

ANNEX 1

ANNEX 2**Light Impact Assessment****PRM TSI Deficiencies**

	<i>Elaborated by</i>	<i>Validated by</i>	<i>Approved by</i>
<i>Name</i>	Oana Gherghinescu	Antoine Defossez	Jens Engelmann
<i>Position</i>	Economic evaluation officer	Project officer	Head of unit
<i>Date</i>	12/06/2017	12/06/2017	12/06/2017
<i>Signature</i>			

Document History

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Comments</i>
1.0	12/06/2017	

1. Context and problem definition

1.1. Problem and problem drivers	The following deficiencies have been identified in the Categories of basic parameters (table 3) of PRM TSI: 1. missing titles for the “Basic parameters” under rows 3 and 5 2. no clear reference to section 4 of the PRM TSI for the “Functional requirements only” under rows 12, 13 and 15.
1.2. Main assumptions	For the Infrastructure subsystem, the TSI PRM makes a difference between the basic parameters for which technically detailed requirements are provided and the basic parameters that are covered only by functional requirements in order to avoid the creation of railway specific requirements where they are not necessary. Table 3 is useful to make this categorization as clear as possible.
1.3. Stakeholders affected	All stakeholders directly or indirectly targeted by PRM TSI are affected by this deficiency (medium degree of importance).
1.4. Evidence and magnitude of the problem	NB-Rail has identified the deficiencies and made the European Commission aware of them.
1.5. Baseline scenario	If no action is taken, deficiencies will persist in Table 3.

2. Objectives

2.1. Strategic and specific objectives	To improve the clarity, completeness and efficient application of the PRM TSI.
---	--

3. Options

3.1. List of options	There was no need to assess multiple options as the direction of action is straight-forward, i.e. correcting the deficiencies identified.
3.2. Description of options	The Agency proposes a corrected version of Table 3 to address the deficiencies raised by NB-Rail by: 1. adding the missing titles for the “Basic parameters” - rows 3 and 5 2. making a clear reference to section 4 of the PRM TSI for the “Functional requirements only” - rows 12, 13 and 15. There is no need for a further assessment of this option because it only entails benefits (improved clarity of the legal text) and no costs.