

How to achieve a cost-efficient approach when deploying ERTMS

Community of European Railway and Infrastructure Companies 25th April 2024

Simplified SWOT of ERTMS deployment



<u>STRENGTHS</u>	WEAKNESSES
Clear Vision: ERTMS all over the SERA	• Benefits for railway operator are too small and too late -> ERTMS deployment is not cost neutral .
	 STI CCS 2023 does not allow a "compatible" evolution of the ETCS system: no enhancements anymore in a "compatible" way in existing (SV 2.x) vehicles.
<u>OPPORTUNITIES</u>	THREATS
Benefits of ERTMS for railway	 Production capacity is below the needs
 operators (wellknown) Less known: the increasing return 	 No stability of specification, nor predictability (Love of innovation instead of its contribution to
of investments (the more advanced	performance, either economic or operational)
it is, at least because of RUs' part)	 Subsidies mechanism inconsistent with long term view



ETCS Trackside

Cost drivers and mitigation

www.thalesgroup.com

ETCS Trackside | Cost Drivers



Торіс	Explanation		
Infrastructure modernization for ETCS L2 readiness necessary – replace of infrastructure significantly before end of life	 Existing interlockings are not ETCS L2 ready but could still be kept in operation for years Infrastructure is the biggest investment of the overall railway system 		
Replication/simulation of old Class B system functionality based on ETCS technology	 ETCS (L1) is designed in a way to keep existing class B operating rules 		
Integration of ETCS in existing national systems	Interfaces to national TMS, diagnosis systems,		
Construction works in ERTMS roll out projects	 Signalling industry looking for partners 		
A A <td></td>			



REF xxxxxxxxxxx rev xxx – date Name of the company / Template: 87211168-DOC-GRP-EN-006 This document may not be reproduced, modified, adapted, published, translated, in any way, in whole or in part or disclosed to a third party without the prior written consent of THALES © 2023 THALES. All rights reserved.

ETCS Onboard | Cost Drivers and Mitigation

Торіс	Explanation
Integration of class B systems	 Complicated interfaces to old legacy class B systems Difficult Safety Case
Integration of vehicle systems (retrofit)	 Interfacing of modified braking systems, traction systems, 3rd party TCMS systems
National non-technical requirements	Human factorsNational regulations
National homologation process	 Country specific homologation processes Variying complexity
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



REF xxxxxxxxxx rev xxx - date Name of the company / Template: 87211168-DOC-GRP-EN-006 This document may not be reproduced, modified, adapted, published, translated, in any way, in whole or in part or disclosed to a third party without the prior written consent of THALES @ 2023 THALES. All rights reserved.

Recommendations / Prerequisite



Strategy

		1.	Maximising the benefits through <u>the right planning for each line</u> or group of lines.	
	ISSUANCE YEAR		1. Right ETCS solution and level to be taken into consideration	
			2. Get rid of Class B system operating rules and go for "ETCS only" lines	
		2.	Identify optimal lots for fleet fitment	
			1. Avoid to equip vehicles close to retirement	
			2. ETCS only for vehicles with small fleet sizes	
			3. Group several types with similar features in tender lots	
3. NATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN [MEMBER STATE]		3.	Helping the industry to increase its production capacity <u>through long terms</u> <u>contracts</u>	
	Prerequisites / Challenges for the future			
EN	. EN	1.	Subsidy mechanism <u>consistent</u> with the ambition, and the needs for long term contracts.	
		2.	ERTMS specification stable for 20 years? Or at least evolution that are	

Predictable, compatible and... Cost-effective

For further information:

For regular updates on CER activities, visit our website: **www.cer.be** or follow **@CER_railways** | **in** <u>CER</u>

