offering the cervice (car carrage)	Storisted Express	UK	Increasing an earnage of ears for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tokets for any ear earnage are issued by GB TCCs
There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geat Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs transFernine Express Virgin Teams UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geat Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Virgin Teams UK There is no carrage of cars for call passengers in Geat Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Virgin Teams UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Virgin Teams UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. Virgin Teams UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs. UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No trivers for any car carrage	IL Ref	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Diffain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by CB TCCs
transHemme Express UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Virgin Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Virgin Trains East Coast UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs US: J tifturanian Katkeaye* 11 DK Net offering the service (sar carrage)	Teampeling	UK	There is no cairage or cars for rall passengers in Gieral Britain. No tickers for any car cairage are leaved by GB TOCs
Vrigin Trains UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No tickets for any car carrage are issued by GB TOCs Vrigin Trains East Coast UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs UK There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs JS: J rithing in any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs JArrivo DK Net offering the carvice (car carrage)	tansHennine Express	UK.	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Entain. No tickets for any car carrage are resued by GB TOCs
Virgit Trains East Coast UK There is no carriage of cars for rail passengers in Great Britain. No folkets for any car carriage are issued by GB TOCs US. (Influence: Karliage) US: (Influence: Karliage) 11 Influence: Karliage services. Arrivo DK Net offering the service (car carriage)	Virgin sains	UK	There is no carrage of cars for rail passengers in Great Dritain. No tickets for any car carrage are leaved by GB TOCs
USC (ithuanian Kalwaya" 11 I ithuanian rativays to not provide par carsiage services. Arrivo DK Net offering the service (sar sarriage)	Virgin Tains East Coast	UK	There is no carriage of cars for all passengers in Great Britain. No tickets for any car carriage are issued by GB TCCs
Arriva DK Net offering the service (sar sarrage)	JS 1 ji dhianan Ralwaya"	11	I dhuanan raiwaya do nof provide car careage services
	Aniva	DK	Net offering the service (sar carriage)

Figure 41: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 42: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:

Figure 43: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: risks [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 44: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Sending reservation request for car carriage" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.



Issues reported:



Figure 45: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 46: Sending reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Sending reservation request for car carriage" are the internal redesign of distribution, no benefits seen or the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Lack of financial resources" is more important that for the other reservation requests.



The implementation status of the function "Sending reservation requests for car carriage" is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 50% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 49,7% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

Most of the other companies are not offering car reservations for their trains at all (e.g. no operation of carcarrying trains, regional trains only) and have therefore not implemented a reservation system including the reservation request for cars in their distribution systems.



4.2.2.6 Answering reservation requests for car carriage from agreed RU's and agreed 3rd parties in B5 format

Figure 47: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N),

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Only 13 companies reported to be subject to implementation of this basic parameter, where 11 of them using already TAP TSI standards and 2 company specific standards.



Company name:	Country	-cplanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function.
Trasporto Passeggeri Emilia Romagna	IT.	The Tper service's can't transport cars
"Kelojo Mazowieckie KM" sp. z o.o	PL	Koleje Mazewicekie does not IT communication for sending of an availability/reservation enswer for the corriage of pars.
Hoptor Rail A3	SE	Nic arc only previding traction (locos)
Koleje Šlaskie Opółka z o.o	PL	We do not transport cars.
388 A3, Passenger Division	CH	No. offered by 388.
FERROV E DEL GARGANO	IT	The company does not cany out can bansourt service
Aniva Trains Wales	UK:	There is no partiage of pars for rall passengers in Great Eritain. No tickers for any car partiage are issued by GE TOCs.
RENEE VIAIEROS	FS .	I tavellets Kente trans has not this posibility
NS international	NL.	No: carred out by NS
1000 JUL 1000 JUL 1000 JUL	100000	CFL uses the BeNe distribution system (SNCB/NS/CFL/OSB) for international retail
		CFL decon't have a proper Repervation and Tipketing retail system.
CFL	LUS	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the DeNe TAP TOI implementation level
Lúdzka Kolel Aglomeracyjna Sp. 2 0.0	PL	Lodzka Kolei Automeracyjna Buliziulo, doesn't have a licket booking system
VE Group	FI	In generity used standards in Finland-Rossia half to there is no answering reservation requests for car carriage.
Itale - Nuovo Traspetie Viapgiated Sig &	IT	taib 5 p.A. does not provide or arrept reservations for car partiage
Fertagus, S.A	E1	we are a suburban tailway company, without reservations of cars, and with a contactees ticket system
Trenitalia 9.e.4.	IT	Service not supported
Koleje Dolnoslaskie S.A.	PL	We offer party regional and press bender rail links (nen reservation tiekets).
Trasporto Ferroviario Tospono SeA	IT	Texeting is subcontracted to other sempany.
CYSEV Zr.	IIU	No: relevant
PKP Scylika Kole Merska w Trómiespie Sul z ullu	PL	PKP 3KM does not carry pars and we do not have wacous designed for this compose
A Panress	6.7	we haven't carries for cars a we don't ransport cars
SAD - Trasporto Locale SpA	IT	net within our contrerence
LDB	DE	No: otterna the service
Lokabon	DV	s ant offering this product
Nordiverse Jeanhaner	DK.	No: Difering this product
Midfarke	DK	r set officies the conduct
620	UR	There is no periods of care for rail passengers in Creat Dritain. No lickets for any car paying are issued by CE TOCs.
Culerbain Base of	UR	There is no contract of the first and percent and and a first of the set of the set of the set of the first o
Childra Balladard	UF	There is no derivate of care for rail pescengers in order britain. No invest for any care barrage are issued by GE 1005
CrossCourto:	LUK.	There is no contage of case for rail passengers in Great Entain. No invest for any car barrage are issued by GE TOCS.
Last Melanis Lans	116	Them is no concept of our for the presence in Gradi Antan, No tokets for any or company the second by (AH (1) is
Gatwink Eveness	10K	There is no carrient of cars for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No linkets for any our participation are issued by GE TOD's
Grand Control	UK	There is no average of our formal parameter in Grant Extrain No linkets for any parameter in GR TOCS.
Great Marthem	UK	Them is no extringe of east for relighting in Grant Eritain No lights for any or paragon are located by GB TO"s
Creat Western Daiway	UK	There is no carriege of our for religionary in Cast Ditain. No lickets for any car parage are insued by CE TODs
Greater Article	HE	There is to contain a first for all accounters in Grad Subar Ne listers for our or contained an issued to GE TON.
Heathryw Connent	UE	There is no particle of cars (in rail passengers in Great Edita). No linkers for any car participation of cars
Heathmy Everage	UK	There is no carried of calls for rail passengers in Grant Britain Martineers for any car carried taken by GB TOCS.
Hull Irans	LUK	There is no carried at cars for rail passengers in Great Entain No tokets for any car participal are issued by 14H (1) is
Island I mp	HIR	There is no carriage of case for rail passengers in Grant Entrain. No linkets for any car participant are issued by GB TOPs
Lander Midland	UK	Them is no appriate of part for rail passengers in Great Edain. No lighting for paying an insued by GETO'S
London Ducromund	UK	There is no approved that for rail passengers in Great Estain. No lights for any or participation of part for rail passengers in Great Estain. No lights for any or participation of the BTOCs.
Maraavral	LUK	There is no carried of care for real parameters in Creat Orban. No fickate for any car parameters and by CE TOCA
New Barry	UK	There is no realized of one for all precentages in their bridge for any carbon and a second of the TON.
SeePal	UK	There is no contract of case for rail passengers in Great Entrain the inverts for any car participation by GETOCS.
South West Trains	UK	There is no called of outs for rail personners in Great Eritain Mr there is no any or called a tracked by GD ToCs.
Vaultegeren	106	There is no activity of care for rail processing in Grad Lintan for indicate in any or participation in the state
Courbon	LIK.	There is no available of our for reliancement in Gent Datas. No indexts in any ratio and are setting to the available of our for reliancement in Gent Datas. No indexts in available of available of the output of the
Standard European	UK	There is a particular of particular parameters in Grant Entries. No increase for any our contrage are increased by GB TOCA-
Til Del	102	There is no carriage of our for the participation in order private the above of any dar beinge of cooles by de node.
Transation	1.02	There is no verified of our furning passengers in oreal uniting the notests for any car carrage are issued by CD 1003.
	OK OK	There is no variable of calls for all passengers in Order Unitary, No notests for any car carriage are issued by CU TOCA.
Main Calles	UN.	There is no contracted of part for rail passengers in Great critatil. No notes for any dal participation of partitipation of partitipation of partitipation of participation of
Vigo Teles	UK	There is no carriage of care roll fair passengers in Great Ontain. No novers for any care analyse are issued by CE TOUS.
Contract Contract Contract	100	There is no canage to care for car passengers in other boran two interes for any car carage are issued by the for a
Anima Anima Anima Manualys	DI	Landeman kewaya co oni provide CBI CBINGE SPLATES
	1696	IND, DI METRO, CHE MAR VICE

Figure 48: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 49: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Risks reported:









Figure 51: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering reservation request for car carriage" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.



