



# ERTMS Deployment in Europe: Progress Since 2024 and the Role of the Rail Supply Industry

**Enno Wiebe**

**ERA ERTMS Conference 2026**

# What did we ask for in 2024?

---

- ▶ At the ERA ERTMS 2024 Conference, we presented the following points to improve cost efficiency and accelerate ERTMS rollout:
  - ▶ Focus on requirements:
    - ▶ Technical: abstain from project specific and national requirements
    - ▶ Operational: develop harmonised operational rules for Europe
      - ▶ The elimination of trackside signals and use of cab signalling enable the implementation of harmonised operational rules.
  - ▶ Regulatory: Simplify authorisation process



**In 2026, these points remain just as relevant and valid as when they were presented in 2024.**



# Where do we stand in 2026?

- ▶ As of the end of 2024, **only 17% of the TEN-T core network is equipped with ETCS** (approx. 10,600–12,400 km) and **around 19% of the EU railway fleet** (≈8,730 vehicles) equipped.
- ▶ The 2026 Third ERTMS Work Plan, by the European ERTMS Coordinator, and associated TEN-T monitoring documents provide a very clear message: *“deployment on the TEN-T network remains significantly behind schedule and structurally uneven across Member States”*.
- ▶ The **transition away from legacy Class B national signalling systems is progressing too slowly** to comply with TEN-T regulatory obligations and to ensure timely interoperability.



# Insights from the latest NIPs

---

- ▶ Despite alignment with EU targets, delays, fragmented coordination, slow onboard uptake, and national differences continue to hinder effective ETCS deployment.
- ▶ For the radio part, the situation is no better:
  - ▶ no homogeneous, Europe-wide approach to FRMCS migration and GSM-R decommissioning
- ▶ This lack of alignment results in
  - ▶ long GSM-R/FRMCS coexistence periods
  - ▶ an uncoordinated phase-out,
  - ▶ timelines stretching from the early 2030s well into the 2040s
  - ▶ increasing cross-border complexity and coordination risks.

Consequence: Defining a FRMCS transition roadmap for existing vehicles is challenging under these circumstances.

# FRMCS upgrade of existing ETCS on-board

---

- ▶ Not only creating the FRMCS specifications is a challenge. We have to have a roadmap how to migrate existing vehicles to FRMCS.
  - ▶ Acknowledge the need for FRMCS readiness as a top priority
  - ▶ Scale up industrial capacity based on demand
- ▶ Major transition steps need to be carefully assessed and discussed.

**“ The industry is ready to increase the capacity if the demand is clear. ”**

# Deployment status

---

- ▶ Stronger political support
  - ▶ The debate is no longer whether to deploy ERTMS, but how and when
- ▶ However, major challenges remain
  - ▶ Evolution of regulatory framework
  - ▶ Need for stable and sufficient financing
  - ▶ Need for better coordination
  - ▶ Fragmented implementation across countries
  - ▶ Need to move from project-based to network-wide deployment
  - ▶ Complexity of upgrading existing infrastructure

“ ERTMS momentum is clear. Execution, coordination, and financing are the true bottlenecks. ”

# The role of the rail supply industry

- ▶ Over the past 25 years, companies have invested heavily in helping to create and maintain the specifications.
- ▶ Since the beginning of ERTMS, the supply industry has consistently proposed improvements, both in terms of technical requirements and authorisation processes.
- ▶ The industry has repeatedly highlighted that the volume of requirements is excessively high.
- ▶ A key example is FRMCS, where the focus on the essential scope is not correct; priority should first be given to equivalence with GSM-R.
- ▶ Funding has been continuously reduced despite the increasing complexity.
- ▶ Core issue: these concerns and recommendations are not being effectively heard or addressed.



# UNIFE/UNISIG Main priorities for ERTMS success

Topic	Recommendation
<b>Harmonisation and reduction of requirements</b>	Harmonise operational and trackside engineering rules and define the European Trackside Protection System to ensure consistent, efficient deployments and reduce ETCS on-board design, testing, and maintenance effort.
<b>Maturity of requirements</b>	Maintain CCS TSI stability by reducing and consolidating requirements, and ensure new requirements reach an agreed TRL before legal adoption to minimise later corrections.
<b>Simplified authorisation processes</b>	Streamline authorisation by removing unnecessary steps and redundant assessments, applying best practices, and creating authorisation-free areas.
<b>Reduce risk and market fragmentation</b>	Reduce project and market fragmentation risks, both significant implicit cost drivers, by accelerating the phase-out of legacy national signalling systems. This will eliminate transitional complexities, substantially lower dual-system maintenance costs, and enable broader supplier participation.
<b>Stability</b>	Slow the pace of the ERTMS system evolution. It reduces functional, formal, and political impacts, thereby freeing up resources for meaningful supplier-internal improvements.

# ERTMS and high-risk suppliers

---

- ▶ ETCS products are highly complex systems, combining advanced digital components and safety-critical functionalities.
- ▶ European companies use advanced formal methods to achieve very high safety levels, reflecting the strong expertise of Europe's rail industry in safety-critical engineering.
- ▶ The integrity of rail signalling, control, and operational technologies is non-negotiable when it comes to ensuring the safety of passengers, the protection of goods, and the reliability of train operations across the continent.
- ▶ UNIFE urges decisive action to prevent interference from high-risk third-country actors, emphasizing risks tied to foreign dependencies, potential backdoors, and strategic vulnerabilities in embedded digital systems for signalling, control, and communications.

**“ For safety-critical systems, proximity, trust, and proven reliability must come before uncertainty and risk. ”**

# ERTMS outside Europe – Global Gateway

- ▶ Global Gateway provides a strategic platform to position ERTMS as the preferred signalling system in EU third partner countries.
- ▶ Support from the European Commission and ERA is essential to anchor ERTMS and the broader EU rail regulatory framework within the Global Gateway Strategy.
- ▶ South Africa, Latin America and Southeast Asia offer strong Global Gateway opportunities, creating favourable conditions for deploying European rail technologies such as ERTMS.
- ▶ UNIFE is actively engaged in the Global Gateway agenda, ensuring industry priorities are reflected in the Commission's implementation.
- ▶ These activities need to be included in the ERA mandate.



“  
**We aim to take  
ETCS  
deployment  
beyond Europe.**  
”

# Takeaways

---

- ▶ We all know what has to be done:
  - Reduce scope & requirements of the system, prioritise the essential .
  - Harmonise trackside, technically and operationally.
  - Cut red tape in the authorisation process.

Let's ensure we don't have to say this all over again in 2028!



See you soon  
**THANK YOU**

[www.unife.org](http://www.unife.org)