Training in Budapest, Hungary Risk of misunderstanding of signaling by the driver in Belgium

26-30 June 2017 J-M Dechamps, Safety Unit





#### Purpose of the presentation

• Illustrate, by an example, the complexity of signaling systems and possible effects on the train drivers.

#### Key points of the presentation

- Some aspects of the signaling system in Belgium
- Impact on complexity
- Effect on train drivers



### Side signals in use in Belgium

- In Belgium, for increasing flexibility and availability, the tracks may be run in both directions on daily normal operations.
- Normal direction on track: Side signals with fixed light on the left.





Next signal red



• Deviated direction: Side signals with flashing light on the right.





#### Operations on normal and deviated directions

Normal direction on left track, deviated direction on right track. Nominal speed 120 km/h in the example here below but in transition speed limited to 50 km/h.



Transition normal-deviated directions often at reduced speed.



- Use of normal or deviated directions decided by:
  - Temporary situation: e.g. Maintenance work on the infrastructure
  - Normal planned operations: increasing of availability of lines. Registered in route and rule books.
- Increased complexity in normal planned operations:
  - Different operation patterns: e.g. in the working week, plan to run on normal direction. In Week-end, plan to run on deviated direction.
  - Number of transitions not limited; in particular on complex crossings (crossing lines).

# → Possibility of running in both directions in planned and unplanned operations increase complexity



### Additional complexity (examples)

- Old infrastructure (>100 years)→ numerous continual modifications of lines and tracks.
  - One effect: The side signals may be placed exceptionally on left or right side in normal direction depending appropriate space.
- CCS system: Class B (national) and ETCS
  - Transitions signal not protected ←→Class B system (national)←→ETCS
- Limited annual budgets for modernization and maintenance
- Necessity to maintain huge traffic during modernization and maintenance work on infrastructure.
- Mixed traffic passenger-freight

## $\rightarrow$ Potential increase of complexity



- In many situations the train drivers have to manage transitions therefore increase of necessary attention (Am I in normal or deviated directions? Which CCS situation? Normal operation or temporary restrictions?)
- Accidents and incidents reports show that inexperienced drivers and drivers back after period of inactivity (e.g. holidays) are more often involved in accidents and incidents
- It seems that the complexity of the infrastructure system in which the train drivers work is an aspect to take into account for risk evaluations (and control of risks!)
- Maintaining the risks at acceptable levels implies the collaboration of RUs and IMs regarding the complexity.



Making the railway system work better for society.

Follow us on Twitter: @ERA\_railways