Issues reported:



Figure 52: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 53: Answering reservation requests for car carriage in B5 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issue of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "Answering reservation request for car carriage" are other risks.



The implementation status of the function "Answering reservation requests for car carriage" is very low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 41,26% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 40,57% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

Most of the other companies are not offering car carriage reservations in their trains and do not implement therefore the function to answer to reservation messages. Furthermore some member states have agreed to use national industry specifications for requesting and responding to reservation requests.

4.2.2.7 Issuing value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format



Figure 54: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

24 companies reported they are subject to the implementation of this basic parameter. 17 of these companies are using TAP TSI technical documents to issue value paper tickets. Undertakings in the following member states reported to use other standards that TAP TSI to issue value paper tickets: CZ, FI, HU, IT, NL, PL and SK. It has to be elaborated for which purposes (e.g. domestic tickets, regional cross-border traffic, manually issued international tickets) those standards are allowed to be used for international ticketing.



Company	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Trasporto	π	Tper predominaly serves regional service. Tper has also few inter-regional service
		Koleje Mazowieckie fulfill only regional passenger transport in Poland
"Koleje Ma	PL	so that we does not fulfill international end foregin sales.
Hector Rai	SE	We don't sell the ticket, we only provide traction (Locos)
Koleje Ślas	PL	No possibility of printing in b6 format due to technical reasons. No funds for replacing printers.
FERROVIE	π	Ferrovie del Gargano does not service with seat reservation
Arriva Trai	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
		CFL uses the BeNe distribution system (SNCB/NS/CFL/DSB) for international retail.
		CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and Ticketing retail system.
CFL	LU	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BeNe TAP TSI implementation level.
Łódzka Ko	PL	Łódzka Kolei Aolomeracyina Sp. z o.o., doesn't sell international and foreign tickets
	//#/T	talo S n A, does not issue value paper tickets for international and foreion sales
Italo - Nuov	π	and doesn't sell tickets in connection to other RUs
Fertagus	PT	We are a suburban rail operator with a ticket system contactless, integrated with other modes of transport
Trasporto	π	Ticketing is subcontracted to other company
PKP Szybk	PI	PKP SKM is a local carrier and uses its own format. The cost of modifications is too high
SAD - Tras	π	not within our competence
Lokalton	DK	Location is not issuing international tickets
Nordivske	DK	Not offering or accepting international tickets
Midtivske	DK	okaltoo is not issuing international tickets
C2C	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets
Caledonia	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets
Chiltern Ra	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets
CrossCour	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets
East Midlar	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets
Gatwick E:	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Grand Cen	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Great Nort	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Great Wes	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Greater Ar	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Heathrow	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Heathrow	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Hull Trains	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Island Line	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
London Mik	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
London Ov	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Merseyrail	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Northern	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
ScotRail	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
South Wes	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Southeast	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Southern	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Stansted E	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
TfL Rail	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Thameslink	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
TransPenn	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Virgin Train	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.
Virgin Train	UK	No GB TOC issues international tickets.

Figure 55: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 56: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:



[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 58: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "issue value paper tickets" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: Several tickets can be issued without any interaction with other retail systems, e.g. for NRT-tickets. So there is no need at all to connect those systems to issue those tickets and the risk is not evident at least for NRT-tickets. For IRT-tickets such a connection to another reservation system is needed.



Issues reported:



Figure 59: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format: issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 60: Issuing value paper tickets in B6 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "issue value paper tickets" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only formal changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

The implementation status of the function "Issuing value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format" is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation of this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 65% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 60% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



4.2.2.8 Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format

Figure 61: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

The implementation status of the function "Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format" is good. Most of the companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and they have implemented them.

However the implementation of the acceptance of those tickets has to be part of a commercial agreement between the parties.



Company	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Trasporto	Π	Tper predominaly serves regional service. Tper has also few inter-regional service
"Koleje Ma	PL	Koleje Mazowieckie fulfill only regional passenger transport in Poland so that we does not fulfill international end foregin sales.
Hector Rai	SE	We don't sell the ticket, we only provide traction (Locos)
Koleje Śląs	PL	No possibility of printing in b6 format due to technical reasons. No funds for replacing printers.
FERROVIE	П	Ferrovie del Gargano does not service with seat reservation
CFL	LU	CFL uses the BeNe distribution system (SNCB/NS/CFL/DSB) for international retail. CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and Ticketing retail system. Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BeNe TAP TSI implementation level.
Łódzka Ko	PL	Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. z o.o., doesn't sell international and foreign tickets
Italo - Nuov	π	Italo S.p.A. does not issue value paper tickets for international and foreign sales, and doesn't sell tickets in connection to other RUs.
Fertagus,	PT	We are a suburban rail operator with a ticket system contactless, integrated with other modes of transport.
Trasporto	Π	Ticketing is subcontracted to other company
PKP Szybk	PL	PKP SKM is a local carrier and uses its own format. The cost of modifications is too high.
SAD - Tras	Π	not within our competence
Lokaltog	DK	Lokaltog is not issuing international tickets
Nordjyske	DK	Not offering or accepting international tickets
Midtjyske	DK	Lokaltog is not issuing international tickets

Figure 62: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation



Figure 63: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:



Figure 64: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format: risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 65: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "accepting value paper tickets" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations



and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: All value paper tickets can be checked without any interaction with IT-systems. So there is no need at all to connect those systems to accept those tickets and the risk is not evident at all.

Issues reported:



Figure 66: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format: issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 67: Accepting value paper tickets in B6 format Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "accepting value paper tickets" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.



The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only formal changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

The implementation status of the function "Accepting value paper tickets for international and foreign sales in B6 format" is good. Most of the companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and they have implemented them.

However the implementation of the acceptance of those tickets has to be part of a commercial agreement between the parties.

Taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level analysis showed that 78% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 73% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

4.2.2.9 Issuing home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format:



Figure 68: Issuing home paper tickets in B7 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N),

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

* 5 RUs declared they are implementing the function using both TAP TSI technical document and other standards.



100	
11.	Only inter-regional and regional service
1.2.1.1.	Koleja Vazowieckie fulfil only regional passenger transport in Poland so that we does not
PL	fulfil international and foregin sales.
SE	We only provide traction (Locos)
PL	No possibility of printing in b6 format due to technical reasons.
π	The company performs only regional service without reservation of the place
UK .	No GB TOC issues international tokets
35 1	CFL uses the EeNe distribution system (SNCD/NS/CFL/DSD) for international retail.
	CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and Ticketing retail system
LU	Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BaNe TAP TSI implementation level
PL	Eódzia: Koloj Aalomeracyjna Sp. z o.o. decan't sel international and foreion teketa
1	We are a suburban rall operator with a ticket system contactless,
PT	integrated with other modes of transport, and our ticket system can not suport printed tickets
π	Currently we do not adopt this ticketing method.
п	ticketing is subcontracted to other company
38.	FKP SKM is a local RU-F and does not sell international tickets at al.
	At the moment, the sale of tickets of other carriers takes place only at ticket offices based on
PL	acitwere used by these carriers.
П	not within our compatence
DK	Lokatog is not issuing international fickets
UK	Not offering or accepting international tickets
DK.	Lokatog is not issuing international tickets
UK	No GE TOC issues international tickets
UK	No GE TOC issues International tokets
UK	No GE TOC Issues International tokets
8<	No GE TOC issues International tickets
UK	No GE TOC issues i der alig all ckels
UK	No GE TOC issues idea alignatickets
UX .	No GE TOC issues international takes
UK	No GD TOC issues international takers
UK	No GE TOC issues international takers
UK	No GE TOC issues international tokers
UK	No GE TOC issues interactional tokers
UK	No CE TOC issues interactional tekers
UK.	No CE TUU issues interactional tickers
HK.	No GE TOC leaves international tokers
UK	No GE TOU learner international tickets
III	No GE TOC legues International tokers
11.	No GE TOC leques International tokars
118	No GE TOC issues international teles
112	No GE TOC issues i dat talia
111	No GE TOC issues identificational trees
ill's	In GETOC issues identification lines
107	No OC TOO instance international takes
117	No QC TOC instance international takes
102	No OC TOC insues international takes
102	No OC TOC issues interestional takes
05	No GE TOC ISSUES INCONCIDENTIAL
03	No GE TUC ISSUES FICE/LEDONDI ECKCIS
05	NO GE TUU ISSUES INCONDITICKES
04	No GE TOU leauea International ticketa
	PL PL PL PL PL PT PL PT PL PT PL PT PL PT PL PL PT PL PL PT PL PL PL PT PL PL PL PL PL PL PL PL PL PL

Figure 69: Issuing home paper tickets in B7 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 70: Issuing home paper tickets in B7 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:







Figure 72: Issuing home paper tickets in B7 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "issue home printed tickets" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.

