

Making the railway system
work better for society.

Document Review – Comment Sheet: TAP_JSG-CSG by EIM_(28-10-2021) v0.2

Document commented (name/version):

RECOMMENDATION ERA-REC-122 OF THE EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR RAILWAYS on the technical specification for interoperability relating to the subsystem 'telematics applications for passenger services' of the Union rail system, V2.0

<i>Requestor:</i>	ERA
<i>Deadline for submitting comments:</i>	31/10/2021

	<i>Reviewer 1</i>	<i>Reviewer 2</i>	<i>Reviewer 3</i>	<i>Reviewer 4</i>	<i>Reviewer 5</i>
<i>Date:</i>	2021-10-25	2021-10-28			
<i>Name:</i>	Javier Moreno	Yann Seimandi			
<i>Organisation:</i>	EIM	CER/JSG-CSG			
<i>Email:</i>	Javier.moreno@eimrail.org	Yann.Seimandi@cer.be			

Document History

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Comments</i>
0.1	25-10-2021	Complementary elements submitted by EIM to JSG-CSG

0.2	28-10-2021	Complementary JSG-CSG comments as proposed by EIM
0.3		

Conventions:

<i>Type of Comment</i>		<i>Reply by requestor</i>	
<i>G</i>	General	<i>R</i>	Rejected
<i>M</i>	Mistake	<i>A</i>	Accepted
<i>U</i>	Understanding	<i>D</i>	Discussion necessary
<i>P</i>	Proposal	<i>NWC</i>	Noted without need to change

Review Comments <if necessary add extra lines in the table>

<i>N°</i>	<i>Reference (e.g. Art, §)</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Reviewer</i>	<i>Reviewer's Comments, Questions, Proposals</i>	<i>Reply</i>	<i>Proposal for the correction or justification for the rejection</i>
-----------	------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------	--	--------------	---

4.	4.2.18.3	U	JSG-CSG by EIM	<p>Text is not clear and sections seems to be contradicting each other</p> <p>The sentence below is quite hard to understand and does not clearly clarify which stakeholder sends the TrainDelayCause message to SM, TV and TO.</p> <p><i>As soon as a cause of delay is known (first assumption), and in case of update on the cause of delay, it should be provided by the IM to the ResponsibleRU by the separate Train Delay Cause Message to the station manager, ticket vendor and tour operator.</i></p> <p>Although next section is clarifying the previous section and would be sufficient:</p> <p><i>Information on the train delay cause shall be delivered by the railway undertakings and/or infrastructure managers in due time to the station manager, ticket vendor and tour operator under the conditions in article 10 of regulation (EU) 2021/782.</i></p> <p>Proposal:</p> <p>Delete the end of the sentence of the first paragraph as follow which is only a very unclear repetition of the content of the next parapgraph:</p>		
----	----------	---	-------------------	---	--	--

N°	Reference (e.g. Art, §)	Type	Reviewer	Reviewer's Comments, Questions, Proposals	Reply	Proposal for the correction or justification for the rejection
				<p>As soon as a cause of delay is known (first assumption), and in case of update on the cause of delay, it should be provided by the IM to the ResponsibleRU by the separate Train Delay Cause Message to the station manager, ticket vendor and tour operator.</p> <p>Information on the train delay cause shall be delivered by the railway undertakings and/or infrastructure managers in due time to the station manager, ticket vendor and tour operator under the conditions in article 10 of regulation (EU) 2021/782</p>		
8.	TAP 4.3.2 Table 2	M	JSG-CSG by EIM	<p>In Table 2 line "TrainRunningInformation", the reference to TAF TSI should be completed as follow:</p> <p>4.2.5.3 Train running information and Train Delay Cause message</p> <p>To be consistently corrected in both TAF TSI and TAP TSI.</p>		
8.bis	TAP 4.3.1 Table 1	M	JSG-CSG	<p>In Table 1, title of the L&P TSI should be updated and changed to</p> <p>Reference Conventional Rail Rolling Stock TSI's Reference Rolling Stock - Locomotives and Passengers TSI</p>		

<i>N°</i>	<i>Reference (e.g. Art, §)</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Reviewer</i>	<i>Reviewer's Comments, Questions, Proposals</i>	<i>Reply</i>	<i>Proposal for the correction or justification for the rejection</i>
13.	TAP 4.2.1.1	M	JSG-CSG by EIM	<p>Describing the main content of the Time Table, it is referred to PRM TSI clause 4.2.4 for "Accessibility of the train".</p> <p>Although, clause 4.2.4 doesn't exist in the PRM TSI.</p> <p>Note that reference to PRM TSI:2022 should be made taken into account revised text of ERA REC-128-2.</p>		

Note: This table could be changed according to the requestor's needs

Please read carefully the Data Protection Notice below before submitting your comments.

<https://www.era.europa.eu/content/data-protection#meeting1>

I have read the Data Protection Notice and I accept the processing of my personal data accordingly.

I accept that the comments I have submitted can be published on the ERA website along with: my name my e-mail address