Comment sheet TEM\_REC\_003 V. 1.0



Making the railway system work better for society.

## **Document Review – Comment Sheet**

## Working draft TAF TSI Revision, V 1.0:

| Requestor:                        | ES NSA     |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Deadline for submitting comments: | 13/04/2020 |

|               | Reviewer 1                      | Reviewer 2 | Reviewer 3 | Reviewer 4 | Reviewer 5 |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Date:         | 27/04/2020                      |            |            |            |            |
| Name:         | María Díaz Urios                |            |            |            |            |
| Organisation: | AESF                            |            |            |            |            |
| Email:        | mdurios@seguridadferroviaria.es |            |            |            |            |

## Document History

| Version | Date       | Comments                            |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1.0     | 18/12/2019 | Version for the public consultation |
|         |            |                                     |
|         |            |                                     |
|         |            |                                     |
|         |            |                                     |

Conventions:

| Type of Comment |               | Reply by requestor |                              |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| G               | General       | R                  | Rejected                     |  |
| М               | Mistake       | Α                  | Accepted                     |  |
| U               | Understanding | D                  | Discussion necessary         |  |
| Р               | Proposal      | NWC                | Noted without need to change |  |

Review Comments < if necessary add extra lines in the table>

| N°       | Reference<br>(e.g. Art, §) | Туре | Reviewer | Reviewer's Comments, Questions, Proposals   | Reply | Proposal for the correction or justification for the rejection |
|----------|----------------------------|------|----------|---|-------|--|
| 1.       | Point (2),<br>Appendix III | P    | ES NSA   | Delete the last sentence of point 2, appendix III.<br>According to article 23 of regulation (EU)<br>2016/796, the system authority is the Agency and,<br>therefore, the responsible for solving and<br>supporting any concerns and views that may arise<br>from the requirements established by it.<br>It is not possible to delegate this function to the<br>NCPs as indicated in point 2 of appendix III of the<br>TSI new version, considering also that the<br>regulation has to be directly applied by RUs, IMs,<br>customers and EUAR as the authority of the<br>system. NCPs should act as interlocutors between<br>EUAR and stakeholders, but never act as technical<br>supporters of the system.<br>_"(2) Communicate the TAF TSI implementation<br>and operation concerns and views of the railway<br>players in the Member State to the TAF/TAP<br>Steering Committee after analysis by the<br>Implementation Cooperation Group. Support the<br>railway players in solving those concerns and<br>views." |       |  |
| 2.<br>3. |                            |      |          |   |       |  |

Note: This table could be changed according to the requestor's needs

Please read carefully the Privacy Statement below before submitting your comments.

<u>http://www.era.europa.eu/Pages/Privacy-Statement-Agency-Consultations.aspx</u>

☑ I have read the Privacy Statement and I accept the processing of my personal data under Regulation (EC) 45/2001.

I accept that the comments I have submitted can be published on the ERA website along with: 🛛 my name 🛛 my e-mail address