Issues reported:









Figure 74: Issuing home paper tickets in B7 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "issuing home-printed paper tickets" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

The implementation status of the function "Issuing home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format" is low, taking into account number of companies. Few companies have reported that they are subject to the implementation this function and in all cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

Most of the other companies are not offering home printed tickets. However the implementation of the acceptance of those tickets by both parties has to be part of a commercial agreement between them.

Taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 58% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 51% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



4.2.2.10 Accepting home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format



Figure 75: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

* 5 RUs declared they are implementing the function using both TAP TSI technical document and other standards.



Company name:	Cou	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Traspono Passeggeri Emilia Romagna	П	The authority is the region (Regione Emila Romagna)
	- 00	Koleje Mazowieckie fulfill only regional passenger transport in Poland so that we does not fulfil
"Koloje Mazowieckie - KM" ap. z c.o	PL	international and foregin sales.
Heclor Rail A3	SE	We only provide traction (Locos)
Koleje Slaske Spółka z olo	PI	I do bot have this supply
FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	П	the company performs only regional service without reservation of the place
Arriva Trains Wales	UK	Only D6 tickets accepted.
		CFL doesn't have a proper Reservation and Ticketing retail system
		Therefore, CFL's implementation level will always be aligned to the BeNe TAP TSI implementation
CL	LU	lovo.
Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. 2 o o.	PL	Łódzka Kolej Aglomel acyjna Sp. z o.o., doesn't self international and foreign fickets
		We are a suburban rail operator with a ticket system contactless,
Fertagus, S.A.	PT	integrated with other modes of transport and with gates in the stations
Trenitalia S.p.A.	π	Currently we do not adopt this ticketing method.
Iraspono Ferroviano Toscano SpA		lickebro is subcontracted to other company
PKP Szybka Koloj Micjska w Trójmicácia Sp. z o o	PL	PKP SKM is a local RU-P and accepts tickets only issued by other carriers from ticket offices.
SAD - Trasporto Locale SpA	Π	not within our competence
Lokellog	DK	Lokaltog is not issuing international tickers
Nordjyske Jernbaner	DK	Not offering or accepting international tickets
M dtiysko	DK	Lokeltog is not issuing international tickets
C2C	UK	Ony B6 lickets accepted.
Caledonia Seeper	UK.	Dhy H6 tokets accepted
Chitern Railways	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
CrossCountry	UK	Only D6 tickets accepted.
East Midlarids Trains	UK	Only B6 lickets accepted.
Gatwick Express	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Grand Central	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Great Northern	UK	Only D6 tickets accepted.
Great Western Railway	HK	Only B6 tickets accepted
Greater Anglia	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Heathrow Connect	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Healthow Excress	UK	Only B6 lickets accepted.
Hull Trains	UK	Uny B6 tickets accepted.
Is and Line	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
London Midland	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
London Overground	HK	Only B6 tickets accepted
Marseyrall	UK	Unly B6 tickets accepted.
Northain	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
SculFail	UK	Ony B6 lickets accepted.
South West Trans	UK.	Only 86 tickers accepted
Southeastern	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Southern	UK	Only D6 tickets accepted.
Slansled Express	UK	O ty B6 lickets accepted.
IL Rai	UK	Uniy B6 tickets accepted.
Thamesink	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
TransFennine Express	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
Vrgn Trains	UK	Only B6 tickers accepted
Virgin Trains East Coast	UK	Only B6 tickets accepted.
estern references and	0.000	There is no need for such functionality. Technical postacles to implement such functionality
.SC. thuanian Ballways"	IT	h existing IT environment

Figure 76: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation









Risks reported:

Figure 78: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: risks [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 79: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "accepting home printed tickets" are the dependency on other reservation systems, the lack of financial resources, the possible technical limitations and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.

Issues reported:



Figure 80: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 81: Accepting home paper tickets in B7 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "accepting home-printed paper tickets" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The issue "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

The implementation status of the function "Accepting home printed tickets for international and foreign sales in B7 format" is good. In most of the cases this are the incumbent railway undertakings, which have implemented this function.

Most of the other companies are not accepting home printed tickets. However the implementation of the acceptance of those tickets has to be part of a commercial agreement between the parties.

Taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level analysis showed that 58% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 51% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.


4.2.2.11 Sending PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU`s, IM's and SM's in B10 format



Figure 82: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Company name:	Country:	Explorations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
rasporto l'asseggari Emilia Romana	н	We function is implementing by the infrastructure Manager (F=3 and tim) Virto Hut)
Act of the second second second second		Keleje Mazawieckie provide PRM assistance according to 24 acticle of the Regulation (FC) No. 1074/2007 of
"Kolejć Mazowiczkie - KM" sp. 2 c. s.	FL	The European Parkament and of The Concut of 23 October 2007 of rail passacingers' refus and obligation.
Hartor Hall AH	NH -	We are only providing traction (none)
Keleje Slaskie Spélka z slo	FI	No reservation due to the nature of transport
VR Group	F	In Finand Russ a utility we are not serving FMR assistance reservation requests.
Translation Stip A	11	118/A metragement is cleaff by RF
PKP Saytka Koʻoj Mojska w Trojmiobole Sp. 2 o. e. Leo Expless	FL UZ	PKP SKM immolices mutual sales of tickets with other RUP and currently does not privide for such actifications. However, on the line we manage, we have our over organizational solution, we do not have information on how this with work.
Sect - trasporte Locale SipA	II	net within our campetence
Lokaltog	EK	PRM essistance is organized in coloperation with DSE, which handle requests for PRM assistance from interactional customers
Nordryake Jern baiter	LK	1174 assistance relegenced in co-operation with DSB, which handle requests for 1104-assistance from international costomers
Michaske	136	FRM assistance is organized in co-operation with IKBD, which handle requests to: FRM-assistance from imemational customers.

Figure 83: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 84: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:







Figure 86: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country



Issues reported:

Figure 87: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: issues [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 88: Sending PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The implementation status of the function "Sending PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU's, IM's and SM's in B10 format" is low, taking into account number of companies. Only incumbent RU's have implemented this function, mainly via the product "UIC PRM ABT application".

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 72% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 54% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.







Figure 89: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Company name:	Country	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function
Iraspode Passaggan Emilia Lidmagna	11	the function is associated by the Intrastructure Manager
Alexandra - Anna Alexandra Alexandra - Anna Alexandra - A		Keleje Mazawise kelenwide FBM assistance scording to 24 article of Regulation (FC) No. 1074/2007
		Every disabled person and person with reduced mobility may notified need for such assistance at
"Koleje Mazowieckie - KM" sp. 2 o.o.	F	least 43 hours before the assistance is needed on our 24-hour open Call Center informe.
Hector II all AB	5+	We are only providing fraction (locos)
Keleja Šlaskia Spélka z o o	Pin	No incervation due to the nature of transport
VR Group	ГІ	In Finland Russia traffic we are not answering PVR assistance recovation requests.
Trentalia S.p.A.	IT	PRM management is deal, by RFI
GYSEV 74	H.J	There is no technical background
FKP Szybka Kolaj Majska w Trójmeście Sp. z o. n.	P	PKP SKM has is own organizational solution
Lee Express	CZ	we do not have information on how this will work
SAD - Trasporto Locale SpA	IT	not within car competence
L cleating	126	1729 sesistance is organized in co-operation with 1226, which handle requests for 1930-assistance from international outcomers
Nordiys ke Jernbahar	0.6	PRM assistance is organized in co-operation with 12(1), which handle requests for PRM-assistance from international customers
N ctyske	DK.	PRM assistance is organized in coloperation with EGE, which handle requests for PFM assistance from international customers
JBC "Uthuanian Railways"	LT	Technical and financial reasons, International licketing is done over third party system.

Figure 90: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 91: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Risks reported:



Figure 92: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: risks [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 93: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: Share in total number of reported risks per country



Figure 94: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

Issues reported:





Figure 95: Answering PRM assistance reservation requests in B10 format: Share in total number of reported issues per country

The implementation status of the function "Answering PRM assistance reservation requests via IT communication to agreed RU's, IM's and SM's in B10 format" is low, taking into account number of companies. Only incumbent RU's have implemented this function, mainly via the product "UIC PRM ABT application".

However, taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level looks better then observing just absolute number of companies. 72% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 51% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



4.2.2.13 NRT tariffs/fares





25 companies are subject to the exchange of data for the NRT fares. 17 are using the TAP TSI standards and 8 other standards. It has to be elaborated, which standards are in use for this purpose.

Taking into account market shares of companies, 61% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 54% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



		if Apparticulates of the reason not to be subject to implement the unitation.
Trasporto Passagger, Emilia Romagna	IT	only regional and inter-regional service
"Koleje Mazowieckie - KM" sp. z o o	PI .	Koleja Mazowieckie does not have tariffs meant for international and foregin sales
Hector Rail AB	SF	We are only providing traction (locos)
Koleje Sląskie Spółka z o.o.	PL	We do not sell internationally.
FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	IT	Ferrovie del Gargano exclusively carries out railway service in the regional area.
Arriva Trains Wales	UK	GB TOGs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.
RENFE VIAJEROS	ES	This product is not marketed in Renfe.
Łódzka Kalej Aglomaracyjna Sp. z o.o.	PL	Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. z c.o., dobon't cell international and foreign tickate
Fertagus, S.A.	P	We are a local suburban RU
Koleje Dolnošlaskie S.A.	PL	We do not use NRT tanif.
		PKP SKW provides a tariff in the domestic sales system maintained
PKP Szybka Kalej Viejska w Trójmieście Sp. z c	PL	and managed by PKP Informatyka Sp. z o. p.
SAD - Trasporto Locale SoA	IT	not within our competence
Lokaltou	DK	Not offering international tickets
Nordivske Jembarer	DK	Not offering international tickets
M dtiv-ke	DK	Not offering international tokets
C2C	UK	GB TCCs do not export ta ifs to RUs not based in GB
Caledon a Sleeper	UK	GULICUs do not export tartfs to KUs not based in GU
Chitem Barbyays	LIK	GULOUs do not export tantts to KUs not based in GU
CrossCountry	UK	GU LOCs do not export tantts to RUs not based in GU.
Last Midlanda Trains	LIK	GULOUs do not export tants to Kills not based in GU
Catwork - xpress	UK	CH LOCs do not export tartts to 10.1s not based in CB
Crand Central	L IK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB
Creat Northern	UK	CB TCCs do not export tariffs to R. Is not based in CB
Creat Western Rai way	LIK.	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB
Creater Anglia	UK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB
Heathrow Connect	UK	GB TOGs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB
Heathrow Express	UK	GB TCCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB
Hul Trains	UK	GB TOGs do not export tariffs to BUs not based in GB
Island Linc	UK	GB TCCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB
London Midland	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB
Londo: Overcorrund	UK	GB TCCs do not export taills in RUs not based in GB
Merseviail	UK	GB TCCs do not export taillis to RUs not besed in GB
Nothem	UK	GB TCCs do not export to ifs in Rids not besed in GB
SculPal	UK	GB TCCs do not export tailly to RUs not based in GB
Source West Trains	LIK	GUILOUs do not export tartfs to Kills not based in GU
Southeastern	UK	GULOUs do not export tartis to RUs not based in GU
Southern	UK	GU LOCs do not export tartis to RUs not based in GU
Stansted Lixoress	UK	GU IOUs do not export factts to RUs not based in GU
It Bail	UK	GUL CCs do not export tants to RUs not based in GD
Trameslink	UK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB
TransPennine Excress	UK	CB TOCs do not export teoffs to RUs not based in CB
Virgin Trains	UK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB
Vim n Trains Fast Chast	LIK	CB TOCs do not export teeffs to RUs not based in CB

Figure 97: NRT tariffs/fares (B1): reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 98: NRT tariffs/fares (B1) – level of fulfilment,

[[]number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:

Figure 99: NRT tariffs/fares (B1): risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 100: NRT tariffs/fares (B1): Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "publication of NRT tariffs/fares" are the dependency on other reservation system, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: the provision of NRT-fares does not need any interaction with other IT-systems. So there is no need at all to connect those systems to provide NRT-fares and the risk is not evident at all. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.



Issues reported:





[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 102: NRT tariffs/fares (B1): Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "NRT tariffs/fares" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The issue



"Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

4.2.2.14 IRT tariffs/fares



Figure 103: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): subject to the implementation (Y/N),

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

13 companies are subject to the exchange of data for the IRT fares. 6 are using the TAP TSI standards and 7 other standards. Taking into account market shares of companies, 39% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 27% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.



Company name:	Country	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Trasporto Passoggeri Emilia Romogna	IT	Only regional and inter regional service
"Koleie Mazoweckie - KM" sp. z o.o.	PL	Koleie Mazowieckie does not have tariffs meant for international or foregin sales
Hedor Rail AR	SE	We are only provid no traction (locos)
Koleje Ślaskie Spółka z o.o.	PL	We do not sell anywhere in the world.
SBB AG, Passenner Division	CH	SEB does not offer IRT tariffs
		The Ferrovie del Gargano only carry out rail services in the regional area and
FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	(T	do not provice for a seat reservation
Arriva Trains Wales	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to BUs not based in GB
NS International	NI	NS in its carrier role does not apply IRT fare systems
		CEL doesn't have IRT tauffs on it's own
CEL	111	However, it offers this type of tariffs to it's customers by using foreign, over-on-
t ódzka Kolel Adlomeracylna So z o o	PI	t ódzka Kolel Anlomeracylna Sp. z o o doesn't sell international and foreign tickets
	107	VE domestic fares will be available through NAP (national access point) service
VR Group	FL	(when
Fertagus S.A.	PT	We are a local suburban RU
Kolcie Dolnoślaskie S.A.	PL	We do not use (BT toriff
DB Fernverkehr AG	DE	DE Eernverkehr does not offer IRT Eares
PKP Szyłka Kolaj Miajska w Trójmiajcja So. z	PI	PKP SKM does not book seats on its trains
DB Regio AG	DE	Companies do not offer IRT
SAD - Tranorte Locale SnA	IT	ind with a put competence
DB Regin Vetz Verkehr GmbH	DE	Companies do not offer IRT
DB 7ugBus Resignations Alb Redenses Gm	DE	Comparies do not offer IPT
S.Bahn Hamburg GmbH	DE	Comparies do not offer IRT
S Baha Barlia Cashi	DE	Companies do not offer IPT
	DK	Not offering IPT tights
Lakeltan	DIC	Not offering interactional products
Noreusie Jernhager	UN.	Not othering international products
Morciyske Jerridarier	DIC	Not offering international products
Initigyske	UK	AP TOO de adversal traite - Pit and anna in AP
Caladania Classer	UK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to Rus not based in CD.
Children Dailburg	UK	CB TOCs do not expert tallits to Res not based in CD.
CramCountry	UIZ	GB TOCs do not expert teriffe in Pile ant second in GB.
Croscountry Fact Midlanda Tanina	UK	OP TOCs do not export tarrits to Ros not based in OB.
Cabriek Everan	UK.	CP TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CB.
Gatwick Express	UN	CB TOCs do not export taillis to Ros not based in CD.
Grand Vectoral	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.
Great Workers Pallyre	UN	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to Rus not based in CB.
Great Western Railway	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to Rus not based in GB.
Oreater Anglia	UN	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to Rus not based in CB.
Heathrow Connect	UK	GB TOUS do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.
Hearinow Express	UK	OP TOO de set expert tariffs to Rus not based in OB.
Hull Frains	UK	GB I OUS do not export tariffs to RUS not based in GB.
Island Line	UN	OB TOOS do not export tarms to Ros not based in GB.
London Widiand	UK	GB TOUS do not export terriffs to RUS not based in GB.
London Overground	UK	OB TOCS do not export tarms to Ros not based in OB.
Merseyral	UK	GB TOUS do not export territis to RUS not based in GB.
Northern	UK	CD TOCs do not export teriffs to RUs not based in CD.
SCOTRAIL	UK	GB TOUS do not export tariffs to RUS not based in GB.
South West Trains	UK.	GB TOUS do not export territis to RUs not based in GB.
Sourcessiern	UK	GB TOUS do not export tariffs to RUS not based in GB.
Southern	UK	CB TOOL to not export tariffs to RUS not based in GS.
Starsted Express	UK	GB TOUS DO NOT EXPORT BRITIS TO RUS NOT DESEG IN GB.
	UK	GB TOOL do not export tariffs to KUS not based in GB.
I nameslink	UK	GB TOUS DO NOT EXPORT BRITTS TO RUS NOT DASED IN GS.
I rarsmentine Express	UK	GB TOUS do not export tariffs to RUS not based in GB.
Virgin Trains	UK	GB TOUS do not export tariffs to RUS not based in GB.
Virgin Trains East Goast	UK	GB TOUS DO NOT EXPORT TARITIS TO HUS NOT DASED IN GB.
JSG "Lithuanian Kaiways"	LI	Linuarian railways use iniro party system to exchange IRT data.
Arriva	DK	Not offering IRT tickets

Figure 104: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): reasons for not being subject of implementation





Figure 105: IRT tariffs/fares (B2) – level of fulfilment,





Risks reported:

Figure 106: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): risks

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 107: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "publication of IRT tariffs/fares" are the dependency on other reservation system, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: the provision of IRT-fares does not need any interaction with other IT-systems. So there is no need at all to connect those systems to provide IRT-tariffs and fares and the risk is not evident at all. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.



Issues reported:



Figure 108: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 109: IRT tariffs/fares (B2): Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "IRT tariffs/fares" is the lack of financial resources.



4.2.2.15 Special tariffs/fares



Figure 110: Special tariffs/fares (B3): subject to the implementation (Y/N), [number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Company name	Country	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:	
Tiasporto Passeggeri Emilia Romagna	17	Only regional and inter-regional service	
Ceske drahy, als	CZ	We provide special tariffs uncer the B1 or the B2 under special bilateral agreements	
SNOF Mobility	FR	5NCF does not propose these product	
"Kolere Mazawiedkie - KM" sp. z o.o.	FL	Koreje Mazowieckie does not have lanits mean, for international or foregin sares.	
SNCF Voyages Ital a 3.r.l.	1710	SNCF does not propose these product	
Hedur Rail AB	3E	We are only providing fraction (locus)	
Koleje Člaskie Opółka z p.o.	FL	We do not sell anywhere in the world.	
SBD AC, Passenger Division	CII	30D does not provide any Special tariffs.	
FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	17	The Ferrovie del Cargano operate only in the regional area	
Arriva Tiains Wales	UK	CB TOOs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CD.	
RENEE VIAJEROS	ES	This product is not marketed in Renie.	
See. S. LIND W.	Para R	As there is no corresponding UIC standard to fall back upon.	
NS International	NL	t is still unclear what the scope of this data exchange should be.	
CL	LU	CTL has no experience with this type of tariff and there are no plans to introduce them on the long term.	
Łodzka Kolcj Aplomeracyjna Sp. z e.o	FL	codzia Kalci Aclamerativina Sp. z e.a., deesn't sell international and farcian tickets	
normania statu wata sala na kata a		V3 domestic faces will be available through NAP (parional access point) service when	
VR Group		pilaterally agreed in open API's	
Fedanus SA	FT	We are a loost suburber BU	
Ticritolio S n A	17	Tracially is not dealing with these and utta	
		We offer only recording the one-body rail link tenor researching tidary based on bilateral eccements	
Koleie Dolooilaskie C.A.	ELC S	We do not use Special Tability Factors for interest values	
	5	Prof. 2011 second and the demonstration plane maintained and managed by FMP	
DKD Crobba Kalai Miaaka w Tulimiaksia Co. a	-	of or water as a call in the address service system maintained and the laged by the	
CAD Transitione Vielaka w Trojmiescie Op. 2	11-11	moimatyka up. 2 o. o.	
DAD - laspoito Loca e upm	DIA	har with in our competence	
053	DK	Not offering products comprised by this standard	
Londing	DP.	Not pretry international products	
Noidjyske Jernboner	DP.	No: offering informational products	
Midtyske	DP.	No: ortering international products	
C2C	UK	GB 1 OCs co ho: expert tentts to RUs no: based in GB.	
Caledonia Sleeper	UK .	GB TOCs do not expert tentfs to RUs not based in GB.	
Chiltern Reilways	UK	GB TOCs do not expert tanffs to RUs not based in GB.	
CrossCountry	UK	GB TOCs do not expert terriffs to RUs not based in GB.	
East Miclands Trains	1 IM	(HELCE a control expert fantis to KUs not based in GR	
Garwick Extress	LIN .	(HELCK a color: export fantts to KUs on pased in GE	
Grand Gentra	LIN	(HELCC)s do not expert fantts to RUs not based in GE	
Great Norhern	EIM	(HH 1) It is no not expert tenths to Kilk not based in (HK	
Great Western Railway	UK	GB TOCs coinci export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Greater Ang Ia	UK	GB TOCs coincilexport tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Heathrow Connect	UK.	GB TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Heathrow Express	UK:	3B TOCs coincit export tariffs to RUs not based in 3B.	
Hull Trains	UK.	3B TOCs du nut export tariffs to RUs nut based in 3B.	
Island Line	UK .	3B TOCs du not export tariffs to RUs not based in BB.	
London Midland	UK.	3B TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in 3B,	
London Overground	UR	CB TOOs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CD.	
Merseyrai	UK	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CD.	
Northern	UK.	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CD.	
ScotRail	UK:	CB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in CD,	
South West Trains	UK	GB TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Southcostorn	UK.	GB TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Southern	UK.	GB TOOs do not expert tariffe to RUs not pased in GE.	
Stansied Express	UK.	GB TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
TIL Rail	UK .	GB TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Thames ink	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
TransPennine Express	UK	GB TOCs do not export tariffs to RUs not based in GB.	
Virgin Trains	LIK	GR TOCs do not expert tariffs to RUs not based in GR	
Virgin Ligins - est Coast	LIK	(iH 100 s to bat export fants to KUs on: based up (iH	
SC Lithuanian Railways"	11	-or international trate to a towanian lariways use third party system	
Arriva	1.08	Not other and under comprised by this standard	
CT14782.0			

Figure 111: Special tariffs/fares (B3): reasons for not being subject of implementation

The reporting about the implementation progress of the "Special tariffs/fares" has been requested voluntarily by CSG from the railway undertakings. According to the TAP TSI master plan, the implementation of this function is foreseen in 2021. According to the reported figures 14 undertakings reported to be subject of implementation of this function with other standards. 54 companies reported, they are not subject of implementation of this function.

Taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level analysis showed that 23% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and marginal 0,3% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.





Figure 112: Special tariffs/fares (B3): level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Risks reported:



[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 114: Special tariffs/fares (B3): Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "publication of special tariffs/fares" are the dependency on other reservation system, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: the provision of special fares does not need any interaction with other IT-systems. So there is no need at all to connect those systems to provide special tariffs and fares and the risk is not evident at all. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only formal changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.



Issues reported:



Figure 115: Special tariffs/fares (B3): issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 116: Special tariffs/fares (B3): Share in total number of reported issues per country



The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "special tariffs/fares" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The issue "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only formal changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

Timetables (B4) - implementation 70 60 (82%) 60 50 (74%) 50 40 30 20 10 (8%) 8 (2%) 10 0 **Subject** Not Subject **TAP TSI technical** Other standard of of documents implementation implementation

4.2.2.16 Timetables

Figure 117: Timetables (B4): subject to the implementation (Y/N),

Most of the reporting companies stated, that they are subject to implementation of the basic parameter to provide TAP TSI timetable data. 50 of them are using the TAP TSI standards and only 10 their own specifications. It is not clear which specifications are used for this purpose.

Company name:	Country:	Explanations of the reason not to be subject to implement the function:
Hector Rail AB	SE	We are only providing traction (locos)
Koleje Šląskie Spółka z o.o.	PL	Timetables are published by the infrastructure manager.
FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	IT	No exchange of timetable data in the B4 format is required for the company
		Merits is (or will be) compliant with the E4 specifications.
CFL	LU	As a Merits partner, CFL will therefore also meet the B4 standards.
		This is M who owns and makes available timetables to BU
Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. z o o	HI.	(incl. Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. z n o ,)
Koleje Dolnośląskie S.A.	PL	The timetable is made, confirmed and shared by Infrastructure Manager.
PKP Szybka Kolej Miejska w Trójmieście Sp. z o. o.	PL	However, the timetable is passed to the national timetable called HAFAS.
SAD - Trasputo Lucale SpA	IT	not within our competence

Figure 118: Timetables (B4): reasons for not being subject of implementation

[[]number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 119: Timetables (B4) – level of fulfilment,

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]

18 companies have already reported to have the timetable publication according to TAP TSI technical document B.4 in production. 37 companies are in the final phase of the implementation with pilot projects.

Risks reported:





[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]





Figure 121: Timetables (B4): Share in total number of reported risks per country

The main risks of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "publication of timetable data" are the dependency on other reservation system, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline. The risk "Dependency on other retail systems" has to be elaborated in more detail: the provision of timetable data does not need any interaction with other IT-systems and the risk is not evident at all. The risk "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.



Issues reported:



Figure 122: Timetables (B4): issues

[number of responses (% based on European passenger per km factor]



Figure 123: Timetables (B4): Share in total number of reported issues per country

The main issues of the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameter "publication of timetable data" are the internal redesign of distribution, the lack of financial resources and the stability of the TAP TSI baseline.



The issue "Stability of TAP TSI baseline documents" has to be checked in detail, because only few changes were introduced in the documents since the publication of the TAP TSI in 2011.

The implementation progress of the timetable data provision by the railway undertakings is good. 18 railway undertakings confirmed to be already in production and 37 confirmed to be in the system testing phase.

Taking into account market shares of companies, the implementation level also looks good as 82% of European railway market declared to be subject of implementation and 74% are part of implementation process according to TAP TSI standards.

There are important risks and issues of this basic parameter: The dependency on other retail systems, the internal redesign of the distribution systems and the stability of the baseline documents.

4.2.3 Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI retail basic parameters to be implemented by ticket vendors

ERA has not received from the ticket vendor organisations detailed data about the implementation progress of the TAP TSI functions at ETTSA and ECTAA for this reporting session.

Based on the information received by ETTSA on 15 September 2017 in an email about the status of the TAP TSI implementation.

The results of the TAP TSI implementation are as follows:

"ETTSA prerequisite to be in a position to implement TAP/TSI

- Availability of time table, ref data and MCT's
-) RU's request to implement TAP/TSI

ETTSA cannot report any implementation until those 2 external pre requisite are fulfilled.

ETTSA cannot report specifically on one of his member implementation due to commercial confidentiality."

As ETTSA report shows, the implementation of the TAP TSI cannot be started before the reference data, timetable data and minimum connection times (MCT) are available. Before those prerequisites are fulfilled, no implementation of TAP TSI can start on the side of the ticket vendors.

Possible mitigation measures to start the implementation of the TAP TSI by the ticket vendors should be analysed.

Based on the results of the 3rd reporting session there is a substantial progress on the provision of timetable and tariff and fare data. Therefore it has to be checked if this is already sufficient for ETTSA to progress with the implementation of their TAP TSI basic parameters.

4.2.4 Results of the reporting for the TAP TSI RU/IM basic parameters to be implemented by railway undertakings

The reporting about the progress of the RU/IM functions for passenger railway undertakings is covered in the co-operation group for the implementation monitoring of the TAF TSI. However the passenger railway undertakings have to be implement the RU/IM functions for the TAP TSI as well.



According to the agreements in the TAF TSI implementation co-operation group, the passenger railway undertakings have reported about the implementation progress for the following functions:

- Implementation of company code
- Implementation of the common interface
- Train Running Information

The reporting followed the same schedule as presented in Table 8: Reporting schedule for TAP TSI basic parameters (3rd reporting). Overall 44 passenger railway undertakings in Europe sent answers through questionnaire to the Joint Sector Group (JSG).

In order to establish a wider sector representation, 20 passenger RUs from 6th TAF reporting session, which have not replied through 7th TAF reporting session, are also taken into consideration. For 32 passengers RUs having reported to both surveys, only the information from the 7th session is included.

4.2.4.1 Implementation status in the 2nd half of 2018 of company codes function

Figure 124 is indicating the existence and use of company codes (CC) as part of the Common Reference Files for IMs and RUs-P. For CCs only two predefined percentage steps exist, because either a company does have an own CC or not.

The vast majority of companies having replied to the query possess a CC. However, the absolute number for RUs-P is higher than for IMs.



Figure 124: Common Reference Files – Company Codes (CC): level of fulfilment

According to Figure 125, the number of RUs-P with CCs slightly grew (from 27 to 29), with degree of implementation equal to 69,91%.





Figure 125: Evolution of implementation for Company Codes (CC)

4.2.4.2 Implementation status in the 2nd half of 2017 of the common interface function

Figure 126 summarises the feedback related to the availability of CI and shows a difference in level of fulfilment between IMs and RUs-P. The CI is completely implemented by 18 IMs and 4 RUs-P.



Figure 126: Common Reference Files – Common Interface (CI): level of fulfilment



The developments of complete implementation of the CI over time according to Figure 127 shows again the relation to the number of responses per company type. 50% of IMs have already finished the implementation of the CI. However, with completion being at 9,09% of responding companies, the majority of RUs-P is still developing.



Figure 127: Evolution of implementation for Common Interface (CI)

4.2.4.3 Train Running Information

The Target Implementation Milestone for realisation of the Train Running Information message (TRI) according to the TAP TSI Masterplan was end of 2017 for IMs and is end of 2018 for passenger RUs (RUs-P). This monitoring concerns only one aspect of the TAP TSI basic parameter 'Train running forecast', the Train Running Information message. The Train Information System (TIS) is a common sector tool managed by RNE. Messages sent by IMs to TIS or messages received by RUs from TIS through traditional interfaces are considered as 75 % complete fulfilment and TAF messages sent or received by Common Interface are counted as 100 % fulfilment.

Figure 128 indicates 12 IMs and 5 RUs-P with 100 % level of fulfilment. This leads to a degree of implementation for IMs and RUs-F having reported to the JSG Reporting Tool of about 35 % and 10 %.





Figure 128: Train Running Information (TRI): level of fulfilment

4.3 Publication of the conditions of carriage and access conditions

The railway undertakings are obliged to provide to the passengers the information about the conditions of carriage, registered luggage and the access conditions for PRM, and bikes as laid down in the TAP TSI basic parameters 4.2.4.1, 4.2.5.1, 4.2.6.1, 4.2.7.1. and 4.2.8.1. These basic parameters had to be implemented until 11 November 2011 ("The first publication shall take place at the latest 6 months after this TSI comes into force.").

As described in chapter 2.1. and in Table 5., the Report about the implementation of the conditions for carriage is to be done once per year so the next report will be created in December 2018.

4.4 Evolution of TAP TSI regulatory functions at European level

The implementation of the TAP TSI regulatory function is only slowly progressing in Europe. The following table shows the progress of the implementation, compared with the previous two reports published by ERA. The following table is created based on last updates received from TSGA (25/07/2017, 08/09/2017 and 26/03/2018):



Milestone	Planned date	Actual (planned) date	<i>Degree of fulfilment 01.09.2016.</i>	Degree of fulfilment 01.07.2017.	Degree of fulfilment 26.03.2018.
Setup of the TAP TSI governance body	01/10/2013	31/12/2016	75%	100%	100%
Setup of the Retail reference database	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	N/A	50 %	50 %
Setup of the TAP TSI registry	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	N/A	50 %	50 %
Setup of the Data quality tool	01/10/2014	01/12/2018	N/A	25 %	50 %

Table 9: Progress of implementation of TAP TSI regulatory functions

- For the implementation of the TAP TSI regulatory functions there is a significant progress for the setup of the governance and the project initiation for the regulatory functions (retail reference database, registry, data quality tool) visible.
- However the published dates for the regulatory functions in December 2018 are not satisfying at all. The delay of the implementation of these functions – compared with the TAP TSI Master Plan – would be in December 2018 4 years and 2 months.
- This huge delay of the implementation of the regulatory functions will most likely trigger further delays in the implementation of the TAP TSI in the individual passenger railway undertakings. Especially the crucial pats like the RRD and the registry have an impact on the implementation of the TAP TSI.

4.5 Evolution of TAP TSI retail functions at Member state level

The current report is the third report about the implementation progress for the TAP TSI retail functions by the railway undertakings and ticket vendors. Therefore it is not possible to create a useful analysis based on few data items only. The evolution will be done after sufficient number of basic parameters will be covered.

4.6 Evolution of TAP TSI RU/IM functions at Member state level

The current report is the 3rd report about the implementation progress for the TAP TSI RU/IM functions by the railway undertakings. Therefore it is not possible to create a useful analysis based on few data items only. The evolution will be done after sufficient number of basic parameters will be covered.



4.7 Analysis of risks and issues

In the questionnaire the railway undertakings have been asked to provide data about the risks and issues for the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameters, subject to the reporting.

The following risks were asked in the questionnaire:

- The dependency on other railway undertakings or distribution systems
- Lack of financial resources
- Possible technical limitations
- Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents
- Others

The following issues were asked in the questionnaire:

- Internal redesign of distribution systems
- Lack of financial resources
- No benefits seen
- Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents
- Others

These answers were analysed in more detail by ERA. It has been analysed:

- a) which functions are affected by this risk or issue
- b) which member states are mostly affected

Table 10: Risks for TAP TSI implementation

	Affected basic parameters	Affected member states
The dependency on other railway undertakings or distribution systems	All reported basic parameters affected	CZ, DE, ES, HU, IT, LT, NL, PL, PT, SK, UK
Lack of financial resources	All reported basic parameters affected	BG, CZ, DE, ES, IT, LT, PL
Possible technical limitations	All reported basic parameters affected	CZ, DE, IT, LT, PL
Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents	All reported basic parameters affected	DE, ES, UK
Others	All reported basic parameters affected	DE, ES, SK, PL, NL



Table 11: issues for TAP TSI implementation by member state				
	Affected basic parameters	Affected member states		
Internal redesign of distribution systems	Ticketing basic parameters, provision of timetable and tariff data	DE, ES, HU, IT,LT, PL, UK		
Lack of financial resources	All reported basic parameters affected	BG, CZ, DE, IT, LT, PL		
No benefits seen	Issuing of value paper tickets	DE, ES, IT, LT, PL		
	Provision of tariff and timetable data			
	Send reservation for bikes and cars			
Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents	All reported basic parameters affected	DE, UK		
Others	All reported basic parameters affected	DE, PL, ES		

The issues "Others" are mainly issues where other railway undertakings are not using the same standards (e.g. for reservation requests for PRM), the service is not offered (e.g. for reservation for car-carrying trains) or the market asks for other data formats (e.g. for timetable data).

The analysis of the main risks and issues has shown the following results:

One of the main risks and issues is the "dependency on other railway undertakings or distribution systems". This is especially the case when reservation messages have to be exchanged. It has to be checked what is the reason for this issue. The reservation messages for seats, bikes and trains are using the TAP TSI standards for many reservation systems, based on UIC standards, now technical documents of the TAP TSI. If the systems are developed according to these standards there should not be any issue with the dependency on other distribution systems. For the exchange of data (timetable, tariff), the issue/risk cannot be understood at all, because the data can be exchanged without any interaction and dependency on other systems.

The lack of financial resources is a risk/issue in some member states.

Technical limitations are seen only by few member states as a problem for the TAP TSI implementation.

The frequently raised issue of "Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents" has been raised only by Germany, United Kingdom and to less extend by Spain. It would be helpful to analyse, why this issue is limited to those few member states only.

Analysis of share of each country in total number of reported risks/issues, taking into account the market shares of companies, showed that great majority of risks/issues were reported by German companies. In great number of parameters that number was even exceeding 90%. It is reasonable to conclude that proper action in relation to the issues/risks raised by German companies could lead to elimination of implementation problems in great number of observed parameters.



Some risks/issues reported (especially the issue of "Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents") require further clarification from respective Project Managers so those risks/issues could be properly treated and finally resolved.

Issue	ERA's position/understanding of the issue	Action
Internal redesign of distribution systems	The internal systems of the railway undertakings for the distributions are under redevelopment or redesign. The implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameters is not possible.	The project managers of the reporting companies should provide more information, why the implementation of the TAP TSI basic parameters is not possible in a redesign phase of the distribution systems (e.g. technical limitations, business decisions)
Lack of financial resources	N/A	A presentation of INEA in the next TAP TSI co-operation group should show the funding possibilities for TAP TSI implementation.
No benefits seen	N/A	The project managers of the reporting companies should provide more information, why the implementation of the TAP TSI brings no benefit to them.
Stability of [TAP TSI] baseline documents	The TAP TSI technical documents are not stable enough for an implementation.	The project managers of the reporting companies should provide more information, which technical documents are seen as not stable enough for the implementation.

Table 12: Issues for TAP TSI implementation and possible actions


5 Conclusions

The implementation of the TAP TSI is delayed significantly. The delay is visible in most of the covered reporting streams: the reporting about the TAP TSI governance and the regulatory functions, the implementation of the TAP TSI retail basic parameters by the railway undertakings and ticket vendors as well.

The governance framework (TSGA) for the coordinated development of the TAP TSI implementation is now in place and operational. Therefore, the first milestone to implement the TAP TSI governance has been achieved.

The implementation of the regulatory functions (TAP TSI registry, retail reference database, data quality tool) is delayed by more than four years and a further delay of overall 5 years is already visible. This will trigger most likely further delays for the implementation of the regulatory functions of the TAP TSI architecture and the implementation of the TAP TSI retail functions by the passenger railway undertakings as well. Therefore, it has to be considered that the TAP TSI is currently significantly delayed in the implementation.

For the implementation of the TAP TSI retail basic parameters the implementation progress of the requested basic parameters for ticketing and reservation message exchange is low. Many undertakings stated, that they are not subject to the implementation of TAP TSI basic parameters.

For the progress of the TAP TSI implementation for <u>reservation basic parameters</u> the following conclusions can be made:

- For the reservation message exchange, either sending or receiving, there is a high level of implementation of those reservation messages for the incumbent railway undertakings. With the applied weighting factor 58 % of the undertakings are sending or answering reservation request for seat reservations.
- For the small and medium size railway undertakings who have reported the degree of implementation there is almost no intention to implement these functions. The explanation is in many cases that their trains are not subject to reservation (e.g. local trains only) and therefore there is no need to implement reservation messages, neither as railway undertaking nor as issuer of seat reservations.
- A further progress for these basic parameters is therefore difficult.

For the progress of the TAP TSI implementation for <u>ticketing basic parameters</u> the following conclusions can be made:

- For the ticketing of international or foreign sales, either issuing or accepting, there is a high level of implementation of these functions for the incumbent railway undertakings. With the applied weighting factor 63 % of the railway undertakings are issuing and 71 % of the railway undertakings are accepting tickets in RCT2 format. For home printed tickets 54 % of the railway undertakings are issuing and 49 % of the railway undertakings are accepting those tickets.
- For the small and medium size undertakings there are only few projects ongoing for the implementation of international ticketing, either on a RCT2 ticket format or as home printed ticket.

For the progress of the TAP TSI implementation for **tariff data exchange basic parameters** the following conclusions can be made:



- The implementation of the tariff data exchange for the NRT- and the IRT-tariff data is low. Only approx. 30% of the railway undertakings reported the successful implementation of the tariff data exchange.
- Few companies are in the implementation process for this basic parameter. Therefore a significant increase of the degree of implementation cannot be expected.
- Based on the fact that these data are available in the TAP TSI format, it has to be checked how these data can be provided to the ticket vendors to allow them the implementation of their TAP TSI basic parameters concerning the tariff data exchange.

For the progress of the TAP TSI implementation for <u>timetable data exchange basic parameters</u> the following conclusions can be made:

- For the timetable data exchange the implementation progress is very good. Almost 80 % of the affected railway undertakings have implemented this basic parameter, 45 % in operation and 33 % in pilot testing phase.
- For the small and medium size undertakings there are only few projects ongoing for the implementation of timetable data exchange.
- Based on the fact that these data are available in the TAP TSI format, it has to be checked how these data can be provided to the ticket vendors to allow them the implementation of their TAP TSI basic parameters concerning the timetable data exchange



6 Recommendation / actions to be taken

ERA recommends the following actions to accelerate the TAP TSI implementation:

a) Action - TSGA:

The TSGA should provide the three common services for TAP TSI, namely

-) TAP TSI retail architecture
- **)** TAP TSI retail reference database
-) TAP TSI data quality tool.
- b) Action List of actors' contacts:

The NCPs and TV organizations shall update to the Agency the contact details of RUs, SMs, IMs and TVs from their countries / organizations subject to the TAP TSI as to ensure that the CSG and Agency can ask them to start reporting about the TAP Master Plan functions to the TAP TSI co-operation group and then to the EC. Furthermore the list will be used to improve the reporting about the conditions of carriage and the access conditions.

c) Action – Ticket vendors:

The ticket vendors should establish the operational reporting procedure for the report of the implementation progress of the TAP TSI.

d) Action – NCP, ERA, CSG, JSG:

It should be checked how the response rate for the questionnaires can be raised. It should be checked if a translation of the questionnaire may improve the response rate. The translation may be provided by the NCP's, if they consider the translation as useful for an improved response rate.

The risks and issues shall be combined in one question for the next questionnaire.

e) Action – NCP, ERA, CSG, JSG:

The identified risks and issues shall be discussed in the next co-operation group in detail, taking into account the member states affected, the impact of these risks and issues on the further implementation of the TAP TSI.



Annex 1 Report provided in March 2018 by the TSGA

TAP TSI Implementation Report Volume 3

Background

In accordance with Commission Regulation (EU) No 454/2011 on the TSI relating to telematics applications for passengers (TAP TSI), the TSGA/TAP TSI project team is kindly asked to provide the current status of the TAP TSI implementation compared with the masterplan delivered in 2012. Please use for your reporting the target implementation date for these functions as reported in the TAP TSI master plan (http://www.era.europa.eu/Document-

Register/Documents/ERA_Technical_Document_TAP_B_62_FINAL.pdf).

This report contains two question groups related to the current implementation status of the TAP TSI:

- TSI entity formation
- Common services deployment
 - o TAP TSI retail architecture
 - o TAP TSI retail reference database
 - o TAP TSI data quality tool

General Information:

TAP TSI Services Governance Association

Vittorio Carta, TSGA General Manager

c/o CER, Avenue des Arts 53, 1000 Bruxelles

This 3nd reporting session starts on 2nd January 2018 and ends on 26th January 2018.

Definitions:

- **Issue:** An issue is a problem which has actually occurred and either has a positive or a negative effect on a project chances of achieving its objectives.
- **Risks:** Risk is an uncertain event that, if it occurs, will have a positive or negative effect on a project objective.



TSI entity formation (TSGA)

(to be declared by the TAP TSI project team only)

TAP TSI entity masterplan end date

Date as declared in the TAP TSI masterplan (ERA TAP TSI TD B.62):

01/10/2013

Current planned end date

Please enter a date:

01/12/2016

Please insert the updated end date of the function, even if there is no deviation from the masterplan.

Please insert the **<u>risks</u>**, which may affect the planned end date:

n/a

Percentage of fulfilment					
Please choose only one of the following:					
0	%:				
25	5%:				
50)%:				
75	5%:				
10	00%:.	\boxtimes			
0% -	% - Level 1: Not started - Project not launched				
25% -	Level 2: Initiating phase - Implementation plan is available in the company				
50% -	50% - Level 3: Planning phase - Project development				

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



75% - Level 4: Executing phase - Pilot project / System testing100% - Level 5: In-Production & Monitor and Control

Please insert the **issues**, found during the implementation:

As per today only DSB has joined TSGA in 2017, therefore limited stakeholder interest to join the TSGA as initial members; length of process for official approval on the part of Belgian authorities.

Common services deployment

Setup of the Retail reference database (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)

TAP TSI entity masterplan end date

Date as declared in the TAP TSI masterplan (ERA TAP TSI TD B.62):

01/10/2014

Current planned end date

Please enter a date:

01/12/2018

Please insert the updated end date of the function, even if there is no deviation from the masterplan.

Please insert the <u>risks</u>, which may affect the planned end date:

Potential suppliers identified for Beauty Contest (launched in March 2018) did not finally qualify for the execution phase; therefore TSGA final decision about chosen supplier (envisaged in June 2018) delayed/not possible. Risk of setting multiple standards in the sector: contradictory encoding (e.g. location codes) compared to existing schemes; increasing costs for IT-services development; applicability of IT-services; lack of stakeholder interest; revision of legal framework requiring further adaptations of established project plan.

Percentage of fulfilment

Please choose **only one** of the following:

 \square

0 %: ...

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



2	25%:	
5	50%:	\boxtimes
7	75%:	
1	.00%:.	
0% - 25% - 50% - 75% - 100% -	Level 1: No Level 2: In Level 3: Pla Level 4: Ex Level 5: In	ot started - Project not launched tiating phase - Implementation plan is available in the company anning phase - Project development ecuting phase - Pilot project / System testing Production & Monitor and Control
Please i	insert the <u>iss</u>	ues, found during the implementation:
Specific	c expertise; d	elivery time after assignment.

Setup of the TAP TSI registry (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)

TAP TSI entity masterplan end date

Date as declared in the TAP TSI masterplan (ERA TAP TSI TD B.62):

01/10/2014

Current planned end date

Please enter a date:

01/12/2018

Please insert the updated end date of the function, even if there is no deviation from the masterplan.

Please insert the <u>risks</u>, which may affect the planned end date:

Potential suppliers identified for Beauty Contest (launched in March 2018) did not finally qualify for the execution phase; therefore TSGA final decision about chosen supplier (envisaged in June 2018) delayed/not possible. Risk of setting multiple standards in the sector: contradictory encoding (e.g. location codes) compared to existing schemes; increasing costs for IT-services development; applicability of IT-services; lack of stakeholder interest; revision of legal framework requiring further adaptations of established project plan.

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



Percentage of fulfilment			
Please choose only one of the following:			
0 %: . 25%: 50%:			
75%: 100%	···· □ 6:. □		
0% - Le 25% - Le 50% - Le 75% - Le 100% - Le	evel 1: Not started - Project not launched evel 2: Initiating phase - Implementation plan is available in the company evel 3: Planning phase - Project development evel 4: Executing phase - Pilot project / System testing evel 5: In-Production & Monitor and Control		
Please insert the issues , found during the implementation:			
Specific expertise; delivery time after assignment.			

Setup of the Data quality tool (to be declared by the TSGA/TAP TSI project team only)

TAP TSI entity masterplan end date

Date as declared in the TAP TSI masterplan (ERA TAP TSI TD B.62):

01/10/2014

Current planned end date

Please enter a date:

01/12/2018

Please insert the updated end date of the function, even if there is no deviation from the masterplan.

Please insert the **<u>risks</u>**, which may affect the planned end date:

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



Potential suppliers identified for Beauty Contest (launched in March 2018) did not finally qualify for the execution phase; therefore TSGA final decision about chosen supplier (envisaged in June 2018) delayed/not possible. Risk of setting multiple standards in the sector: contradictory encoding (e.g. location codes) compared to existing schemes; increasing costs for IT-services development; applicability of IT-services; lack of stakeholder interest; revision of legal framework requiring further adaptations of established project plan.

Percentage of fulfilment			
Please choose only one of the following:			
0 %: □ 25%: □ 50%: ⊠ 75%: □ 100%:. □			
 0% - Level 1: Not started - Project not launched 25% - Level 2: Initiating phase - Implementation plan is available in the company 50% - Level 3: Planning phase - Project development 75% - Level 4: Executing phase - Pilot project / System testing 100% - Level 5: In-Production & Monitor and Control 			
Please insert the <u>issues</u> , found during the implementation: Specific expertise; delivery time after assignment.			

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



Annex 2 Responses contact list

Nr.	Country	Type of company	Company name	Reporting Entity
1	BG	RU	"BDZ Passenger Services" Ltd.	
2	СН	RU	SBB AG, Passenger Division	
3	CZ	RU	Ceske drahy, a.s.	
4	CZ	RU	Leo Express	
5	DE	RU	DB Fernverkehr AG	DB AG
6	DE	RU	DB Regio AG	DB AG
7	DE	RU	DB RegioNetz Verkehr GmbH	DB AG
8	DE	RU	DB ZugBus Regionalverkehr Alb-Bodensee GmbH	DB AG
9	DE	RU	S-Bahn Hamburg GmbH	DB AG
10	DE	RU	S-Bahn Berlin GmbH	DB AG
11	DK	RU & SM	DSB	
12	DK	RU & SM	Lokaltog	
13	DK	RU & SM	Nordjyske Jernbaner	
14	DK	RU & SM	Midtjyske	
15	DK	RU & SM	Arriva	
16	ES	RU	RENFE VIAJEROS	
17	FI	RU	VR Group	
18	FR	RU	SNCF Mobility	
19	HU	RU	GYSEV Zrt.	
20	IT	RU	Trasporto Passeggeri Emilia Romagna	
21	IT	RU	SNCF Voyages Italia S.r.l.	
22	IT	RU	FERROVIE DEL GARGANO	
23	IT	RU	Italo - Nuovo Trasporto Viaggiatori S.p.A.	
24	IT	RU	Trenitalia S.p.A.	
25	IT	RU	Trasporto Ferroviario Toscano SpA	
26	IT	RU	TRENTINO TRASPORTI ESERCIZIO SPA	
27	IT	RU	SAD - Trasporto Locale SpA	
28	LT	RU & SM	JSC "Lithuanian Railways"	
29	LU	RU & SM	CFL	
30	NL	RU	NS International	
31	PL	RU & SM	PKP Szybka Kolej Miejska w Trójmieście Sp. z o.o.	
32	PL	RU	"Koleje Mazowieckie - KM" sp. z o.o.	
33	PL	RU	Koleje Śląskie Spółka z o.o.	
34	PL	RU	Łódzka Kolej Aglomeracyjna Sp. z o.o.	
Nr.	Country	Type of company	Company name	Reporting Entity

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex

Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu

Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.



35	PL	RU	Koleje Dolnośląskie S.A.	
36	PT	RU & SM	Fertagus, S.A.	
37	PT	RU	CP - Comboios de Portugal, E.P.E.	
38	SE	RU	Hector Rail AB	
39	SK	RU	Železničná spoločnosť Slovensko, a.s.	
40	UK	RU	Arriva Trains Wales	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
41	UK	RU	C2C	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
42	UK	RU	Caledonia Sleeper	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
43	UK	RU	Chiltern Railways	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
44	UK	RU	CrossCountry	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
45	UK	RU	East Midlands Trains	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
46	UK	RU	Gatwick Express	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
47	UK	RU	Grand Central	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
48	UK	RU	Great Northern	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
49	UK	RU	Great Western Railway	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
50	UK	RU	Greater Anglia	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
51	UK	RU	Heathrow Connect	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
52	UK	RU	Heathrow Express	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
53	UK	RU	Hull Trains	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
54	UK	RU	Island Line	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
55	UK	RU	London Midland	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
56	UK	RU	London Overground	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
57	UK	RU	Merseyrail	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
58	UK	RU	Northern	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
59	UK	RU	ScotRail	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
60	UK	RU	South West Trains	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
61	UK	RU	Southeastern	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
62	UK	RU	Southern	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
63	UK	RU	Stansted Express	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
64	UK	RU	TfL Rail	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
65	UK	RU	Thameslink	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
66	UK	RU	TransPennine Express	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
67	UK	RU	Virgin Trains	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)
68	UK	RU	Virgin Trains East Coast	Rail Delivery Group (RDG)

120 Rue Marc Lefrancq | BP 20392 | FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex Tel. +33 (0)327 09 65 00 | era.europa.eu Any printed copy is uncontrolled. The version in force is available on Agency's intranet.